



**University of Dundee**

## **Microbially-induced Carbonate Precipitation for Immobilization of Toxic Metals**

Kumari, Deepika; Qian, Xin-Yi; Pan, Xiangliang; Achal, Varennyam; Li, Qianwei; Gadd, Geoffrey Michael

*Published in:*  
Advances in Applied Microbiology

*DOI:*  
[10.1016/bs.aams.2015.12.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aams.2015.12.002)

*Publication date:*  
2016

*Licence:*  
CC BY-NC-ND

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Kumari, D., Qian, X.-Y., Pan, X., Achal, V., Li, Q., & Gadd, G. M. (2016). Microbially-induced Carbonate Precipitation for Immobilization of Toxic Metals. *Advances in Applied Microbiology*, *94*, 79-108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aams.2015.12.002>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **Microbially-induced Carbonate Precipitation for Immobilization of Toxic Metals**

**Deepika Kumari\***, **Xin-Yi Qian§**, **Xiangliang Pan\*<sup>1</sup>**, **Varenyam Achal§**, **Qianwei Li¶**, **Geoffrey Michael Gadd\*<sup>¶</sup>**

\*Xinjiang Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution and Bioremediation, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

§School of Ecological and Environmental Sciences, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

¶Geomicrobiology Group, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, DD1 5EH, Scotland, UK

Running title: **Microbially-induced Metal Carbonate Precipitation**

### **<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author:**

Professor Xiangliang Pan

Xinjiang Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution and Bioremediation, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi 830011, China

Email: [panxl@ms.xjb.ac.cn](mailto:panxl@ms.xjb.ac.cn)

**Tel:** +86 991 7885446, **Fax:** +86 991 7885446

## **Contents**

1. Introduction
  2. Urease
  3. Biomineralization
    - 3.1 Microbially-induced Calcium Carbonate Precipitation
  4. Bioprecipitation of Metal(loid)s by Bacterial-induced Carbonate Precipitation
    - 4.1 Arsenic
    - 4.2 Cadmium
    - 4.3 Chromium
    - 4.4 Copper
    - 4.5 Lead
    - 4.6 Radionuclide Bioprecipitation by Urease-producing Bacteria
  5. Bioprecipitation of Metal(loid)s by Fungal-induced Carbonate Precipitation
  6. Conclusions
- Acknowledgments
- References

## **Abstract**

Rapid urbanization and industrialization resulting from growing populations contribute to environmental pollution by toxic metals and radionuclides which pose a threat to the environment and to human health. To combat this threat, it is important to develop remediation technologies based on natural processes that are sustainable. In recent years, a biomineralization process involving ureolytic microorganisms that leads to calcium carbonate precipitation has been found to be effective in immobilizing toxic metal pollutants. The advantage of using ureolytic organisms for bioremediating metal pollution in soil is their ability to immobilize toxic metals efficiently by precipitation or co-precipitation, independent of metal valence state and toxicity and the redox potential. This review summarizes current understanding of the ability of ureolytic microorganisms for carbonate biomineralization and applications of this process for toxic metal bioremediation. Microbial metal carbonate precipitation may also be relevant to detoxification of contaminated process streams and effluents as well as the production of novel carbonate biominerals and biorecovery of metals and radionuclides that form insoluble carbonates.

**Keywords:** Toxic Metals; Urease; Biomineralization; Bioprecipitation; Calcium carbonate; Calcite; Bacteria; Fungi

## 1. INTRODUCTION

With rapid urbanization and increasing populations, increasing industrial development is inevitable despite awareness of possible adverse effects on human health and the environment. Various industrial wastes such as those from mining and metal refining, fuel and energy production including atomic energy, iron and steel production, aerospace industries, and many others, contain toxic metals which are directly or indirectly discharged into the environment causing pollution (Bishop, 2002). Metals are regarded as the main soil contaminants in many countries (Guimaraes et al., 2010). Important pollutants include toxic metal(loid)s such as Cu, Cr, Cd, Hg, Sb, Pb, As, Co, Zn, and Sn, and radionuclides such as Sr, U, Th, Am, and Ra (Singh et al., 2011; Wuana et al., 2011).

The contamination of soil with toxic metals affects human health directly or indirectly in addition to causing great economic losses (Zinjarde et al., 2014). The behaviour of metals in soil always makes them challenging substances to decontaminate as they may form complexes with naturally-occurring substances, bind to soil components, and precipitate as insoluble mineral forms. All soils naturally contain trace levels of metals; however, when this level exceeds tolerable concentrations, it results in pollution. In soils, metals may dissolve in the soil solution, occupy exchange sites or be adsorbed on inorganic soil constituents, associate with insoluble soil organic matter or precipitate as pure or mixed solids (Shuman, 1991) as well as be accumulated by the biota (Gadd, 2010).

Conventional methods for the treatment of contaminant metals in soil include

physico-chemical methods that suffer from high costs associated with energy and chemical consumption in addition to possible emission of secondary pollutants (Krishna & Philip, 2005). Phytoremediation methods are also highly popular and have attempted used for in situ remediation of heavy metals. However, this also has limitations because of the dependence on plant growth conditions such as climate, geology, altitude and temperature (Achal et al., 2012a). Phytoremediation may also be a long-term method to clean the soils because of the low amounts of metals that can be accumulated by plants before toxic symptoms result.

There have been various reports of bacterial decontamination of metal-polluted soils. Fundamental processes that enable bioremediation include changes in pH and/or redox reactions, increases or decreases in solubility by means of complexation or precipitation, and adsorption or uptake of pollutants (Smith et al., 1994). Different oxidation states of many metal(loid)s are of differing mobility and toxicity meaning that variations in soil redox potential may affect microbial redox transformations and result in failure to stabilize a metal in contaminated soil (Achal et al., 2012a).

When a problem associated with a bioremediation method exists, it may be solved with an advanced or unexplored approach. Biotechnology applied to the remediation of metal pollution has been a topic of great interest for many years. Various enzymic systems have been used effectively for the remediation of different organic pollutants (Nessner Kavamura et al., 2010), including those from bacteria and fungi (Ruggaber and Talley, 2006). Most of the degradative enzymes involved in organic bioremediation are mono- or di-oxygenases, oxidoreductases, dehalogenases,

cytochrome P450 mono-oxygenases, enzymes involved in lignin degradation and phosphotriesterases (Pieper, Martins dos Santos, & Golyshin, 2004; Rao et al., 2010). However, there are many enzymes which are less studied. Microbial urease, a type of hydrolase, is one such enzyme, which has been demonstrated to have an effective role in the immobilization of various metals as insoluble carbonates. This article therefore reviews the properties and applications of urease for toxic metal immobilization and discusses future prospects for the use of ureolytic microorganisms in bioremediation and metal biorecovery.

## **2. UREASE**

Urease (or urea amidohydrolase) was discovered around 150 years ago. The first ureolytic microorganism, *Micrococcus ureae*, was isolated from urine in 1864 by van Tieghem. However, Musculus obtained the first ureolytic enzyme in 1874 from putrid urine, and as proposed by Miquel in 1890, it was named urease (see Mobley & Hausinger, 1989; Mobley et al., 1995; Krajewska, 2009). Initially, the ureolytic enzyme was considered to be a potent virulence factor in pathogenic bacteria such as *Helicobacter pylori*, *Proteus mirabilis*, *Campylobacter pyloridis* and *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*. However, it was subsequently found that urease is produced by many taxonomically diverse bacterial species, including normal non-pathogenic microbiota from terrestrial and aquatic habitats (Graham et al., 1987; Jones & Mobley, 1988; Gattermann & Marre, 1989; Dunn et al., 1990). Mobley and Hausinger (1989) have highlighted the significance of urease as a virulence factor in animal pathogenesis, its

role in ruminant metabolism and in environmental transformations of urea-based compounds. Furthermore, Mobley, Island, and Hausinger (1995) reviewed numerous urease gene clusters for which the entire nucleotide sequence was known in addition to exploring mechanisms by which urease gene expression is regulated in different bacterial species.

Urease belongs to the hydrolase class and superfamily of amidohydrolases and phosphotriestrases with EC number 3.5.1.5. Urease hydrolyzes urea to yield ammonia and carbamate, which is unstable and spontaneously forms carbonic acid and ammonia upon further hydrolysis. Urease activity is widely found among prokaryotes, as well as in eukaryotes including fungi and plants (Blakeley & Zerner, 1984; Li, Csetenyi & Gadd, 2014). To date, the widest analytical application of urease has been for the quantification of urea in blood and urine (Francis, Lewis, & Lim, 2002). Recently, there has been a growing demand for urease in applications in other areas, such as food production (Krajewska, 2009).

Urease plays an essential role in the nitrogen metabolism of terrestrial and aquatic microorganisms. Ureolytic activity minimizes crop damage during urea fertilization of agricultural soil, and solves the problem of fixed nitrogen availability (Mobley & Hausinger, 1989). Such urease activity is attributable to a variety of soil microbes. Lloyd and Sheaffe (1973) reported that 17-30% of the cultivable bacterial population from soil produced urease. This urease activity in soil is known to be extracellular and is stabilized by association of the urease with certain soil components (Mulvaney & Bremner, 1981). Urease levels in different soils vary. The cellular content of urease



among microbes also varies, suggesting different regulatory mechanisms of urease production. Urease production in many microbes may be tightly regulated in conjunction with the nitrogen regulatory system, which is controlled by a complex cascade that ultimately triggers ribonucleic acid (RNA) polymerase synthesis, recognizing specific promoters of nitrogen-regulated gene products (Mobley & Hausinger, 1989). In some microbial species urease production is dependent on the presence of urea, which acts as an enzyme inducer, while other microbial species produce urease constitutively. It has also been demonstrated that urea can significantly increase soil respiration but may not influence soil urease activity (Margesin, Zimmerbauer, & Schinner, 2000). In brief, urease production has been demonstrated to be constitutive, or inducible and repressible (Mobley & Hausinger, 1989). Most earlier studies on environmental urease have been confined to its significance in soil chemistry and agricultural practice. More recent studies have shown that urease-producing microbes show considerable potential for mediating metal bioprecipitation through the formation of insoluble metal carbonates (Fujita et al., 2000, 2004, 2008; Achal, Pan, & Zhang, 2011; Li, Chen & Guo, 2013; Li et al., 2014, 2015).

