University of Dundee

Hospital-acquired pneumonia surveillance-an unmet need

Ewan, Victoria C.; Witham, Miles D.; Kiernan, Martin A. ; Simpson, A. John

Published in:
The Lancet Respiratory Medicine

DOI:
[10.1016/S2213-2600\(17\)30296-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30296-5)

Publication date:
2017

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Ewan, V. C., Witham, M. D., Kiernan, M. A., & Simpson, A. J. (2017). Hospital-acquired pneumonia surveillance-an unmet need. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 5(10), 771-772. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(17\)30296-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(17)30296-5)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Hospital-acquired pneumonia surveillance – an unmet need

Victoria C. Ewan, Miles D. Witham, Martin Kiernan, A. John Simpson

*Dr. Victoria C. Ewan, PhD, Institute for Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University.

Address: Department of Geriatric Medicine, James Cook University Hospital, Marton Road, Middlesbrough TS4 3BW

Dr. Miles D Witham, PhD, School of Medicine, University of Dundee. Address: Ninewells Hospital, Dundee DD1 9SY

Dr Martin Kiernan, MD, Richard Wells Research Centre, University of West London. Address:

Professor A John Simpson, PhD, Institute for Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University. Address: 3rd floor William Leech Building, Medical School, Newcastle University, Framlington Place, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE2 4HH

*Corresponding author

Dr. Victoria C. Ewan, PhD, Institute for Cellular Medicine, Newcastle University. Address: Department of Geriatric Medicine, James Cook University Hospital, Marton Road, Middlesbrough TS4 3BW

Email:Victoria.ewan@ncl.ac.uk, tel: 01642 854723

Comment

Hospital-acquired pneumonia (HAP) is the neglected relation in the family of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs). HAP is now the commonest HAI in Europe,¹ and nearly two-thirds are not associated with intubation.² Despite this, outside the intensive care unit (ICU), HAP struggles for recognition and research funding. The limited evidence available suggests that HAP complicates approximately 1.5% (95% CI 1.4-1.6) of UK hospital admissions,² has a major impact on length of stay,³ and because its management invariably involves broad-spectrum antibiotics, has potential to be an important driver for the emergence of antibiotic resistance.⁴ HAP is associated with higher mortality (29% with HAP versus 8% without),⁵ but the attributable mortality is unknown.

Obtaining accurate estimates of prevalence across multiple healthcare environments via prospective surveillance is a fundamental first step toward preventing HAP. Currently the only surveillance undertaken across Europe and even the US is via one-day point prevalence surveys.^{1,2,6} In the UK, the 2012 survey recommended the development of learning tools for the prevention of healthcare-associated pneumonia.² However, these recommendations have not been actioned. This stop-start approach fails to keep HAP on the agendas of clinicians, microbiologists, healthcare managers or policymakers, and lacks the detail needed to better understand HAP epidemiology. Continuous collection of surveillance data has played a key role in reducing MRSA bacteraemia and *C. difficile* infection, simultaneously highlighting the scale of the problem, and providing real-time feedback on the efficacy of interventions. There is now limited but credible evidence that interventions such as enhanced oral hygiene can contribute to significant reductions in HAP outwith the ICU,⁷ and these

interventions warrant large scale randomised controlled trials. Given an average of 12 extra bed-days per patient,³ the potential benefits of reducing HAP by even 10% on an international scale are huge, in terms of reduced length of stay, improved patient flow, antibiotic stewardship, cost savings, and improved functional outcomes for patients.

One of the major obstacles in initiating surveillance for HAP is the lack of gold standard diagnostic criteria in non-ventilated patients. Unlike MRSA or *C. difficile*, a surveillance approach based on microbiological diagnosis is unlikely to be effective because high quality microbiological samples from alveolar regions of the lung are difficult to obtain. Patients at highest risk of HAP tend to be older and frail. Bronchoscopy is rarely performed in such patients, and sputum samples, the next best non-invasive alternative, may not be feasible (e.g. weak cough). However without criterion-based diagnosis which includes microbiological evidence, over-diagnosis is common,^{5,8} and in the UK there is little financial incentive for accurate coding at discharge, making HAP difficult to detect using electronic records alone.

