Prenatal antidepressant use and risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring: population based cohort study
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ABSTRACT

OBJECTIVE
To assess the potential association between prenatal use of antidepressants and the risk of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) in offspring.

DESIGN
Population based cohort study.

SETTING
Data from the Hong Kong population based electronic medical records on the Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System.

PARTICIPANTS
190 618 children born in Hong Kong public hospitals between January 2001 and December 2009 and followed-up to December 2015.

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURE
Hazard ratio of maternal antidepressant use during pregnancy and ADHD in children aged 6 to 14 years, with an average follow-up time of 9.3 years (range 7.4-11.0 years).

RESULTS
Among 190 618 children, 1252 had a mother who used prenatal antidepressants. 5659 children (3.0%) were given a diagnosis of ADHD or received treatment for ADHD. The crude hazard ratio of maternal antidepressant use during pregnancy was 2.26 (P<0.01) compared with non-use. After adjustment for potential confounding factors, including maternal psychiatric disorders and use of other psychiatric drugs, the adjusted hazard ratio was reduced to 1.39 (95% confidence interval 1.07 to 1.82, P=0.01). Likewise, similar results were observed when comparing children of mothers who had used antidepressants before pregnancy with those who were never users (1.76, 1.36 to 2.30, P<0.01). The risk of ADHD in the children of mothers with psychiatric disorders was higher compared with the children of mothers without psychiatric disorders even if the mothers had never used antidepressants (1.84, 1.54 to 2.18, P<0.01). All sensitivity analyses yielded similar results. Sibling matched analysis identified no significant difference in risk of ADHD in siblings exposed to antidepressants during gestation and those not exposed during gestation (0.54, 0.17 to 1.74, P=0.30).

CONCLUSIONS
The findings suggest that the association between prenatal use of antidepressants and risk of ADHD in offspring can be partially explained by confounding by indication of antidepressants. If there is a causal association, the size of the effect is probably smaller than that reported previously.

Introduction

Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common neurodevelopmental disorder in children and adolescents. It is characterised by pervasive hyperactivity, inattention, and impulsiveness, which impairs the lives of young people.1 ADHD is common among school aged children, with a worldwide prevalence of 5-7%.2,3 Rates of diagnosis are high in North America and, while ADHD is under-diagnosed in most other parts of the world, rates of identified cases are increasing.2 Owing to early onset, lifelong persistence, and high levels of comorbidities and impairment associated with ADHD,4 its negative impact on social outcomes, education, and the health of patients and their caregivers is substantial.5 Understanding the risk factors for ADHD is an important public health matter.

Recent studies have suggested a potential association between maternal prenatal use of antidepressants, in particular selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), and the risk of ADHD in children.6-11 A systematic literature search identified six observational studies, including three cohort studies6,9,11 and three case-control studies,7,8,10 which investigated the association between antidepressant use in pregnancy and ADHD in children, with the study populations from Scandinavian countries or North America. However, findings were inconsistent.5-11 Only one case-control study7 restricted its sample to children aged at least 5 years, whereas the others did not.6-11 As ADHD is usually diagnosed clinically after age 5 years, previous studies might have identified unrepresentative samples because of large numbers of children aged less than 5 years, leading to biased estimates of the actual risk. Furthermore, a controversial issues is whether the observed association between antidepressant use in pregnancy
and ADHD in childhood is causal or may be confounded by other “third factor” variables, such as underlying maternal psychiatric disorder (confounding by indication). ADHD is highly heritable and often comorbid with other mental health problems, including anxiety and depression, both of which are commonly treated with antidepressants. The association between prenatal use of antidepressants and ADHD in offspring could therefore be confounded by maternal or paternal psychiatric disorders. A recently published study using information from a Swedish registry found an increased risk of ADHD in offspring with prenatal exposure to antidepressants using population wide analyses. However, the authors reported non-significant results in sibling matched analyses and recommended that future research should use designs to help account for unmeasured genetic and familial confounders to explore the associations with prenatal exposure to antidepressants in other countries. Studies to date have not adequately addressed the critical question of confounding by indication. We hypothesised that the apparent link between prenatal use of antidepressants, including SSRIs, and the increased risk of ADHD in offspring is at least partly confounded by maternal risk factors. Consequently, we assessed the association between maternal prenatal use of antidepressants and the risk of ADHD in offspring, and in particular the possibility of confounding by indication in this association by examining the effect of pre-existing maternal psychiatric illness.