### **3. BIOMINERALIZATION**

Biom mineralization is the process by which organisms form minerals (Lowenstam & Weiner, 1989; Ben Omar, Arias, & González-Muñoz, 1997; Gadd, 2010)). The process of biom mineralization can be categorized into biologically-induced

mineralization (BIM) and biologically-controlled mineralization (BCM) (Bazylinski, 2001; Northup & Lavoie, 2001; Gadd, 2010; Fouke, 2011; Benzerara et al., 2011; Phillips et al., 2013; Li, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2014; Rhee, Hiller, & Gadd, 2015). BCM depends on the cellular activities of the biomineralizing organism (e.g. coccolithophores, diatoms and magnetic bacteria) which directly influence the nucleation, growth and morphology of the produced biominerals and control the final biomineral locations (Bazylinski, 2001; Mukkamala, Anson, & Powell, 2006; Gadd, 2010). In the context of BIM, the organism modifies its local microenvironment to create appropriate physico-chemical conditions for the precipitation of minerals (Gadd, 2010; Gadd et al., 2012; 2014; Li et al., 2014, 2015). Most microbial biomineralization processes therefore usually refer to biologically-induced mineralization (Burford, Hillier, & Gadd, 2006; Uroz et al., 2009; Gadd, 2010; Li, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2014; Rhee, Hiller, & Gadd, 2015).

Calcium carbonate is a major biomineralization product (Berman *et al.*, 1990; Lakshminarayanan, Kini, & Valiyaveetil, 2002; Perito & Mastromei, 2011) and calcite ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) precipitation is a common microbially-mediated phenomenon in the biosphere (Ehrlich, 1998; Castanier, Levrel, & Perthuisot, 1999). Carbonates, especially calcite ( $\text{CaCO}_3$ ) and dolomite ( $\text{CaMg}(\text{CO}_3)_2$ ), are often found as limestones on the Earth's surface (Ehrlich & Newman, 2009). Moreover, 13% of the total land surface of the Earth is occupied by the near-surface calcretes and dolocretes in the soil environment and they are important carbon reservoirs in the Earth's lithosphere (Ehrlich & Newman, 2009; Goudie, 1996). A significant proportion of such carbonate


minerals at the Earth's surface is of biogenic origin, and many microorganisms, including bacteria and fungi, can deposit calcium carbonate extracellularly (Verrecchia, Dumont, & Rolko, 1990; Goudie 1996; Yamanaka, 1999; Verrecchia, 2000; Burford, Hillier, & Gadd, 2006; Navarathna et al., 2010; Barua *et al.*, 2012; Li et al., 2014, 2015). Calcium carbonate precipitation by bacteria is generally regarded to be inducible and the type of mineral produced is largely dependent on environmental conditions (Rivadeneira et al., 1994; Ben Omar, Arias, & González-Muñoz, 1997; Brennan, Lowenstein, & Horita, 2004). Bacteria involved in the nitrogen cycle are important organisms for calcium carbonate precipitation in various environments through the production of urease which mediates the precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , a process known as microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation (MICP) (Achal, 2015).

### **3.1 Microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation**

Microbially-induced calcium carbonate precipitation (MICP) by urease-producing bacteria involves a series of biochemical reactions. Apart from urease, the process requires calcium ions at a concentration that permits precipitation of carbonate, while nucleation sites with a strong affinity for cations enable the accumulation of calcium ions on cell walls.

In MICP, urease hydrolyses urea into ammonia and carbamate (Eq. 1), which on subsequent hydrolysis releases ammonia and carbonic acid (Eq. 2). These products equilibrate in water to form bicarbonate and ammonium and hydroxyl ions (Eqs. 3

and 4), resulting in an increase in pH that ultimately shifts the bicarbonate equilibrium to form carbonate ions (Eq. 5). Metabolic CO<sub>2</sub> from respiration further contributes to an increase in the level of dissolved inorganic carbon in the microenvironment to enhance the precipitation of calcium carbonate (Hammes & Verstraete, 2002). The conditions of high pH favour the formation of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> from HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (Knoll, 2003). The increased carbonate concentration therefore leads to CaCO<sub>3</sub> precipitation around cells, and in media, in the presence of calcium ions (Eqs. 6 and 7).



MICP has been shown to have potential as a remediation strategy for toxic metals since toxic metals can also be precipitated as insoluble carbonates (Fujita *et al.*, 2000, 2004, 2008; Achal, Pan, & Zhang, 2011; Li, Chen, & Guo, 2013). Furthermore, carbonates can be highly effective in further absorbing toxic metals (Plassard, Winiarski, & Petit-Ramel, 2000; Sipos *et al.*, 2005).

Urease-based MICP has been applied to enhance the durability of building

structures by improving strength, reducing water permeation and corrosion (De Muynck, Belie, & Verstraete, 2010; Achal, Mukherjee, & Reddy, 2011; Achal et al., 2012b; Phillips et al., 2013) and for cementation of cracks and fissures (Ramachandran, Ramakrishnan, & Bang; 2001; Van Tittelboom et al., 2010). It has also been used as a “bio-grout” for ground permeability control and reinforcement (Whiffin, Van Paassen, & Harkes, 2007; DeJong et al., 2010; Akiyama & Kawasaki, 2012), and the restoration of historical monuments (Tiano, Biagiotti, & Mastromei, 1999). Urease-producing organisms have also been proposed for novel applications in the bioremediation of toxic metals and radionuclides through the formation of insoluble metal-containing carbonates (Table 1). A diagram showing how urease mediates metal carbonate bioprecipitation is shown in Figure 1. Toxic metals may also precipitate on the Ca mineral surface as discrete compounds or form mixed solid-solutions, e.g.  $Cd_nCa_{n-1}CO_3$  (Papadopoulos & Rowell, 1988). The following sections discuss bioprecipitation of those metals where MICP has been successfully applied using urease-producing bacteria and fungi.

#### **4. BIOPRECIPITATION OF METAL(LOID)S BY BACTERIAL-INDUCED CARBONATE PRECIPITATION**

##### **4.1 Arsenic**

Arsenic, a crystalline metalloid, is highly toxic to all forms of life. The permissible limit of arsenic in soil is 24 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (USEPA 2009). The major sources of arsenic in soil are natural weathering from bedrock, atmospheric deposition, agricultural

materials and the coal industry. Arsenic is highly dangerous to human health as it can cause skin cancer, melanosis, and keratosis, as well as other physiological disorders (Singh et al., 2015). Removal of arsenic from contaminated soil is therefore very important and a great challenge using bioremediation methods. Arsenic exists in four oxidation states (0, -III, III and V) with arsenate [As(V)] and arsenite [As(III)] as predominant forms in contaminated environments. Due to the toxicity of arsenic, microorganisms possess mechanisms to resist its hazardous effects, mainly by active efflux, extracellular precipitation, chelation or intracellular sequestration (Kruger et al., 2013). Bioremediation may employ redox transformations of As via As(V) reduction and As(III) oxidation which can be carried out by a wide variety of As(V)-reducing and As(III)-oxidizing bacteria including *Chrysiogenes arsenatis*, *Sulfurospirillum barnesii*, *Bacillus arsenicoselenatis*, *Desulfitobacterium hafniense* and *Thiomonas arsenivorans* (see Yamamura & Amachi, 2014). While removing As from contaminated soil using *Bacillus selenatarsenatis* SF-1, Yamamura et al. (2008) successfully reported mobilization of As into the aqueous phase from contaminated soil through reduction of solid-phase As(V) and Fe(III); however, a maximum of 56% removal occurred from soil containing 250 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> As. Biovolatilization has also been used for As remediation and this resulted in about 2.2%–4.5% of arsenic removal from soil after a 30-day incubation using *Sphingomonas desiccabilis* and *Bacillus idriensis* (Liu et al., 2011). There was a significant rate of biovolatilization of As(V) and As(III) from culture medium by *Staphylococcus* sp. (Srivastava et al., 2012).

Arsenic in soils is most commonly associated with its primary minerals derived

from bedrock, secondary minerals (primarily Fe oxy/hydroxides; sulfides) formed in the course of mineral weathering, and As adsorbed to mineral surfaces. Association of As with calcium minerals is well known (Chang & Jackson, 1957). The precipitation of Ca arsenates was shown in highly acidic waste pile leachates after association with carbonate subsurface layers (Juillot et al., 1999). Furthermore, significant adsorption of As on carbonate mineral phases has been reported (Goldberg & Glaubig, 1988; Roman-Ross et al., 2006). It was demonstrated that arsenate may substitute for  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  in calcite from travertine, suggesting the possibility of As immobilization through carbonate precipitation (Di Benedetto et al., 2006).