Although surveillance might over-diagnose HAP, it is crucial, both to highlight and to address the problem. Strategies for surveillance might feasibly incorporate pharmacy records of antibiotic prescriptions for respiratory infection, potentially combined with electronic patient records. Mandating clinicians to report HAP is another option, and might nudge clinicians toward criterion-based diagnosis of HAP. This approach might be assisted by pharmacy or infection prevention and control teams but would require significant investment in education and resources to support reporting mechanisms. Hybrid methods for surveillance, incorporating a variety of techniques may need to be used. Precedents do exist; the recent focus on surveillance of catheter-associated

urinary tract infection shows parallels in terms of diagnostic complexity, and a mix of clinical and microbiological surveillance has been employed to identify cases.^{6,9} While it is difficult to attribute causation, in the US, a decrease in infections occurred in parallel with an increase in surveillance.¹⁰

Arriving at an improved, evidence-based yet pragmatic and accepted “standard” for continuous HAP surveillance will require coordination, effort and goodwill across a range of disciplines and professional societies. A specific focus on methods to improve diagnostic accuracy in HAP from funding bodies would accelerate this process. The community interested in HAP may also reflect on recent experiences in ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). VAP has been widely used as a quality indicator in healthcare systems, with high rates linked to financial penalties. This has arguably led to a bewildering array of new terms designed as quality indicators rather than clinical diagnoses. The opportunity to raise the profile of HAP surveillance should be founded on diagnostic accuracy, prevention and improved treatment, avoiding subtle political pressures that might inadvertently reduce diagnostic quality.

Well-established surveillance will allow interventions to reduce HAP to be tested and implemented more effectively. While there are certainly obstacles to overcome, the gains are likely to far outweigh the initial outlay.

All authors contributed equally to writing and editing the manuscript. The authors declare no conflicts of interests. No medical writers were used to produce this work. The work was not funded by any organisation. This work has not been previously submitted to another journal, either in whole or in part.

750 words

1. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Point prevalence survey of healthcare-associated infections and antimicrobial use in European acute care hospitals 2011-2012 2013.
2. Health Protection Agency. English National Point Prevalence Survey on Healthcare-associated Infections and Antimicrobial Use, 2011: Preliminary data. *Health protection Agency: London* 2012.
3. Redelmeier DA, McAlister FA, Kandel CE, Lu H, Daneman N. Postoperative pneumonia in elderly patients receiving acid suppressants: a retrospective cohort analysis. *BMJ* 2010; **340**: c2608.
4. O'Neill J. Review on Antimicrobial Resistance. Tackling Drug-resistant infections globally: final report and recommendations. In: Government U, editor. London; 2016.
5. Burton LA, Price R, Barr KE, et al. Hospital-acquired pneumonia incidence and diagnosis in older patients. *Age & Ageing* 2016; **45**(1): 171-4.
6. Magill SS, Edwards JR, Bamberg W, et al. Multistate point-prevalence survey of health care-associated infections. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2014; **370**(13): 1198-208.
7. Quinn B, Baker DL, Cohen S, Stewart JL, Lima CA, Parise C. Basic nursing care to prevent nonventilator hospital-acquired pneumonia. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 2014; **46**(1): 11-9.
8. Morris AC, Kefala K, Simpson AJ, et al. Evaluation of the effect of diagnostic methodology on the reported incidence of ventilator-associated pneumonia. *Thorax* 2009; **64**(6): 516-22.
9. Power M, Fogarty M, Madsen J, et al. Learning from the design and development of the NHS Safety Thermometer. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care* 2014; **26**(3): 287-97.
10. Krein SL, Fowler KE, Ratz D, Meddings J, Saint S. Preventing device-associated infections in US hospitals: national surveys from 2005 to 2013. *BMJ Quality & Safety* 2015; **24**(6): 385-92.