**Methods**

**Data source and study design**

We carried out a cohort study nested in the electronic health record of the Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS), a territory wide database in Hong Kong. CDARS was developed by the Hong Kong Hospital Authority, a statutory body that manages all public hospitals and their associated ambulatory clinics in Hong Kong. The hospital authority health service is accessible to all Hong Kong residents (over 7 million). Data from CDARS have been used for various pharmacoepidemiological studies. The database contains patient specific data, including personal information, payment method, prescription information, pharmacy dispensing information, diagnosis, laboratory test results, and admission and discharge information. CDARS contains the records of all in-patient, out-patient, and emergency room admissions in hospital authority clinics and hospitals since 1995. Records are coded to protect patient confidentiality. A detailed description of CDARS can be found elsewhere.

**Source population**

The source population included all children born in public hospitals in Hong Kong between 1 January 2001 and 31 December 2009. Therefore by 31 December 2015, when we collected the child outcome data, all children would have at least six years’ follow-up. To avoid potential bias we excluded children without valid mother-child linkage, born to mothers who were non-Hong Kong residents and whose medical records were likely to be incomplete (18.8%, 45,831 out of 243,526 children). Only live births were included in the analysis. We defined a valid mother-child linkage as an exact match of mother and child patient identification numbers, delivery date, and delivery hospital. The mother-child linkage is created by the hospital authority for clinical management, and the mother and child records are linked permanently immediately after delivery; hence this linkage is highly accurate.

**Pregnancy period and maternal antidepressant use**

We identified prenatal antidepressant use in mothers with respect to the pregnancy period. The gestational age of pregnancy is directly recorded by healthcare professionals, and the last menstrual period was calculated by date of delivery minus gestational age at delivery. We defined the pregnancy period as the period between the last menstrual period and the date of delivery, with the date of the last menstrual period as the start of cohort entry, and we defined the time before the last menstrual period as prepregnancy. To examine any potential effects on the timing of antidepressant use, we further divided the pregnancy period into trimesters: first trimester, 0-90 days after the last menstrual period; second trimester, 91-180 days after the last menstrual period; and third trimester, 181 days after the last menstrual period to delivery.

Antidepressant use in mothers was extracted from the prescribing and dispensing records in CDARS. All drugs in the British National Formulary chapter 4.3 were included. We defined antidepressant use as the length of time using the drugs, and this was estimated by the length of time between start and end dates for each prescription as recorded in CDARS. When end dates for prescriptions were missing we used the median treatment duration to impute data. The rate of missing data is low, with 98.4% of antidepressant prescriptions having complete information about treatment duration. Children were considered to have been exposed prenatally during the respective risk window if maternal use of drugs overlapped with a time point in the pregnancy window. Based on maternal antidepressant use in different risk periods, we classified the children into four groups: those with mothers who used drugs before conception but who stopped treatment when pregnant (preconception users), or negative control group 1; those who never used drugs before and during pregnancy, or never users (in subgroup analyses we further classified this group into (a) never users without psychiatric disorders, and (b) never users with psychiatric disorders, or negative control group 2); those whose mothers were non-gestational users (a combined group of never users and preconception users); and those whose mothers used antidepressants during pregnancy (gestational users), or the active treatment group.

Through CDARS we also identified maternal psychiatric disorders diagnosed before and during pregnancy. We coded these using ICD-9-CM (international classification of diseases, ninth revision, clinical modification) diagnosis code 290-319. If the diagnosis date was recorded within a respective risk window, we considered the mother to have a psychiatric disorder.
Follow-up
The follow-up period for all liveborn children started on the date of delivery and ended on the date of ADHD diagnosis, date of first drug prescription for ADHD, date of death, or 31 December 2015, whichever came first.

Outcome definition
Study outcomes in the liveborn children were an ADHD diagnosis, registered as ICD-9-CM diagnosis code 314, or a prescription for an ADHD drug, namely methylphenidate or atomoxetine (British National Formulary chapter 4.4), as recorded in CDARS, as these are the only available drugs for ADHD in Hong Kong.

Covariates
Data on maternal comorbidities and other drugs were obtained from CDARS. As with previous studies, covariates considered for confounding adjustment were maternal age at delivery, infant’s sex, birth year, birth hospital, parity, maternal underlying medical conditions before delivery (pre-existing diabetes, epilepsy, gestational diabetes, psychiatric conditions, hypertension), use of other psychotropic drugs (antipsychotics, British National Formulary chapter 4.2.1, 4.2.2), and socioeconomic status.

Statistical analysis
We adopted several approaches for analysis. For the first we compared ADHD status of children whose mothers used antidepressants during pregnancy (gestational users) with children whose mothers did not (non-gestational users). Hazard ratios for the association between antidepressant use for each trimester and outcome were estimated using Cox proportional hazard regression models. Robust standard error was used to adjust for data clustering. We selected the previously described covariates and added them to analytical models.