In order to improve the efficiency of As removal, Achal *et al.* (2012a) used *Sporosarcina ginsengisoli* CR5 for remediation of As(III) in contaminated soil. This ureolytic bacterium significantly reduced the As concentration in the exchangeable fraction of soil to  $0.88 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  in a soil supplemented with  $500 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  As(III). It was proposed that calcite production by the bacterium facilitated precipitation of a strong arsenic-calcite complex leading to reduced As mobility. Such an immobilization process may enable metal(loid)s to be transformed in situ into insoluble and chemically inert forms and are applicable to removing metals from aqueous solution (Gadd, 2004; 2010). Analysis of the mineralogical products in MICP-treated As contaminated soil samples showed that various minerals such as gwihabaite, calcite, vaterite and aragonite were formed along with As(III)–calcite co-precipitation products (see Figure 2). Such co-precipitation is unaffected by the oxidation state of arsenic which confirms the efficiency of calcite as an effective

scavenger of a variety of metals (Rouff et al., 2004; Alexandratos, Elzinga, & Reeder, 2007). Urease-producing bacteria have therefore been shown to be effective for immobilization of high amounts of arsenic and are therefore potential candidates for application in arsenic contaminated sites.

## **4.2 Cadmium**

Cadmium (Cd) is a non-essential heavy metal, naturally present in soils and enriched by anthropogenic and agricultural activities. It occurs typically in the range of 0.1 and 1.0 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>. Cd contaminated soils pose a threat to human health through consumption of cereals or other crops grown in such soil (Smolders & Mertens, 2013). Cd can form complexes with various anions, such as Cl<sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> and PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> (Makino et al., 2006) and this property makes it a suitable candidate for immobilization by MICP. Though various methods of Cd bioremediation from soil have been suggested, immobilization of Cd is generally recognized as the most practical technology as it does not affect agricultural activity (Wang et al., 2014). Cadmium sorption has been studied in calcareous soil which implicated the efficiency of calcium carbonate in Cd removal (O'Connor, O'Connor, & Cline, 1984). Waste oyster shells containing high amounts of CaCO<sub>3</sub> were used to stabilize Cd contaminated soils (Ok, Lim, & Moon, 2011). Addition of calcium chloride was reported as the most appropriate soil-washing treatment for Cd contaminated soil and this resulted in 55% Cd removal from the exchangeable soil fraction (Makino et al., 2006). However, the major drawback of such an approach was that this was not



sufficient to remove the high remaining amount of Cd. There is scope therefore to enhance Cd bioremediation using urease-producing organisms that would lead to further Cd immobilization.

*Terrabacter tumescens*, a urease-producing bacterium, was reported to effectively remove more than 90% Cd within 72 h when  $2 \text{ g L}^{-1}$   $\text{CdCl}_2$  was present in laboratory media (Li, Cheng, & Guo, 2013). The Cd in solution was assumed to precipitate as cadmium carbonate ( $\text{CdCO}_3$ ). Li et al. (2013) also found effective immobilization of other metals such as Ni, Cu, Pb, Co and Zn using *T. tumescens*, which were precipitated as  $\text{NiCO}_3$ ,  $\text{CuCO}_3$ ,  $\text{PbCO}_3$ ,  $\text{CoCO}_3$  and  $\text{ZnCO}_3$ . These biominerals exhibited different morphologies and were rhombohedral, needle-like or spherical in shape, and of size 10-50  $\mu\text{m}$  (see Figure 3).

*Lysinibacillus sphaericus* CH-5 has been demonstrated to precipitate Cd based on ureolytic activity (Kang et al., 2014). This bacterium was isolated from an abandoned mine site and showed high urease activity ( $2.41 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$ ) and produced  $10 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$  calcite in broth containing beef extract, peptone and urea. Urease production was also evident in a consolidated sand column using *L. sphaericus* that resulted in improved mechanical properties. Urease production ( $1.72 \mu\text{mol min}^{-1}$ ) after 48 h in the presence of  $2 \text{ g L}^{-1}$  Cd resulted in 99.95% Cd removal (Kang et al., 2014). The precipitated Cd appeared mostly as spherical forms with a diameter of 10-40  $\mu\text{m}$ , while XRD revealed calcite peaks along with otavite showing clear precipitation of Cd as carbonate.

Recently, Kumari et al. (2014) reported MICP for Cd immobilization from soil at

low temperature. *Exiguobacterium undae* YR10 was added to soil artificially contaminated with 100 mg CdSO<sub>4</sub> kg<sup>-1</sup> soil, in the form of a bacterial culture grown in nutrient broth containing urea and calcium chloride. The experiments were terminated after 2 weeks and thereafter the soluble-exchangeable soil fraction contained 0.87 mg Cd per kg<sup>-1</sup> soil at 25°C, and 1.2 mg Cd kg<sup>-1</sup> soil at 10°C in the same fraction. The carbonate fraction of the soil had a significantly higher Cd concentration, suggesting that most of the Cd was either converted to CdCO<sub>3</sub> or co-precipitated with calcite. Although CdCO<sub>3</sub> is sparingly soluble in the soil solution, it may combine with CaCO<sub>3</sub> and remain immobilized (Kumari et al., 2014). In more recent research, calcium and cadmium carbonate biomineralization by the ureolytic fungus *Neurospora crassa* has been reported (Li, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2014). The Cd precipitates were identified as pure otavite (CdCO<sub>3</sub>). This suggested an important role for ureolytic microbes in providing a means of metal biorecovery as well as bioremediation (Li, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2014).

### **4.3 Chromium**

Chromium (Cr) is often considered to be a “local source” contaminant and presumed not to constitute a widespread environmental problem (Samborska, Stepniewska, & Stepniewski, 2004). However, its toxic effects cannot be ignored. It contaminates soils from metallurgy operations, electroplating, production of paints and pigments, tanning, wood preservation, chromium chemical production, and pulp and paper production. Cr exists primarily in two different oxidation states as Cr(III) and Cr(VI), of which

Cr(III) is non-toxic and exhibits limited environmental disruption, while Cr(VI) is highly mobile, soluble and toxic with strong oxidizing properties (Zhang & Li, 2011). The disposal of Cr-containing wastes over large areas has led to extensive contamination of soil in many parts of the world. The sites around such dumping zones are highly prone to further contamination due to leaching and seepage of Cr(VI) into the groundwater (Zayed & Terry, 2003). In view of the seriousness of Cr(VI) pollution, efforts have been made based on a bioconsolidation approach involving urease-producing bacteria for the treatment of Cr-contaminated soils and slags.

Co-precipitation of Cr(VI), in which chromate incorporates into mineral structures, has been considered as an alternative means of limiting the mobility of chromate, although few studies address the interaction of Cr with calcium carbonate minerals (Tang et al., 2007). In one study, urease-producing bacteria were used to produce calcite and consequently entrap chromate. A calcifying ureolytic *Bacillus* sp. CS8 was used to consolidate Cr slag in the form of bricks of size  $18 \times 9.5 \times 3.5$  cm (Achal et al., 2013). The bioconsolidation resulted in a significant decrease in Cr(VI) in the exchangeable fraction that was 95% lower than the control. At the same time, the increased carbonate-bound Cr(VI) suggested preferential incorporation into the calcite during crystal growth (Tang et al., 2007). MICP was also tested to confirm its efficiency in preventing metal leaching in soil column experiments. *Bacillus* sp. CS8 reduced the flow rate from a Cr slag column by reducing permeability due to a calcium carbonate layer being precipitated by the bacteria (Achal et al., 2013).

In another study, soils artificially contaminated with  $100 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  Cr(VI) were

treated with ureolytic *B. cereus* YR5 which resulted in a significant decrease (92%) of Cr(VI) in the exchangeable fraction of the polluted soil and increased the carbonate-bound Cr(VI) fraction (Kumari et al., 2014). One report suggested the presence of urea enhanced Cr(VI) removal efficiency during electrochemical remediation of Cr(VI) in chromium slag. The Cr(VI) in the calcium carbonate structure showed resistance to gaseous reductants or solution-phase extractants (Thornton & Amonette, 1999; Hua et al., 2007) implying long-term stability of Cr(VI) incorporation in the calcium carbonate and prevention of Cr(VI) release.

#### **4.4 Copper**

Copper is a common soil contaminant (Santorufu, Van Gestel, & Maisto, 2012). Anthropogenic activities (such as application of sewage sludge, mine slags, industrial wastewaters, fungicides, and fertilizers) can lead to the elevation of copper to toxic levels in agricultural soils (Wang, Hua, & Ma, 2012; Hu et al., 2014; Anjum et al., 2015). Soluble and exchangeable metals such as copper are often considered as being the most potentially toxic in soil (Yang et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2014) and copper remediation from this soil fraction is therefore highly desired.

The versatility of *Kocuria flava* CR1 with a high tolerance to copper and urease producing ability has been documented for effective treatment of copper in contaminated soil (Achal, Pan, & Zhang, 2011). This bacterium produced a very high amount of urease (472 U ml<sup>-1</sup>) in nutrient broth-urea media, establishing MICP for copper immobilization. Copper removal was 95% from a solution containing 500 mg

L<sup>-1</sup> CuSO<sub>4</sub>.5H<sub>2</sub>O. The resulting precipitates were evaluated by FTIR and identified as calcium carbonate and aragonite (Vagenas, Gatsouli, & Kontoyannis, 2003). MICP using ureolytic bacteria was also effective in copper contaminated soil and 98% copper was immobilized from soil containing 340 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> copper (Achal, Pan, & Zhang, 2011). Only 3.5 mg Cu kg<sup>-1</sup> soil remained in the exchangeable fraction after treatment compared to 67 mg Cu kg<sup>-1</sup> in untreated soil. Copper was also immobilized as CuCO<sub>3</sub> by the ureolytic bacterium *Terrabacter tumescens* (Li, Cheng, & Guo, 2013).

#### 4.5 Lead

Lead (Pb) is a toxic metal that may pollute soil or water due to emission from automobiles, waste irrigation, pesticide application, mining and smelting, and ultimately may pose a health risk (Gworek, 1992; Li et al., 2009). Lead is also the most distinctive heavy metal contaminant of urban soils. Once it accumulates inside humans, it can cause neurodegenerative damage, DNA damage, apoptosis, cancer and various disabilities in children (Gworek, 1992; Li et al., 2009).

Urease based MICP has been shown to be highly effective in lead immobilization. A urease-producing *Kocuria flava* CR1 that grew well in nutrient media supplemented with 50 mM Pb was able to remove 80% Pb from the soluble-exchangeable fraction of contaminated soil (Achal *et al.*, 2012c). The bioremediation efficiency of MICP was confirmed in terms of the distribution coefficient ( $\gamma_i$ ) of each Pb fraction, indicating a significant increase in  $\gamma_i$  of carbonate-bound Pb, while at the same time

the  $\gamma_i$  of soluble-exchangeable Pb was reduced greatly. It was concluded that Pb immobilization by such a mechanism could be of considerable relevance because of its stability in a variety of geologic environments (Achal et al., 2012c). Another efficient urease producer, *Sporosarcina koreensis* (UR47) was reported to remove 99% lead from a solution containing 2 g L<sup>-1</sup> PbCl<sub>2</sub> through MICP (Li, Cheng, & Guo, 2013).

A lead resistant *Bacillus* sp. KK1 isolated from Pb contaminated mine tailings effectively biomineralized mobile Pb (Govarthanan et al., 2013). The lead mineral products were lead sulfide (PbS) and lead silicon oxide (PbSiO<sub>3</sub>) (see Figure 4a). *Bacillus* sp. KK1 was used to treat lead contaminated mine tailings containing Pb 1050 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and this resulted in a 26% decrease in the exchangeable Pb fraction in the bioaugmented tailings (Govarthanan et al., 2013). At the same time, the carbonate Pb fraction increased by 38% due to bacterially-mediated precipitation of Pb (see Figure 4b). XRD spectra showed differences in PbO and Pb(OH)<sub>2</sub> in bioaugmented mine tailings when compared with the control, indicating that MICP could effectively scavenge different species of Pb (Govarthanan et al., 2013).

Recently, urease-producing *Sphingobacterium* sp., *Enterobacter cloacae*, and *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* which showed a high Pb tolerance were isolated from soils at abandoned metal mine sites (Kang et al., 2015). These bacteria showed the presence of ureC genes which were amplified using UreC-F and UreC-R primers (Gresham et al., 2007). A high removal rate (68%) of Pb was observed within 48 h based on MICP resulting in lead carbonate precipitates of diameter ~5  $\mu$ m. The MICP

process also resulted in a significant increase in enzyme activities (phosphatase 37%, dehydrogenase 14%, and urease 334%) in the treated mine tailings (Govarthanan et al., 2013). Increased urease and dehydrogenase activity in Pb-contaminated soils after adding ureolytic bacteria has also been reported by others (Achal et al., 2012c).

#### **4.6 Radionuclide bioprecipitation by urease-producing bacteria**

Radioactive contamination has been a serious problem since the development of nuclear technology. Significant amounts of radionuclides are discharged by industrial activities allied to nuclear power generation, nuclear weapons and accidental release (Pollmann et al., 2006). Soils contaminated with radionuclides, such as  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$  and  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ , pose a long-term radiation hazard to human health through exposure via the food chain and other pathways. They pose serious health impacts on humans and cause neurological disorders, infertility, birth defects, and various types of cancer (Najem & Voyce, 1990; Mossman, 2003; Das, 2012).

The concept of biomineralization in radionuclide bioremediation was introduced several years ago. Radionuclides can be immobilized through interactions between microbially-produced sulfide (White, Sharman, & Gadd, 1998; Lebranz et al., 2000) and phosphate (Macaskie et al., 1992; Boswell, Dick, & Macaskie, 1999; Jeong & Macaskie, 1999), or through bacterial iron oxidation (Banfield et al., 2000) in the general process of biomineralization (Martinez et al., 2007). Uranium phosphate precipitation has been facilitated by diverse bacterial genera including *Arthrobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Rahnella*, *Deinococcus*, *Escherichia* and *Pseudomonas* (Basnakova et al.,

1998; Powers et al., 2002; Appukuttan, Rao, & Apte, 2006). It has also been shown that *Bacillus subtilis* can immobilize U through the formation of uranyl-hydroxide, uranyl-carbonate, and calcium-uranyl-carbonate species with functional groups present on cell surfaces (Fowle, Fein, & Martin, 2000; Gorman-Lewis, Elias, & Fein, 2005). *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, an indigenous bacterial isolate from uranium mine waste, could sequester soluble uranium in mineral form, the bioaccumulated uranium being sequestered as crystalline needle-shaped U phosphate compounds within the cell envelope, identified as  $\text{UO}_2(\text{PO}_3)_2$ ,  $(\text{UO}_2)_3(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{U}_2\text{O}(\text{PO}_4)_2$  (Choudhary & Sar, 2011).


Biom mineralization of radionuclides has been further investigated using urease-producing bacteria. The remediation of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  from the Snake River Plain Aquifer (SRPA), which underlies the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL), USA, was evaluated based on a ureolytically driven calcite precipitation approach (Fujita et al., 2004).  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  is a significant aquifer and vadose zone contaminant at the INEEL, as well as at a number of DOE facilities across the USA (Riley & Zachara, 1992). Native ureolytic microbes were used to remediate  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  contamination at the Hanford 100-N area in Washington where ureolytic activities of microbes were confirmed by UreC amplification (Fujita et al., 2010). Quantitative assays detected up to  $2 \times 10^4$  putative ureC gene copies  $\text{mL}^{-1}$  in water and up to  $9 \times 10^5$  copies  $\text{g}^{-1}$  in sediment. Further analyses indicated that the Sr was incorporated into calcite ensuring the relative stability of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  (Fujita et al., 2010).

In another study, a possible role of ureolytic *Halomonas* sp. was reported for the




remediation of strontium (Sr) in aquifer sand (Achal, Pan, & Zhang, 2012). The overall reactions involved in the bioremediation process included urease producing  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , desorption of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and/or  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$  from solid surfaces by  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  promoted precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and co-precipitation of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$  (Wu et al., 2011). The hydrolysis of urea produces bicarbonate and ammonium, where bicarbonate participates directly in calcite precipitation, and ammonium can exchange for sorbed strontium, calcium, and other metals, resulting in their enhanced susceptibility to recapture via carbonate mineral formation (Fujita et al., 2010). Some possible chemical reactions can be summarized as follows (Achal, Pan, & Zhang, 2012) (Eqs. 8 and 9):

(i) Urease mediated reaction producing  $\text{NH}_4^+$  and  $\text{HCO}_3^-$



(ii) Precipitation of calcite and co-precipitation of  $^{90}\text{Sr}$ , promoted by  $\text{HCO}_3^-$




## **5. BIOPRECIPITATION OF METAL(LOID)S BY FUNGAL-INDUCED CARBONATE PRECIPITATION**

Fungi are ubiquitous chemoorganotrophic (heterotrophic) organisms and their importance as animal and plant symbionts and pathogens, and spoilage organisms of natural and manufactured materials is profound (Gadd, 2008). Metals, metalloids, metal radionuclides, organometals and organometalloids, and their compounds,

interact with fungi in various ways depending on the chemical speciation, organism and environmental factors (Gadd, 1993, 1999, 2007; Gadd et al., 2012). Both metabolism-independent and -dependent fungal activities can result in the precipitation of secondary organic and inorganic minerals (e.g. oxalates, oxides, phosphates and carbonates). Fungi can act as effective biosorbents for a variety of metals including U, Th, Pb, Cu, Zn, Cd and Ni, and can also affect speciation and mobility of metals and radionuclides through mineral dissolution and bioprecipitation (Gadd, 1993, 2007, 2009, 2010). The key factors that can influence the nucleation, growth and deposition of biominerals on and around fungal biomass include pH and cell wall composition as well as excretion of various organic and inorganic metabolites (Gadd, 2010). The precipitation of carbonates, phosphates and hydroxides can increase soil aggregation and cations such as  $\text{Si}^{4+}$ ,  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ,  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  (that may be released through mineral dissolution mechanisms) may act as bonding agents for soil particles. Hyphae can also enmesh soil particles (Bronick & Lal, 2005). Apart from the biomineral examples that follow, several other carbonate minerals precipitated by fungi have been recorded (Table 2).

One mechanism commonly associated with the biomineralization of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  is based on urea degradation, as in bacteria, which leads to the release of carbonate which is then precipitated by available Ca (Whiffin, van Paassen, & Harkes, 2007; Burbank et al., 2011). Li et al. (2014) used urea-hydrolysing *Neurospora crassa* grown in a urea and calcium-rich medium in order to produce ammonium ( $\text{NH}_4^+$ ) and dissolved carbonate which together with increasing medium pH, resulted in calcite

bioprecipitation (Eqs. 10, 11):