As the likelihood of being treated with antidepressants might be higher in mothers with severe psychiatric disorders, we carried out three levels of adjustment to assess the effect of confounding by indication. The first model (model 1) included all the covariates mentioned except for maternal underlying psychiatric illness and other psychotropic drug use before and during pregnancy. Model 2 extended model 1 to include all maternal underlying psychiatric disorders. Model 3 added to model 2 by further adjusting for concurrent use of other psychotropic drugs. To investigate class effects we repeated the analyses and split the antidepressants into subgroups (SSRIs and non-SSRIs).

In another approach we explored the impact of confounding by indication. Firstly, we compared preconception users of antidepressants (women who stopped treatment before conception) with never users. We restricted the cohort to mothers who neither used antidepressants nor antipsychotics during pregnancy. Mothers who had only used antidepressants before pregnancy were defined as the preconception group, which acted as a negative control group 1. An increased risk of ADHD in the offspring of mothers among the preconception group might indicate confounding by indication as the fetus was not exposed to antidepressants. Similarly, mothers with gestational use of antidepressants were compared with the preconception group to assess if there is a difference in risk of ADHD in children. Secondly, we assessed the association between maternal psychiatric disorders and risk of ADHD in children. The analysis was restricted to mothers with a psychiatric diagnosis who had never used antidepressants or antipsychotics before delivery (never users), this acted as negative control group 2. This allowed us to estimate the role of maternal psychiatric disorder in ADHD in their offspring. If a psychiatric disorder in mothers is associated with risk of ADHD in offspring, it is a confounder between prenatal use of antidepressants and risk of ADHD. As a psychiatric disorder is an indication for antidepressants, this introduces the possibility of confounding by indication. Thirdly, we restricted the analysis to mothers who used either antidepressants or antipsychotics during pregnancy. We repeated the analyses by comparing use of SSRIs with use of non-SSRIs, and antidepressants with antipsychotics using the method described previously. This analysis used the antipsychotic drug group as an active comparator group, to minimise the effect of confounding by indication.

Several additional sensitivity analyses were conducted to test the validity and robustness of the initial analyses. We carried out a sensitivity analysis by including children who were born after 1 January 2009 to investigate the potential impact of under-diagnosis, because the rate of ADHD diagnosis among younger children has increased in recent years. Further analyses were conducted based on different drug non-adherence scenarios. To assess the effect of exposure misclassification, we further extended each period of antidepressant use by adding 30 and 60 days to the end of each period. Children taking drugs for ADHD but without an ADHD diagnosis were removed from the analysis. We restricted the analyses to the first pregnancy only. This was to investigate the potential clustering effect of children who were born to the same mother. We conducted an additional sensitivity analysis by adjusting only for maternal depression, anxiety, and substance abuse disorder in our model. As ADHD is commonly diagnosed in children around the age of 5 years, we conducted a sensitivity analysis by starting the follow-up time in children at age 5 years. Children who had a diagnosis before age 5 were removed from this analysis.

We also conducted a post hoc sibling matched analysis, a design that has been used previously to evaluate the association between smoking during pregnancy and risk of hyperkinetic disorder (a severe form of ADHD). This analysis was used to control for shared genetic and social confounding. We used stratified Cox regression with a separate stratum for each family identified by the mother’s unique identification number. In the stratified Cox regression model, each family has its own baseline rate function reflecting the family’s shared genetic and social factors. The stratified Cox regression model is an extension of the paired binomial model, taking into account the differences in follow-up.
Results

The analysis included 190,618 pairs of mother-child records (fig 1). The mean maternal age at delivery was 31.2 years (SD 5.1 years, table 1). Overall, 1,252 children were exposed to maternal antidepressants during gestation. Among their mothers, 425 and 470 received SSRI and non-SSRI antidepressant monotherapy, respectively, 129 received SSRIs and non-SSRIs, 101 received SSRIs and antipsychotics, 91 received non-SSRIs and antipsychotics, and 36 received SSRIs, non-SSRIs, and antipsychotics. Of 2,275 mothers who received antidepressants before pregnancy, 1,486 had discontinued treatment before pregnancy and 789 had continued treatment into pregnancy (see supplementary material 1 and 2).

In this cohort, 56,699 children had a diagnosis of ADHD or received a drug for ADHD. The mean follow-up time was 9.28 years (range 7.4-11.0 years). The crude hazard ratio of antidepressant use during pregnancy and ADHD was 2.86 when gestational users were compared with non-gestational users. The estimate was similar in model 1 (adjusted hazard ratio 2.39, 95% confidence interval 1.90 to 3.02) but reduced to 1.41 (1.08 to 1.83) and 1.39 (1.07 to 1.82) in models 2 and 3, respectively, when maternal psychiatric disorder and additional psychotropic drugs were included. The corresponding hazard ratios in the three trimesters were similar; the fully adjusted hazard ratios (model 3) were 1.43 (95% confidence interval 1.05 to 1.95) for the first trimester, 1.50 (1.08 to 2.09) for the second trimester, and 1.43 (1.03 to 1.98) for the third trimester (table 2 and fig 2).

Results differed slightly when antidepressants were broken down into SSRIs and non-SSRIs. The hazard ratios for both were similar in the crude estimate and model 1 but different in models 2 and 3. In model 3, the adjusted hazard ratio for SSRIs was 1.11 (95% confidence interval 0.77 to 1.60), whereas for non-SSRIs it was 1.59 (1.19 to 2.14). Table 2 and figure 2 summarise the results.

Comparisons

Preconception users (negative control group 1) versus never users—in model 3 the crude hazard ratio when preconception users of antidepressants were compared with never users was 2.91 and the adjusted hazard ratio was 1.76 (95% confidence interval 1.36 to 2.30). The risk of ADHD in offspring was statistically significantly increased in preconception users (table 3 and fig 3).

Never users with psychiatric disorders (negative control group 2) versus never users without psychiatric disorders—when the analysis was restricted to mothers who had never used antidepressants or antipsychotics, the risk of ADHD in offspring was higher in mothers with psychiatric disorders. The fully adjusted hazard ratio for psychiatric disorders before pregnancy was 1.94 (95% confidence interval 1.61 to 2.35) and during pregnancy was 1.84 (1.54 to 2.18). The risk estimates were similar to those for both preconception users and current users (table 3 and fig 3).

Gestational use of antidepressants versus antipsychotics (active comparator group)—gestational use of antidepressants yielded a crude hazard ratio of 1.15 for risk of ADHD in offspring compared with prenatal use of antipsychotic (fully adjusted hazard ratio 1.27, 95% confidence interval 0.73 to 2.18). The comparison between use of SSRI and non-SSRI antidepressants showed no statistically significant difference between the two drug classes, with a crude hazard ratio of 0.75 and fully adjusted hazard ratio of 0.70 (95% confidence interval 0.38 to 1.29) for risk of ADHD (table 3 and fig 4).

Gestational use of antidepressants versus preconception users—in model 3 the crude hazard ratio when gestational users of antidepressants were compared with preconception users was 0.81 and the adjusted hazard ratio was 0.75 (95% confidence interval 0.51 to 1.10). There was no statistically significant difference in the risk of ADHD in offspring between gestational users of antidepressants and preconception users (table 3 and fig 4).

Sensitivity analyses

All other sensitivity analyses returned results similar to those of the main analyses (see supplementary material 3 to 8).
Sibling matched analysis

The sibling matched analysis included 53,616 children of 26,049 mothers. In this subgroup, 1510 children had ADHD. There was no statistically significant difference in the risk of ADHD in siblings of mothers who were gestational users of antidepressants compared with non-gestational users (adjusted hazard ratio 0.54, 0.17 to 1.74).

Discussion

This cohort study of more than 190,000 mother-child pairs in the Hong Kong Clinical Data Analysis and Reporting System (CDARS) database, found that prenatal use of antidepressants is associated with an increased risk of ADHD. The risk of ADHD was, however, similarly increased for preconception use of antidepressants (negative control group 1) and in children of mothers with psychiatric disorders who had not used antidepressants (negative control group 2). Furthermore, direct comparisons between the gestational user group and an active antipsychotic comparator group, the combined negative control groups 1 and 2, and the sibling matched non-gestational user group yielded statistically non-significant results.

Comparison with other studies

Most of the published population based studies, with the exception of Castro et al., reported similar results for risk of ADHD with prenatal use of antidepressants, ranging from 1.16 to 1.81. Preconception use of antidepressants (negative control group 1) is unlikely to contribute to intrauterine exposure and thus it is not plausible that such use is causally associated with ADHD in offspring. Based on the observed positive association between the negative control groups and the increased risk of ADHD in offspring, it is likely that the