It was shown that more than 90% of supplied calcium (at a concentration of 50 mM) could be precipitated as calcite by the fungus (Li, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2014). When incubated in urea-containing medium modified with different concentrations of  $\text{CaCl}_2$  and  $\text{SrCl}_2$ , various other minerals were deposited in the medium and around the biomass (see Figure 5) and these were identified as calcite and strontianite ( $\text{SrCO}_3$ ) (unpublished data). Furthermore, cracks involving hyphae were observed on the surface of some of the crystals (see Figure 5a) which indicated that hyphae may act as nucleation sites for some of the calcite precipitation observed. Compared to the simpler bacterial cell form, the fungal filamentous growth habit could provide more framework support and stability for the precipitation of calcite or other biominerals. Such performance of a urease-positive fungus in urea-supplemented media suggests a promising method for calcite synthesis as well as other metal-containing carbonates. For example, 50% of supplied  $\text{CdCl}_2$  (at a concentration of 0.5 M) was precipitated as pure otavite ( $\text{CdCO}_3$ ) by the culture supernatant obtained after growth of *N. crassa* in urea-supplemented medium (Li, Csetenyi, & Gadd, 2014). Urease-positive fungi (*Pestalotiopsis* sp. and *Myrothecium gramineum*) isolated from calcareous soil were also found to precipitate  $\text{CaCO}_3$  and  $\text{SrCO}_3$  as well as olekminskite ( $\text{Sr}(\text{Sr,Ca})(\text{CO}_3)_2$ )

and Sr-containing vaterite ( $(Ca_xSr_{1-x})CO_3$ ) (Li et al., 2015). The soil fungus *Paecilomyces javanicus* was found to mediate the transformation of metallic lead into lead secondary minerals: plumbonacrite ( $Pb_{10}(CO_3)_6O(OH)_6$ ), hydrocerussite ( $Pb_3(CO_3)_2(OH)_2$ ) and a new lead hydroxycarbonate (Rhee, Hiller, & Gadd, 2015). The roles of fungi in the environmental fate of toxic metals is of considerable interest although biologically-induced calcium carbonate precipitation has received little attention as a potential remediating strategy for contaminated environments or for element biorecovery (Pan, 2009; Achal et al., 2012a, b). Many free-living fungi are capable of urea degradation (Li et al., 2014, 2015). Most ammonia fungi as well as ectomycorrhizal fungi also show strong abilities of urea degradation (Yamanaka, 1999; Barua et al., 2012). Ammonia fungi are an abundant group of soil fungi which flourish when additional nitrogenous substances are present, such as urea, the degradation of which leads to soil alkalization to pH 9-10 (Navarathna et al., 2010).

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

One of the primary objectives of bioremediation of contaminated soil is to reduce the bioavailability of metals. The urease driven MICP process may offer a promising option for immobilizing heavy metals. Since urea-hydrolysing microorganisms show the ability to precipitate Ca as  $CaCO_3$ , this means they can also be applied to other toxic metals to form other metal carbonates. During the precipitation of calcite, toxic metal ions may be incorporated into the  $CaCO_3$  by substituting for  $Ca^{2+}$  or may also co-precipitate within the  $CaCO_3$  lattice structure. Although the total toxic metal

concentration in soil remains unchanged during MICP, a significant majority of the contaminant may be removed from the soluble-exchangeable fraction to the carbonate-bound fraction. Microbial metal carbonate precipitation is also relevant to detoxification of contaminated process streams and effluents, as well as the synthesis of novel metal carbonates and biorecovery of metals and radionuclides that form insoluble carbonates.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. U1403181, U1503281, 41450110430, 41450110458). G. M. Gadd gratefully acknowledges an award under the 1000 Talents Plan with the Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China. We also acknowledge financial support from the China Scholarship Council through a PhD scholarship to Qianwei Li (No. 201206120066).

## REFERENCES

- Achal, V. (2015). Production of bacteria for structural concrete, In F. Pacheco Torgal, J. A. Labrincha, M. V. Diamanti, C. P. Yu, & H. K. Lee (Eds.), *Biotechnologies and Biomimetics for Civil Engineering* (pp. 309-324). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., & Zhang, D. (2011). Remediation of copper contaminated soil by *Kocuria flava* CR1, based on microbially induced calcite precipitation. *Ecological Engineering*, 37, 1601-1605.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., & Zhang, D. (2012). Bioremediation of strontium (Sr) contaminated aquifer quartz sand based on calcite precipitation induced by Sr resistant *Halomonas* sp. *Chemosphere*, 89, 764-766.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., Lee, D. J., Kumari, D., & Zhang, D. (2013). Remediation of Cr(VI) from chromium slag by biocementation. *Chemosphere*, 93, 1352-1358.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., Zhang, D., & Fu, Q. L. (2012a). Biomineralization based remediation of As(III) contaminated soil by *Sporosarcina ginsengisoli*. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 201-202, 178-184.
- Achal, V., Mukherjee, A., Goyal, S., & Reddy, M.S. (2012b). Corrosion prevention of reinforced concrete with microbial calcite precipitation. *ACI Materials Journal*, 109, 157-164.
- Achal, V., Pan, X., Zhang, D., & Fu, Q. L. (2012c). Bioremediation of Pb-contaminated soil based on microbially induced calcite precipitation. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 22, 244-247.
- Ahmad, A., Rautaray, D. & Sastry, M. (2004). Biogenic calcium carbonate: calcite

- crystals of variable morphology by the reaction of aqueous  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  ions with fungi. *Advanced Functional Materials*, 14, 1075-1080.
- Akiyama, M., & Kawasaki, S. (2012). Novel grout material comprised of calcium phosphate compounds: in vitro evaluation of crystal precipitation and strength reinforcement. *Engineering Geology*, 125, 119-128.
- Alexandratos, V. G., Elzinga, E. J., & Reeder, R. J. (2007). Arsenate uptake by calcite: macroscopic and spectroscopic characterization of adsorption and incorporation mechanisms. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71, 4172-4187.
- Anjum, N. A., Singh, H. P., Khan, M. I. R., Masood, A., Per, T. S., Negi, A., *et al.* (2015). Too much is bad – an appraisal of phytotoxicity of elevated plant-beneficial heavy metal ions. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22, 3361-3382.
- Appukuttan, D., Rao, A. S., & Apte, S. K. (2006). Engineering of *Deinococcus radiodurans* R1 for bioprecipitation of uranium from dilute nuclear waste. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72, 7873-7878.
- Banfield, J. F., Welch, S. A., Zhang, H. Z., Ebert, T. T., & Penn, R. L. (2000). Aggregation-based crystal growth and microstructure development in natural iron oxyhydroxide biomineralization products. *Science*, 289, 751-754.
- Basnakova, G., Stephens, E. R., Thaller, M. C., Rossolini, G. M., & Macaskie, L. E. (1998). The use of *Escherichia coli* bearing a *phoN* gene for the removal of uranium and nickel from aqueous flows. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 50, 266-272.

- Bazylnski, D. A. (2001). Bacterial mineralization. In K. H. J. Buschow, R. Cahn, M. Flemings, B. Ilschner, E. Kramer, S. Mahajan, & P. Veysiere (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Materials: Science and Technology* (pp. 441-448). Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Barua, B. S., Suzuki, A., Pham, H. N., & Inatomi, S. (2012). Adaptation of ammonia fungi to urea enrichment environment. *Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology*, 8, 173-189.
- Ben Omar, N., Arias, J.M., & González-Muñoz, M.T. (1997). Extracellular bacterial mineralization within the context of geomicrobiology. *Microbiologia*, 12, 161-172.
- Benzerara, K., Miot, J., Morin, G., Ona-Nguema, G., Skouri-Panet, F., & Férard, C. (2011). Significance, mechanisms and environmental implications of microbial biomineralization. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, 343, 160-167.
- Berman, A., Addadi, L., Kivick, A., Leiserowitz, L., Nelson, M., & Weiner, S. (1990). Intercalation of sea urchin proteins in calcite: study of a crystalline composite material. *Science*, 250, 664-667.
- Bishop, P. L. (2002). *Pollution prevention: fundamentals and practice*. Beijing: Tsinghua University Press.
- Blakeley, R. L., & Zerner, B. (1984). Jack bean urease: the first nickel enzyme. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis*, 23, 263-292.



- Boswell, C. D., Dick, R. E., & Macaskie, L. E. (1999). The effect of heavy metals and other environmental conditions on the anaerobic phosphate metabolism of *Acinetobacter johnsonii*. *Microbiology*, *145*, 1711-1717.
- Brennan, S.T., Lowenstein, T. K., & Horita, J. (2004) Seawater chemistry and the advent of biocalcification. *Geology*, *32*, 473-476.
- Bronick, C. J., & Lal, R. (2005). Soil structure and management: a review. *Geoderma*, *124*, 3-22.
- Burbank, M. B., Weaver, T. J., Green, T. L., Williams, B. C., & Crawford, R. L. (2011). Precipitation of calcite by indigenous microorganisms to strengthen liquefiable soils. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, *28*, 301-312.
- Burford, E. P., Hillier, S., & Gadd, G. M. (2006). Biomineralization of fungal hyphae with calcite (CaCO<sub>3</sub>) and calcium oxalate mono- and dihydrate in carboniferous limestone microcosms. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, *23*, 599–611.
- Burford, E. P., Kierans, M., & Gadd, G. M. (2003). Geomycology: fungal growth in mineral substrata. *Mycologist*, *17*, 98–107.
- Castanier, S., Levrel, G. L. M., & Perthuisot, J. P. (1999) Ca-carbonates precipitation and limestone genesis-the microbiogeologist point of view. *Sedimentary Geology*, *126*, 9-23.
- Chang, S. C., & Jackson, M. L. (1957). Fractionation of soil phosphorus. *Soil Science*, *84*, 133-144.

- Choudhary, S., & Sar, P. (2011). Uranium biomineralization by a metal resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain isolated from contaminated mine waste. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 186, 336-343.
- Das, N. (2012). Remediation of radionuclide pollutants through biosorption - an overview. *Clean-Soil, Air, Water*, 40, 16-23.
- De Muynck, W., Belie, N., & Verstraete, W. (2010). Microbial carbonate precipitation in construction materials: a review. *Ecological Engineering*, 36, 118-136.
- DeJong, J. T., Mortensen, M. B., Martinez, B. C., & Nelson, D. C. (2010). Biomediated soil improvement. *Ecological Engineering*, 36, 197-210.
- Di Benedetto, F., Costagliola, P., Benvenuti, M., *et al.*, (2006). Arsenic incorporation in natural calcite lattice: evidence from electron spin echo spectroscopy. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 246, 458-465.
- Dunn, B. E., Campbell, G. P., Perez-Perez, G. I., & Blaser, M. J. (1990). Purification and characterization of urease from *Helicobacter pylori*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 265, 9464-16.
- Easton, R. M. (1997) Lichen-rock-mineral interactions: an overview. In J. M. McIntosh, & L. A. Groat (Eds.), *Biological-Mineralogical Interactions* (pp. 209-239). Ottawa: Mineralogical Association of Canada.
- Ehrlich, H. L. (1998). Geomicrobiology: its significance for geology. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 45, 45-60.
- Ehrlich, H. L. & Newman, D. K. (2009). *Geomicrobiology*, 5th edn, Boca Raton, FL, USA: CRC Press/Taylor and Francis Group.