Table 1 | Characteristics of children and their mothers by gestational drug use. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Antidepressants (n=1024)</th>
<th>Antipsychotics (n=364)</th>
<th>Antidepressants and antipsychotics (n=228)</th>
<th>No antidepressants or antipsychotics (n=189,002)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) follow-up time (patient years)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.2)</td>
<td>9.5 (2.4)</td>
<td>8.9 (2.2)</td>
<td>9.3 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder</td>
<td>58 (5.7)</td>
<td>21 (5.8)</td>
<td>16 (7.0)</td>
<td>55.6 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>552 (53.9)</td>
<td>192 (52.8)</td>
<td>122 (53.5)</td>
<td>98.3 (52.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>670 (65.4)</td>
<td>233 (64.0)</td>
<td>136 (59.7)</td>
<td>123.8 (65.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple pregnancy</td>
<td>22 (2.1)</td>
<td>4 (1.1)</td>
<td>4 (1.8)</td>
<td>54.6 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth trauma</td>
<td>4 (0.4)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>862 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing of Apgar score ≤7:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 minute</td>
<td>44 (4.3)</td>
<td>22 (6.0)</td>
<td>22 (9.6)</td>
<td>6983 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 minutes</td>
<td>3 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>3 (1.3)</td>
<td>591 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (g):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1500</td>
<td>13 (1.3)</td>
<td>7 (1.9)</td>
<td>4 (1.8)</td>
<td>2213 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500-2499</td>
<td>92 (9.0)</td>
<td>28 (7.7)</td>
<td>26 (11.4)</td>
<td>14.29 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2500</td>
<td>919 (89.7)</td>
<td>329 (90.4)</td>
<td>198 (86.8)</td>
<td>172.498 (91.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestation weeks:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;33</td>
<td>17 (1.7)</td>
<td>12 (3.3)</td>
<td>5 (2.2)</td>
<td>35.02 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33-36</td>
<td>95 (9.3)</td>
<td>32 (8.8)</td>
<td>29 (12.7)</td>
<td>12753 (6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;36</td>
<td>912 (89.1)</td>
<td>320 (87.9)</td>
<td>194 (85.1)</td>
<td>172.747 (91.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mothers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) maternal age at delivery (years)</td>
<td>32.3 (5.5)</td>
<td>31.7 (5.60)</td>
<td>32.3 (6.05)</td>
<td>31.2 (5.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal underlying conditions:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>6 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>299 (0.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus before pregnancy</td>
<td>9 (0.9)</td>
<td>2 (0.6)</td>
<td>3 (1.3)</td>
<td>444 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>45 (4.4)</td>
<td>26 (7.1)</td>
<td>16 (7.0)</td>
<td>5112 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>43 (4.2)</td>
<td>17 (4.7)</td>
<td>10 (4.4)</td>
<td>6393 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric illness</td>
<td>713 (69.6)</td>
<td>308 (84.6)</td>
<td>185 (81.1)</td>
<td>2978 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of psychiatric drugs prepregnancy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants</td>
<td>612 (59.8)</td>
<td>56 (15.4)</td>
<td>177 (77.6)</td>
<td>1430 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antipsychotics</td>
<td>95 (9.3)</td>
<td>287 (78.9)</td>
<td>161 (70.6)</td>
<td>445 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>328 (32.0)</td>
<td>183 (50.3)</td>
<td>92 (40.4)</td>
<td>97599 (51.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>425 (41.5)</td>
<td>102 (28.0)</td>
<td>76 (31.3)</td>
<td>70962 (37.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>182 (17.8)</td>
<td>51 (14.0)</td>
<td>43 (18.9)</td>
<td>16023 (8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥3</td>
<td>89 (8.7)</td>
<td>28 (7.7)</td>
<td>17 (7.5)</td>
<td>4418 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household income (HK$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;19 300</td>
<td>199 (19.4)</td>
<td>93 (25.6)</td>
<td>39 (17.1)</td>
<td>37.28 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 300-21 999</td>
<td>376 (36.7)</td>
<td>117 (32.1)</td>
<td>85 (37.3)</td>
<td>54.675 (28.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 000-25 999</td>
<td>226 (22.1)</td>
<td>88 (24.2)</td>
<td>56 (24.6)</td>
<td>46018 (24.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥26 000</td>
<td>223 (21.8)</td>
<td>66 (18.1)</td>
<td>48 (21.1)</td>
<td>50.481 (26.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HK$1 (£0.10; $0.13; €0.12)
**RESEARCH**