- Fouke, B. W. (2011). Hot-spring systems geobiology: abiotic and biotic influences on travertine formation at Mammoth Hot Springs, Yellowstone National Park, USA. *Sedimentology*, 58, 170-219.
- Fowle, D. A., Fein, J. B., & Martin, A. M. (2000). Experimental study of uranyl adsorption onto *Bacillus subtilis*. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 34, 3737-17.
- Francis, P. S., Lewis, S. W., & Lim, K. F. (2002). Analytical methodology for the determination of urea: current practice and future trends. *TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 21, 389-400.
- Fujita, Y., Ferris, F. G., Lawson, R. D., Colwell, F. S., & Smith R. W. (2000). Calcium carbonate precipitation by ureolytic subsurface bacteria. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 17, 305-18.
- Fujita, Y., Redden, G. D., Ingram, J. C., Cortez, M. M., Ferris, F. G., & Smith, S. W. (2004). Strontium incorporation into calcite generated by bacterial ureolysis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 68, 3261-3270.
- Fujita, Y., Taylo, J., Gresham, T., Delwiche, M., Colwell, F.S., McLing, T. L., Petzke, L. M., & Smith, R. W. (2008). Stimulation of microbial urea hydrolysis in groundwater to enhance calcite precipitation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 42, 3025-3032.
- Fujita, Y., Taylor, J., Wendt, L., Reed, D., & Smith, R. (2010). Evaluating the potential of native ueolytic microbes to remediate Sr contaminated environment. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44, 7652-7658.

- Gadd, G. M. (1993). Interactions of fungi with toxic metals. *New Phytologist*, *124*, 25–60.
- Gadd, G. M. (1999). Fungal production of citric and oxalic acid: importance in metal speciation, physiology and biogeochemical processes. *Advanced in Microbial Physiology*, *41*, 47-92.
- Gadd, G. M. (2004). Microbial influence on metal mobility and application for bioremediation. *Geoderma*, *122*, 109-119.
- Gadd, G. M. (2007). Geomycology: biogeochemical transformations of rocks, minerals, metals and radionuclides by fungi, bioweathering and bioremediation. *Mycological Research*, *111*, 3-49.
- Gadd, G. M. (2008). Fungi and their role in the biosphere, In: Jorgensen, S. E., & Fath, B., (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Ecology*, Elsevier, Amsterdam. pp. 1709–10177.
- Gadd, G. M. (2009). Biosorption: critical review of scientific rationale, environmental importance and significance for pollution treatment. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, *84*, 13-28.
- Gadd, G. M. (2010). Metals, minerals and microbes: geomicrobiology and bioremediation. *Microbiology*, *156*, 609-643.
- Gadd, G. M., & Raven, J. A. (2010). Geomicrobiology of eukaryotic microorganisms. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, *27*, 491-519.
- Gadd, G. M., Rhee, Y. J., Stephenson, K., & Wei, Z. (2012). Geomycology: metals, actinides and biominerals. *Environmental Microbiology Reports*, *4*, 270-296.
- Gadd, G. M., Bahri-Esfahani, J., Li, Q., Rhee, Y. J., Wei, Z., Fomina, M., & Liang, X.

- (2014). Oxalate production by fungi: significance in geomycology, biodeterioration and bioremediation. *Fungal Biology Reviews*, 28, 36–55.
- Gatermann, S., & Marre, R. (1989). Cloning and expression of *Staphylococcus saprophyticus* urease gene sequences in *Staphylococcus carnosus* and contribution of the enzyme to virulence. *Infection and Immunity*, 57, 2998-3002.
- Goldberg, S., & Glaubig, R. (1988). Anion sorption on a calcareous, montmorillonitic soil - arsenic. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 52, 1297-1300.
- Gorman-Lewis, D., Elias, P. E., & Fein, J. B. (2005). Adsorption of aqueous uranyl complexes onto *Bacillus subtilis* cells. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 39, 4906-4913.
- Goudie, A. S. (1996). Organic agency in calcrete development. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 32, 103-110.
- Govarthanan, M., Lee, K. J., Cho, M., Kim, J. S., Kamala-Kannan, S., & Oh, B. T. (2013). Significance of autochthonous *Bacillus sp.* KK1 on biomineralization of lead in mine tailings. *Chemosphere*, 90, 2267-2272.
- Graham, D. Y., Klein, P. D., Evans, D. J., Alpert, L. C., Opekun A. R., Boutton T. W. (1987). *Campylobacter pyloridis* detected by the <sup>13</sup>C-urea test. *Lancet*, i, 1174-1177.
- Gresham, T. L. T., Sheridan, P. P., Watwood, M. E., Fujita, Y., Colwell, F. S. (2007). Design and validation of ure C-based primers for groundwater detection of urea-hydrolyzing bacteria. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 24, 353-364.

- Guimaraes, B. C. M., Arends, J. B. A., van der Ha, D., de Wiele, T. V., Boon, N., & Verstraete, W. (2010). Microbial services and their management: recent progresses in soil bioremediation technology. *Applied Soil Ecology*, *46*, 157-167.
- Gworek, B. (1992). Lead inactivation in soils by zeolites. *Plant and Soil*, *143*, 71-74.
- Hammes, F., & Verstraete, W. (2002). Key roles of pH and calcium metabolism in microbial carbonate precipitation. *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*, *1*, 3-7.
- Hu, B., Liang, D., Liu, J., Lei, L., & Yu, D. (2014). Transformation of heavy metal fractions on soil urease and nitrate reductase activities in copper and selenium co-contaminated soil. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, *110*, 41-48.
- Hua, B., Deng, B., Thornton, E. C., Yang, J., & Amonette, J. E. (2007). Incorporation of chromate into calcium carbonate structure during coprecipitation. *Water Air and Soil Pollution*, *179*, 381-390.
- Jeong, B. C., & Macaskie, L. E. (1999). Production of two phosphatases by a *Citrobacter* sp. grown in batch and continuous culture. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, *24*, 218-224.
- Jones, B. D., & Mobley, H. L. T. (1988). *Proteus mirabilis* urease: genetic organization, regulation, and expression of structural genes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *170*, 3342-3348.
- Juillot, F., Ildefonse, P., Morin, G., Calas, G., de Kersabiec, A. M., Benedetti, M. (1999). Remobilization of arsenic from buried wastes at an industrial site: mineralogical and geochemical control. *Applied Geochemistry*, *14*, 1031-1048.

- Kang, C. H., Han, S. H., Shin, Y. J., Oh, S. J., & So, J. S. (2014). Bioremediation of Cd by microbially induced calcite precipitation. *Applied Biochemistry & Biotechnology*, 172, 1929-1937.
- Kang, C. H., Oh, S. J., Shin, Y. J., Han, S-H., Nam, I-H. & So, J-S. (2015). Bioremediation of lead by ureolytic bacteria isolated from soil at abandoned metal mines in South Korea. *Ecological Engineering*, 74, 402-407.
- Knoll, A. H. (2003). Biomineralization and evolutionary history. *Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry*, 54, 329-356.
- Krajewska, B. (2009). Ureasases. II. Properties and their customizing by enzyme immobilizations: a review. *Journal of Molecular Catalysis B: Enzymatic*, 59, 22-40.
- Krishna, K. R., & Philip, L. (2005). Bioremediation of Cr(VI) in contaminated soils. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 121, 109-117.
- Kruger, M. C., Bertin, P. N., Heipieper, H. J., & Arsène-Ploetze, F. (2013). Bacterial metabolism of environmental arsenic-mechanisms and biotechnological applications. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 97, 3827-3841.
- Kumari, D., Pan, X., Lee, D. J., & Achal, V. (2014). Immobilization of cadmium in soil by microbially induced carbonate precipitation with *Exiguobacterium undae* at low temperature. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 94, 98-102.

- Lakshminarayanan, R., Kini, R. M., & Valiyaveetil, S. (2002). Investigation of the role of ansocalcin in the biomineralization in goose eggshell matrix. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA*, 99, 5155-5158.
- Lebranz, M., Druschel, G. K., Thomsen-Ebert, T., Gilbert, B., Welch, S. A., Kemner, K. M., *et al.* (2000). Formation of sphalerite (ZnS) deposits in natural biofilms of sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Science*, 290, 1744-1747.
- Li, H., Shi, W. Y., Shao, H. B., & Shao, M. A. (2009). The remediation of the lead-polluted garden soil by natural zeolite. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 169, 1106-1111.
- Li, M., Cheng, X., & Guo, H. (2013). Heavy metal removal by biomineralization of urease producing bacteria isolated from soil. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 76, 81-85.
- Li, Q., Csetenyi, L., & Gadd, G. M. (2014). Biomineralization of metal carbonates by *Neurospora crassa*. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 48, 14409-14416.
- Li, Q., Csetenyi, L., Paton, G. I., & Gadd, G. M. (2015). CaCO<sub>3</sub> and SrCO<sub>3</sub> bioprecipitation by fungi isolated from calcareous soil. *Environmental Microbiology*, 17, 3082-3097.
- Liu, S., Zhang, F., Chen, J., & Sun, G. (2011). Arsenic removal from contaminated soil via biovolatilization by genetically engineered bacteria under laboratory conditions. *Journal of Environmental Science-China*, 23, 1544-1549.
- Lloyd, A. B., & Sheaffe, M. J. (1973). Urease activity in soils. *Plant Soil*, 39, 71-80.