**Table 2 | Results from analysis comparing gestational users of antidepressants with non-gestational users**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposures</th>
<th>Crude estimate</th>
<th>Model 1*</th>
<th>Model 2†</th>
<th>Model 3‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
<td>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antidepressants</strong>anja</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During pregnancy</td>
<td>2.26 (1.80 to 2.84)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.39 (1.90 to 3.02)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st trimester</td>
<td>2.29 (1.74 to 3.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.45 (1.85 to 3.25)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd trimester</td>
<td>2.41 (1.78 to 3.26)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.65 (1.96 to 3.59)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>2.37 (1.76 to 3.17)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.55 (1.90 to 3.42)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SSRIs</strong>§§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During pregnancy</td>
<td>2.17 (1.56 to 3.03)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.23 (1.60 to 3.12)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st trimester</td>
<td>2.21 (1.49 to 3.27)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.32 (1.57 to 3.45)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd trimester</td>
<td>2.11 (1.31 to 3.41)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.22 (1.37 to 3.60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>2.21 (1.38 to 3.50)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.26 (1.41 to 3.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-SSRIs</strong>**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>During pregnancy</td>
<td>2.56 (1.95 to 3.35)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.76 (2.10 to 3.62)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st trimester</td>
<td>2.57 (1.82 to 3.64)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.80 (1.96 to 3.99)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd trimester</td>
<td>2.70 (1.88 to 3.86)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>3.04 (2.12 to 4.38)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd trimester</td>
<td>2.70 (1.91 to 3.83)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>2.96 (2.08 to 4.20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Increased risk of ADHD**

**Decreased risk of ADHD**

*Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, infant’s sex, birth year, birth hospital, parity, maternal underlying illness before delivery (pre-existing diabetes, epilepsy, gestational diabetes, hypertension), and socioeconomic status.
†Adjusted for all factors in model 1 and maternal psychiatric conditions.
‡Adjusted for all factors in model 2 and other psychiatric drug use.
§ADHD cases: 74 in antidepressant users, 5564 in non-users.
¶ADHD cases: 31 in non-SSRI users, 5564 in non-users.
**ADHD cases: 31 in non-SSRI users, 5564 in non-users.

Increased risk from prenatal use might at least be partially explained by confounding due to pre-existing conditions of the mothers. ADHD is highly heritable,21 22 and parents of children with ADHD are themselves more likely to have the same or related mental disorders. In recent years it has become apparent that although ADHD often persists into adulthood and is associated with high levels of psychiatric comorbidity, including increased rates of depression and anxiety, many adults with ADHD never receive a proper diagnosis or treatment.23 This could explain why we found a possible link between preconception use, psychiatric disorders in mothers, and ADHD in children. Indeed, this study also found that mothers in both negative control groups are at similar increased risk of having offspring with ADHD as the mothers in the gestational treatment group. As psychiatric diseases are the main indication for prescription of antidepressants, our results suggest the possibility of confounding by indication.

About 0.7% of children (1252 out of 190 618) in our cohort were exposed to antidepressant prenatally, which is slightly lower than in previous studies.6-10 Comparing standardised differences in the rate of antidepressant use between the current study and previous studies (see supplementary material 9), our rate is lower than one study only7 and comparable with five other studies.6-11 In a previous drug prevalence study in Hong Kong, the prescribing prevalence was generally lower than in Western countries.24 This may be explained by the conservative approach in local practice.24 Nevertheless, there is no reason why these differences in rates of antidepressant use should alter the conclusions of the study.