- Lowenstam, H. A., & Weiner, S. (1989). *On Biomineralization*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Macaskie, L. E., Empson, R. M., Cheetham, A. K., Grey, C. P., & Skarnulis, A. J. (1992). Uranium bioaccumulation by a *Citrobacter* sp. as a result of enzymatically-mediated growth of polycrystalline  $\text{H}_2\text{UO}_2\text{PO}_4$ . *Science*, *257*, 782-784.
- Makino, T., Sugahara, K., Sakurai, Y., Takano, H., Kamiya, T., Sasaki, K., Tkano, H., Kamiya, T., Sasaki, K., Itou, T., & Sekiya, N. (2006). Remediation of cadmium contamination in paddy soils by washing with chemicals: selection of washing chemicals. *Environmental Pollution*, *144*, 2-10.
- Margesin, R., Zimmerbauer, A., & Schinner, F. (2000). Monitoring of bioremediation by soil biological activities. *Chemosphere*, *40*, 339-346.
- Masaphy, S., Zabari, L., Pastrana, J., & Dultz, S. (2009). Role of fungal mycelium in the formation of carbonate concentrations in growing media-an investigation by SEM and synchrotron-based X-ray tomographic microscopy. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, *26*, 442-450.
- Martinez, R. J., Beazley, M. J., Taillefert, M., Arakaki, A. K., Skolnick, J., & Sobecky, P. A. (2007). Aerobic uranium(VI) bioprecipitation by metal resistant bacteria. *Environmental Microbiology*, *9*, 3122-3133.
- Mobley, H. L. T., & Hausinger, R. P. (1989). Microbial ureases: significance, regulation and molecular characterization. *Microbiological Reviews*, *53*, 85-108.

- Mobley, H. L. T., Island, M. D., & Hausinger, R. P. (1995). Molecular biology of microbial ureases. *Microbiological Reviews*, 59, 451-480.
- Mossman, K. I. (2003). Restructuring nuclear regulations. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 111, 13-17.
- Mukkamala, S. B.; Anson, C. E., and Powell, A. K. (2006). Modelling calcium carbonate biomineralisation processes. *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry*, 100, 1128-1138.
- Mulvaney, R. L., & Bremner, J. M. (1981). Control of urea transformations in soils. *Soil Biochemistry*, 5, 153.
- Najem, G. R., & Voyce, L. K. (1990). Health effects of a thorium waste disposal site. *American Journal of Public Health*, 80, 478-480.
- Navarathna, D. H., Harris, S. D., Roberts, D. D., & Nickerson, K. W. (2010). Evolutionary aspects of urea utilization by fungi. *FEMS Yeast Research*, 10, 209-213.
- Nessner Kavamura, V., & Esposito, E. (2010). Biotechnological strategies applied to the decontamination of soils polluted with heavy metals. *Biotechnology Advances*, 28, 61-69.
- Northup, D. E., & Lavoie, K. H. (2001). Geomicrobiology of caves: a review. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 18, 199-222.
- O'Connor, G. A., O'Connor, C., & Cline, G. R. (1984). Sorption of cadmium by calcareous soils: influence of solution composition. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 48, 1244-1247.

- Ok, Y. S., Lim, J. E., & Moon, D. H. (2011). Stabilization of Pb and Cd contaminated soils and soil quality improvements using waste oyster shells. *Environmental Geochemistry and Health*, 33, 83-91.
- Pan, X. (2009). Micrologically induced carbonate precipitation as a promising way to in situ immobilize heavy metals in groundwater and sediment. *Research Journal of Chemistry and Environment*, 13, 3-4.
- Papadopoulos, P., & Rowell, D. L. (1988). The reactions of cadmium with calcium carbonate surfaces. *Journal of Soil Science*, 39, 23-36.
- Perito, B., & Mastromei, G. (2011). Molecular basis of bacterial calcium carbonate precipitation. In W. E. G. Muller (Ed.), *Molecular Biomineralization, Aquatic Organisms Forming Extraordinary Materials* (pp. 113-40). Berlin: Springer.
- Phillips, A. J., Gerlach, R., Lauchnor, E., Mitchell, A. C., Cunningham, A. B., & Spangler, L. (2013). Engineered applications of ureolytic biomineralization: a review. *Biofouling*, 29, 715-733.
- Pieper, D. H., Martins dos Santos, V. A., & Golyshin, P. N. (2004). Genomic and mechanistic insight into the biodegradation of organic pollutants. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 15, 215-224.
- Plassard, F., Winiarski, T., & Petit-Ramel, M. (2000). Retention and distribution of three heavy metals in a carbonated soil: comparison between batch and unsaturated column studies. *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology*, 42, 99-111.

- Pollmann, K., Raff, J., Merroun, M., Fahmy, K., & Selenska-Pobell, S. (2006). Metal binding by bacteria from uranium mining waste piles and its technological applications. *Biotechnology Advances*, *24*, 58-68.
- Powers, L. G., Mills, H. J., Palumbo, A. V., Zhang, C., Delaney, K., & Sobecky, P. A. (2002). Introduction of a plasmid-encoded *phoA* gene for constitutive overproduction of alkaline phosphatase in three subsurface *Pseudomonas* isolates. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *41*, 115-123.
- Ramachandran, S. K., Ramakrishnan, V., & Bang, S. S. (2001). Remediation of concrete using microorganisms. *ACI Materials Journal*, *98*, 3-9.
- Rao, M. A., Scelza, R., Scotti, R., & Gianfreda, L. (2010). Role of enzymes in the remediation of polluted environments. *Journal of Soil Science and Nutrition*, *10*, 333-53.
- Rhee, Y. J., Hiller, S., & Gadd, G. M. (2015). A new lead hydroxycarbonate produced during transformation of lead metal by the soil fungus *Paecilomyces javanicus*. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, *33*, 1-11.
- Riley, R. G., & Zachara, J. M. (1992). Chemical contaminants on DOE lands and selection of contaminant mixtures for subsurface science research. Office of Energy Research, Subsurface Science Program, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC, pp. 77.
- Rivadeneira, M. A., Delgado, R., del Moral, A., Ferrer, M. R., & Ramos-Cormenzana, A. (1994). Precipitation of calcium carbonate by *Vibrio* spp. from an inland saltern. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, *13*, 197-204.

- Roman-Ross, G., Cuello, G. J., Turrillas, X., Fernández-Martínez, A., & Charlet, L. (2006). Arsenite sorption and co-precipitation with calcite. *Chemical Geology*, 233, 328-336.
- Rouff, A. A., Elzinga, E. J., & Reeder, R. J. (2004). X-ray absorption spectroscopic evidence for the formation of Pb (II) inner-sphere adsorption complexes and precipitates at the calcite–water interface. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 38, 1700-1707.
- Ruggaber, T. P., & Talley, J. W. (2006). Enhancing bioremediation with enzymatic processes: a review. *Practice Periodical of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Management*, 10, 73-85.
- Samborska, A., Stepniewska, Z., & Stepniewski, W. (2014). Influence of different oxidation states of chromium (VI, III) on soil urease activity. *Geoderma*, 122, 317-322.
- Santorufu, L., Van Gestel, C. A. M., & Maisto, G. (2012). Ecotoxicological assessment of metal-polluted urban soils using bioassays with three soil invertebrates. *Chemosphere*, 88, 418-424.
- Shuman, L. M. (1991). Chemical forms of micronutrients in soils. In: Mortvedt, J. J., Cox, F. R., Shuman, L. M., & Welch, R. M. (Eds.), *Micronutrients in Agriculture*. (pp. 113-144 ). Madison, WI: Soil Science Society of America.
- Singh, R., Gautam, N., Mishra, A., and Gupta, R. (2011). Heavy metals and living systems: an overview. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology*, 43, 246-253.

- Singh, R., Singh, S., Parihar, P., Singh, V. P., & Prasad S. M. (2015). Arsenic contamination, consequences and remediation techniques: a review. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 112, 247-270.
- Sipos, P., Németh, T., Mohai, I., & Dódonny, I. (2005). Effect of soil composition on adsorption of lead as reflected by a study on a natural forest soil profile. *Geoderma*, 124, 363-374.
- Smith, L. A., Alleman, B. C., & Copley-Graves, L. (1994). Biological treatment options. In J. L. Means, & R. E. Hinchee (Eds.), *Emerging Technology for Bioremediation of Metals* (pp. 1-12). New York: Lewis Publishers.
- Smolders, E., & Mertens, J. (2013) Cadmium. In: Alloway B. J. (Ed.). *Heavy metals in soils: trace metals and metalloids in soils. Environmental pollution*, vol 22. Springer, Netherlands.
- Srivastava, S., Verma, P. C., Singh, A., Mishra, M., Singh, N., Sharma, N., & Singh, N. (2012). Isolation and characterization of *Staphylococcus* sp. strain NBRIEAG-8 from arsenic contaminated site of West Bengal. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 95, 1275-1291.
- Tang, Y., Elzinga, E. J., Jae Lee, Y., & Reeder, R. J. (2007). Coprecipitation of chromate with calcite: batch experiments and X-ray absorption spectroscopy. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71, 1480-1493.
- Thornton, E. C., & Amonette, J. E. (1999). Hydrogen sulfide gas treatment of Cr(VI)-contaminated sediment samples from a plating-waste disposal site

- implications for *in-situ* remediation. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 33, 4096-4101.
- Tiano, P., Biagiotti, L., & Mastromei, G. (1999). Bacterial bio-mediated calcite precipitation for monumental stones conservation: methods of evaluation. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 36, 139-145.
- Uroz, S., Calvaruso, C., Turpault, M. P., & Frey-Klett, P. (2009). Mineral weathering by bacteria: ecology, actors and mechanisms. *Trends in Microbiology*, 17, 378–387.
- Vagenas, N. V., Gatsouli, A., & Kontoyannis, C. G. (2003). Quantitative analysis of synthetic calcium carbonate polymorphs using FT-IR spectroscopy. *Talanta*, 59, 831-834.
- Van Tittelboom, K., De Belie, N., De Muynck, W., & Verstraete, W. (2010). Use of bacteria to repair cracks in concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 40, 157-166.
- Verrecchia, E. P. (2000). Fungi and sediments. In R. E. Riding, & S. M. Awramik (Eds.), *Microbial Sediments* (pp. 69–75). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Verrecchia, E. P., Dumont, J. L. & Rolko, K, E. (1990). Do fungi building limestones exist in semi-arid regions? *Naturwissenschaften*, 77, 584-586.
- Wang, T., Sun, H., Jiang, C., Mao, H., & Zhang, Y. (2014). Immobilization of Cd in soil and changes of soil microbial community by bioaugmentation of UV-mutated *Bacillus subtilis* 38 assisted by biostimulation. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 65, 62-69.