Many previous studies did not explore class and drug specific associations.6-11 In the current study we identified a slightly different result in our subgroup analysis on SSRIs and non-SSRIs, with no statistically significant association between prenatal use of SSRIs and risk of ADHD in children, although we cannot exclude the possibility of type 2 error. However, the difference in results between the SSRI and non-SSRI group may suggest the potential effect of disease severity rather than a differential effect of drug class. SSRIs are usually the first line treatment and thus mothers who use non-SSRIs during pregnancy may have more severe psychiatric conditions.
Table 3: Results from analyses of different comparisons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Crude estimate</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
<th>Hazard ratio (95% CI)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants (preconception user)</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>2.91 (2.12 to 3.98)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.94 (2.29 to 3.77)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.65 (1.91 to 3.62)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.74 (2.24 to 3.40)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidepressants (preconception user)</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>1.77 (1.37 to 2.29)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.76 (1.36 to 2.30)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.84 (1.41 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.84 (1.41 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SSRIs (preconception user)</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>2.65 (1.91 to 3.62)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.64 (1.90 to 3.75)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.74 (2.24 to 3.40)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.74 (2.24 to 3.40)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-SSRIs (preconception user)</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>1.84 (1.41 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.84 (1.41 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.84 (1.41 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.84 (1.41 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational SSRI user</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>1.36 (1.29 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.36 (1.29 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.36 (1.29 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.36 (1.29 to 1.43)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational SSRI user</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>1.28 (1.19 to 1.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.28 (1.19 to 1.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.28 (1.19 to 1.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.28 (1.19 to 1.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational non-SSRI antidepressant user**</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>3.12 (2.43 to 3.99)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.12 (2.43 to 3.99)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.12 (2.43 to 3.99)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>3.12 (2.43 to 3.99)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational non-SSRI antidepressant user**</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>2.32 (1.83 to 2.96)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.32 (1.83 to 2.96)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.32 (1.83 to 2.96)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.32 (1.83 to 2.96)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother psychiatric disorder in never users††</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>2.02 (1.68 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.02 (1.68 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.02 (1.68 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.02 (1.68 to 2.38)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mother psychiatric disorder in never users††</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>1.77 (1.37 to 2.29)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.77 (1.37 to 2.29)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.77 (1.37 to 2.29)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.77 (1.37 to 2.29)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational antidepressants user preconception user§§</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>1.24 (0.71 to 2.19)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.24 (0.71 to 2.19)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.24 (0.71 to 2.19)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>1.24 (0.71 to 2.19)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational antidepressants user preconception user§§</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>1.15 (0.69 to 1.92)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.15 (0.69 to 1.92)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.15 (0.69 to 1.92)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.15 (0.69 to 1.92)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational antidepressants user preconception user††</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>0.81 (0.57 to 1.21)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.81 (0.57 to 1.21)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.81 (0.57 to 1.21)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.81 (0.57 to 1.21)</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational antidepressants user preconception user††</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>0.82 (0.58 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.82 (0.58 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.82 (0.58 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.82 (0.58 to 1.19)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational antidepressants user preconception user††</td>
<td>Before pregnancy</td>
<td>0.76 (0.44 to 1.33)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.76 (0.44 to 1.33)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.76 (0.44 to 1.33)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.76 (0.44 to 1.33)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational antidepressants user preconception user††</td>
<td>After pregnancy</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44 to 1.31)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44 to 1.31)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44 to 1.31)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.74 (0.44 to 1.31)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Adjusted for maternal age at delivery, infant’s sex, birth year, birth hospital, parity, maternal underlying illness before delivery (pre-existing diabetes, epilepsy, gestational diabetes, hypertension), and socioeconomic status.
†Adjusted for all factors in model 1 and maternal psychiatric conditions.
‡Adjusted for all factors in model 2 and other psychiatric diagnoses such as depression, anxiety, mood disorders, and schizophrenia.
§§ADHD cases: 136 in mother with psychiatric disorder, 5326 in never users.
±ADHD cases: 18 in SSRI users, 31 in non-SSRI users.
‡‡ADHD cases: 136 in mother with psychiatric disorder, 5326 in never users.
††ADHD cases: 136 in mother with psychiatric disorder, 5326 in never users.
¶¶ADHD cases: 136 in mother with psychiatric disorder, 5326 in never users.

This might explain the difference in the result, although further studies should evaluate if the corresponding risk differs between SSRI and non-SSRI antidepressants.

The results of one study differed from those of previous studies even though this was a replication study using the same data source and similar methods. The study reported no statistically significant increase in risk associated with prenatal use of antidepressants but did find an increased risk associated with preconception antidepressant use. The authors suggest that it is therefore possible that the association between antidepressant use and ADHD in offspring might be indicative of confounding by indication. It is, however, difficult to explain why confounding by indication should only occur for preconception antidepressant use and not prenatal use. In contrast, our study has shown consistent results for gestational users (active treatment group) in the three trimesters, preconception users (negative control group 1) and never users with a psychiatric diagnosis (negative control group 2). Our study therefore provides stronger evidence to support the possibility that the observed associations might represent confounding by indication.

Strengths and limitations of this study

The novelty of the current study is that we utilised two complementary planned negative control groups. An increased risk of ADHD was observed in children whose mothers had used antidepressants before conception (negative control group 1) and those mothers with a psychiatric diagnosis who had never used antidepressants (negative control group 2). Although it is difficult to adjust for confounding by indication in the classic population based analysis, the outcomes of the two negative control analyses aid the interpretation of our results. To further explore the possibility of confounding by indication, we preselected mothers who used antipsychotic drugs as an active comparator group, and the lack of association in this analysis adds further weight to the interpretation. Finally, we also conducted a sibling matched analysis using sibling pairs. Although we cannot exclude the possibility of type 2 error in this analysis, the results further strengthen our hypothesis that confounding by indication may play a major role in the observed positive association between gestational use of antidepressants and ADHD in offspring. We have not been able to identify any other published studies that have applied such a comprehensive series of analyses to these associations. We recommend that this approach should be considered in future studies that investigate gestational drug use and outcome in offspring, particularly diseases and disorders with high heritability and that are therefore more likely to be confounded by indication.

Previous studies have been based in Scandinavian countries and North America, countries with a large population of white people. Our study is the first based in Asia. After adjusting for confounding factors, our comprehensive analyses in a predominately Chinese population yielded similar results to a recently published Swedish study using population based and sibling based analyses. Both studies do not support gestational antidepressant use as significantly...
RESEARCH

Gestational drug use | No of cases | Fully adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) | Fully adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) | P value
---|---|---|---|---
None | 5476 | | | 
Antidepressants | 88 | 1.76 (1.36 to 2.30) | | <0.01
Before pregnancy | 39 | 1.20 (0.83 to 1.72) | | 0.33
SSRIs | 66 | 1.84 (1.36 to 2.47) | | <0.01
Before pregnancy | 136 | 1.94 (1.61 to 2.35) | | <0.01
Non-SSRIs | | 1.84 (1.54 to 2.18) | | <0.01
Mother psychiatric disorder | | | | 
Before pregnancy | | | | 
During pregnancy | | | | 

Fig 3 | Fully adjusted results from analyses of different comparisons. SSRIs=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; ADHD=attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder

Gestational drug use | No of cases | Fully adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) | Fully adjusted hazard ratio (95% CI) | P value
---|---|---|---|---
SSRIs v non-SSRIs | 18 v 31 | 0.82 (0.46 to 1.46) | | 0.50
Before pregnancy | 0.70 (0.38 to 1.29) | | 0.25
During pregnancy | 1.47 (0.82 to 2.66) | | 0.20
Antidepressants v antipsychotics | 74 v 21 | 1.27 (0.73 to 2.18) | | 0.40
Before pregnancy | 0.75 (0.51 to 1.10) | | 0.14
During pregnancy | | | | 
Antidepressants v preconception users | 74 v 88 | | | 

Fig 4 | Fully adjusted results from analyses of comparisons with active comparator. SSRIs=selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; ADHD=attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder

Increasing the risk of ADHD in offspring. As the two study populations have a different genetic composition, healthcare systems, and environments, this suggests the findings are broadly generalisable to other populations.

This study also has several important weaknesses. A limitation of register based studies is that they only include cases that are clinically detected. In our cohort, about 3% of children had a diagnosis of ADHD or used ADHD treatment, which is lower than the prevalence estimates from epidemiological studies (5-7%). However, it is generally accepted that for most non-US countries the administrative prevalence (number of ADHD cases that are diagnosed and treated) is somewhat lower than the epidemiological prevalence. Under-diagnosis of less severe cases of ADHD is likely to be the same across the groups; hence it is unlikely to have affected the findings or conclusions of the current study.

CDARS only contains information from publicly funded healthcare medical records and therefore does not include data from private medical practitioners or hospitals. Based on the birth statistics in Hong Kong, about 45 000 live births occurred in 2009. Our data captured more than 31 000 birth events in the same year, thus including about 70% of births in Hong Kong. Notably, the public sector is the main provider

of specialist care for neurodevelopmental disorders in Hong Kong. Children with neurodevelopmental disorders usually require comprehensive long term treatment and monitoring, hence they are usually under the care of publicly funded healthcare. It is therefore likely that most of the children who received a diagnosis of ADHD will have been included in this study.

As antidepressant use is defined by prescriptions written by clinicians and dispensed by pharmacies, it is unclear whether the drugs were actually taken by women in accordance with information on the prescription (adherence)—that is, use of the drugs might have been longer than the intended prescribing period. We addressed usage misclassification by conducting a duration-outcome analysis, adding 30 and 60 days after the end of the expected period for antidepressant use. However, we could not exclude the possibility that included mothers might obtain prescriptions for antidepressant from the private sector, which we would not be able to identify; although the public sector is by far the main provider of specialist care in Hong Kong. Thus the potential for missing drug records is minimal. Drug usage misclassification will bias the risk estimate towards the null. We may potentially underestimate the risk but this would not affect the conclusions of the study.

Policy implications

Since the first report of a possible association between in utero exposure to antidepressants and neurodevelopmental disorders in children, both patients and clinicians have faced the dilemma of how to manage women with severe affective disorders both when they are trying to conceive and when they are pregnant. Major adverse effects can occur when stopping drugs abruptly or withholding antidepressants during pregnancy. The present findings provide useful data to help guide clinicians in decision making.

Conclusions

Previous reports might have overestimated the association between gestational use of antidepressants and ADHD in offspring because they have failed to control for shared family factors. Although we cannot completely discount the possibility that gestational use of antidepressants is a causal factor, our findings raise the possibility that confounding by indication might at least partially explain the observed association. We propose that if a causal association exists, then the size of the effect is probably smaller than that previously reported. However, decision making about antidepressant use in pregnancy remains important and requires an assessment of the risks and benefits in the context of the individual woman and family.
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