- Wang, X., Hua, L., & Ma, Y. (2012). A biotic ligand model predicting acute copper toxicity for barley (*Hordeum vulgare*): Influence of calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and pH. *Chemosphere*, 89, 89-95.
- Whiffin, V. S., Van Paassen, L. A., & Harkes, M. P. (2007). Microbial carbonate precipitation as a soil improvement technique. *Geomicrobiology Journal*, 24, 417-423.
- White, C., Sharman, A. K., & Gadd, G. M. (1998). An integrated microbial process for the bioremediation of soil contaminated with toxic metals. *Nature Biotechnology*, 16, 572-575.
- Wu, Y., Ajo-Franklin, J. B., Spycher, N., Hubbard, S. S., Zhang, G., Williams K. H., *et al.*, (2011). Geophysical monitoring and reactive transport modeling of ureolytically-driven calcium carbonate precipitation. *Geochemical Transactions*, 12, 7.
- Wuana, R. A., and Okieimen, F. E. (2011) Heavy metals in contaminated soils: a review of sources, chemistry, risks and best available strategies for remediation. *ISRN Ecology*, 2011, 1-20.
- Yamanaka, T. (1999). Utilization of inorganic and organic nitrogen in pure cultures by saprotrophic and ectomycorrhizal fungi producing sporophores on urea-treated forest floor. *Mycological Research*, 103, 811-816.
- Yamamura, S., & Amachi, S. (2014). Microbiology of inorganic arsenic: from metabolism to bioremediation. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 118, 1-9.



- Yamamura, S., Watanabe, M., Kanzaki, M., Soda, S., & Ike, M. (2008). Removal of arsenic from contaminated soils by microbial reduction of arsenate and quinone. *Environmental Science and Technology*, *42*, 6154-6159.
- Yang, Z., Liu, S., Zheng, D., & Feng, S. (2006). Effects of cadmium, zinc, and lead on soil enzyme activities. *Journal of Environmental Science*, *18*, 1135–1141.
- Zayed, A. M., & Terry, N. (2003). Chromium in the environment: factors affecting biological remediation. *Plant and Soil*, *249*, 139-156.
- Zhang, K., & Li, F. (2011). Isolation and characterization of a chromium-resistant bacterium *Serratia* sp. Cr-10 from a chromate-contaminated site. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *90*, 1163-1169.
- Zinjarde, S., Apte, M., Mohite, P., & Ravi Kumar, A. (2014). *Yarrowia lipolytica* and pollutants: interactions and applications. *Biotechnology Advances*, *32*, 920-925.

**Table 1** Some examples of the application of ureolytic bacteria for immobilization of metal(loid)s by MICP.

<b>Name of bacteria</b>	<b>Metal(loid)</b>	<b>Bioremediation efficiency</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Sporosarcina ginsengisoli</i>	As	96% removal from aqueous media (ic = 10 mg L <sup>-1</sup> ) 96% removal from exchangeable soil fraction (ic = 500 mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Achal et al. (2012a)
<i>Exiguobacterium undae</i>	Cd	84% removal from aqueous media 90% in exchangeable soil fraction	Kumari et al. (2014)
<i>Lysinibacillus sphaericus</i>	Cd	99.95% removal from aqueous media (ic = 2 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Kang et al. (2014)
<i>Terrabacter tumescens</i>	Cd	99% removal from aqueous media (ic = 2 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Li et al. (2013)
<i>Kocuria flava</i>	Cu	96% removal from exchangeable soil fraction (ic = 340 mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Achal et al. (2011)
<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Cr(VI)	>68% removal from Cr slag	Achal et al. (2013)
<i>Enterobacter cloacae</i>	Pb	68% removal from aqueous media (ic = 7.2 mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Kang et al. (2015)
<i>Sporosarcina koreensis</i>	Pb	99% removal from aqueous media (ic = 2 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Li et al. (2013)
<i>Halomonas</i> sp.	Sr	86% removal from quartz sand (ic = 100 mg Kg <sup>-1</sup> )	Achal et al. (2012c)
<i>Sporosarcina</i> sp.	Zn	99% removal from aqueous media (ic = 2 g L <sup>-1</sup> )	Li et al. (2013)

\*ic: initial concentration of metal(loid)

**Table 2** Fungal species reported for the biomineralization of various metal carbonates.

<b>Fungal species</b>	<b>Carbonate minerals</b>	<b>Reference</b>
<i>Acremonium strictum</i>	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Li and Gadd, unpublished
<i>Cephalosporium</i> sp.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Gadd and Raven (2010)
<i>Cephalotrichum</i> (syn <i>Doratomyces</i> ) sp.	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Burford et al. (2006)
<i>Fusarium oxysporum</i>	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Ahmad et al. (2004)
<i>Morchella</i> sp.	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Masaphy et al. (2009)
<i>Myrothecium</i> <i>gramineum</i>	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ), vaterite ((Ca <sub>x</sub> Sr <sub>1-x</sub> )CO <sub>3</sub> ), strontianite (SrCO <sub>3</sub> ),	Li et al. (2015)
<i>Neurospora crassa</i>	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ), otavite (CdCO <sub>3</sub> )	Li et al. (2014)
<i>Neurospora crassa</i>	Strontianite (SrCO <sub>3</sub> ), CoCO <sub>3</sub> , nickel carbonate, La <sub>2</sub> (CO <sub>3</sub> )·8H <sub>2</sub> O	Li and Gadd, unpublished
<i>Paecilomyces javanicus</i>	Hydrocerussite (Pb <sub>3</sub> (CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> (OH) <sub>2</sub> ), plumbonacrite (Pb <sub>10</sub> (CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>6</sub> O(OH) <sub>6</sub> ), lead hydroxycarbonate	Rhee et al. (2015)
<i>Penicillium</i> <i>corylophilum</i>	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Gadd and Raven (2010)
<i>Penicillium</i> <i>simplicissimum</i>	Hydromagnesite (Mg <sub>5</sub> (CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> (OH) <sub>2.4</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O)	Burford et al. (2003)
<i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp.	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> ), strontianite (SrCO <sub>3</sub> ), olekminskite (Sr(Sr, Ca)(CO <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> ), (Ca,Sr)CO <sub>3</sub> , vaterite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Li et al. (2015)
<i>Serpula himantioides</i>	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Burford et al. (2006)
<i>Trichothecium</i> sp.	Calcite (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Ahmad et al. (2004)
<i>Verrucaria</i> spp.	CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Easton (1997)
<i>Verticillium</i> sp.	CaCO <sub>3</sub> , BaCO <sub>3</sub>	Rautaray et al. (2004)

## Legends to figures


**Figure 1** Diagram of precipitation of metal carbonates by urease-producing microorganisms.  $M^{2+}$  represents a divalent metal cation. Adapted from Li *et al.* (2014).

**Figure 2** XRD spectra conforming biomineralization products in soil induced by *Sporosarcina ginsengisoli* CR5 (C= calcite, A= aragonite, C-As= calcite- arsenite precipitate, V= vaterite, G= gwihabaite, Q= quartz, H= halite). Adapted with permission from Achal *et al.* (2012a)

**Figure 3** Environmental scanning electron microscopy (ESEM) of (a) Ni-containing minerals precipitated by *Terrabacter tumescens*, (b) Cu- (c) Pb-containing minerals precipitated by bacterial isolate UR47, (d) Co- (e) Zn-containing minerals precipitated by bacterial isolate UR31 and (f) Cd-containing minerals precipitated by *Terrabacter tumescens*. Scale bars: (a, b, c, e, f) = 10  $\mu\text{m}$ , (d) = 40  $\mu\text{m}$ . Adapted with permission from Li *et al.* (2013)

**Figure 4** X-ray diffractograms of (a) *Bacillus* sp. KK1 before and after incubation with lead nitrate, (b) mine soil samples before and after bioaugmentation (C, calcite; A, aragonite). Adapted with permission from Govarthanan *et al.* (2013)

**Figure 5** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of mineral deposition by *Neurospora crassa* grown in different media. (a, b) AP1 media amended with 40 mM urea and 50 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, b is a higher magnification image of the area indicated by the square in a, scale bars: a =10 μm, b = 1 μm, (c) AP1 media amended with 40 mM urea, 25 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub> and 25 mM SrCl<sub>2</sub>, scale bar = 10 μm, (d) AP1 media amended with 40 mM urea and 50 mM SrCl<sub>2</sub>, scale bar = 10 μm. All samples were incubated for 12 days at 25°C in the dark. Typical images are shown from many similar examples (Li and Gadd, unpublished data).



Intensity (arbitrary units)

