University of Dundee

The regulation of lymphocyte activation and proliferation

Heinzel, Susanne; Marchingo, Julia M.; Horton, Miles B.; Hodgkin, Philip D.

Published in:
Current Opinion in Immunology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002)

Publication date:
2018

Licence:
CC BY-NC-ND

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Heinzel, S., Marchingo, J. M., Horton, M. B., & Hodgkin, P. D. (2018). The regulation of lymphocyte activation and proliferation. *Current Opinion in Immunology*, 51, 32-38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2018.01.002>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1
2 **Title: The regulation of lymphocyte activation and**
3 **proliferation**
4
5

6 Susanne Heinzl^{1,2,*}, Julia M Marchingo³, Miles B Horton^{1,2} and Philip D Hodgkin^{1,2}
7
8
9
10

11 ¹ The Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

12 ² Department of Medical Biology, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, Australia.

13 ³ Division of Cell Signalling and Immunology, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee,
14 Dundee, UK.

15 * Corresponding author: Susanne Heinzl (heinzl@wehi.edu.au)
16
17

Abstract

Activation induced proliferation and clonal expansion of antigen specific lymphocytes is a hallmark of the adaptive immune response to pathogens. Recent studies identify two distinct control phases. In the first T and B lymphocytes integrate antigen and additional costimuli to motivate a programmed proliferative burst that ceases with a return to cell quiescence and eventual death. This proliferative burst is autonomously timed, ensuring an appropriate response magnitude whilst preventing uncontrolled expansion. This initial response is subject to further modification and extension by a range of signals that modify, expand and direct the emergence of a rich array of new cell types. Thus, both robust clonal expansion of a small number of antigen specific T cells, and the concurrent emergence of extensive cellular diversity, confers immunity to a vast array of different pathogens. The *in vivo* response to a given pathogen is made up by the sum of all responding clones and is reproducible and pathogen specific. Thus, a precise description of the regulatory principles governing lymphocyte proliferation, differentiation and survival is essential to a unified understanding of the immune system.

Introduction

According to classic two-signal theory, lymphocytes face a binary decision when stimulated by antigen and must choose between tolerance (death) and activation (proliferation). A second signal is needed to tip the balance from one state to the other. Careful studies of the control of T and B cells are substantially modifying this view and replacing the binary decision with a quantitative signal integration model that tempers the overall strength and type of response to the nature of the threat. As a result, the magnitude and duration of the immune response must be seen as continuously variable. How this T cell behaviour is modulated, at molecular, single cell and population levels to achieve such a rich set of alternative outcomes remains under intensive investigation. **Figure 1** illustrates the control of lymphocyte proliferation as a two-stage process with each naive cell integrating activation signals, stochastic probabilities and ongoing signals to control the rich heterogenous population outcome.

Early programming cooperates with ongoing signal integration to control the response magnitude

In reviewing progress to date, it is helpful to distinguish two separate stages for lymphocyte activation. In the first, an autonomously programmed response, leading to multiple changes in

fate are motivated by the initial stimuli. T cells divide several times subsequent to removal of stimuli. In CD8+ T cells this can be after a very brief initial exposure [1-4] whereas in CD4+ T cells a longer antigen exposure is required to commit cells to an autonomous proliferative burst [5,6]. A similar initial autonomous clonal division is observed in B cells [7-9]. In the second phase of control, the early cell programming is further modified by ongoing signals. For example, T cells modify their own environment by producing the growth factor IL-2 that can promote their continued division [10]. As signalling inputs can operate simultaneously on both phases of the response it can be difficult to determine individual control mechanisms. Costimulatory signals as well as cytokines and chemokines all play fundamental roles in regulating one or more parameters that determine the final cell numbers. Additional features of signal control, such as increased TCR affinity, enhanced dose, duration or mechanical properties of TCR-pMHC contacts or prolonged antigen exposure also result in a greater response magnitude, with increased rounds of cell division or greater recruitment of cells into division [11,12]. In many cases, the early expansion rate of activated T cells is unchanged in these systems. Instead, the duration of their expansion is increased [2,11,12] suggesting an equal proliferation rate of activated cells with more cells dropping out of division or dying sooner under weak stimulation conditions.

For T cells the extent of the initial proliferative burst is a major determinant of response magnitude *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The average number of divisions undergone can vary with stimulation. T cells integrate all the signals they receive through the TCR, costimulatory molecules and cytokines receptors to determine the size of the initial burst, referred to as their initial 'division destiny' (DD) [13]**. Multiple contributions to DD, provided at the same time, added arithmetically allowing predictions to be made for the final response. In this study the authors demonstrate that many different combinations of costimulatory signals are capable of adding to a significant response outcome. These experiments also highlight control of the second phase of the T cell response: Cytokines such as IL-2 and IL-4 play both a major role in initiating, as well as sustaining / extending cell division beyond their initial autonomous DD. This maintenance was shown to be particularly important for T cells that have migrated to sites of infection or inflamed tissue [14,15]. T cells modulate IL-2 production and integration of IL-2 signals as a mechanism of paracrine communication in order to fine tune and optimise the response magnitude [10,16,17]. Furthermore, although the proliferative effect of a particular stimulus can act predominantly on initial programming, it may also have alternate modulatory roles during the subsequent progression of the response. For instance, CD28 signalling

increases IL-2 production and sensitivity [18]. If IL-2 is blocked, the CD28 signal is still effective at programming and promoting division destiny changes into naïve T cells but the signal must be received prior to the first division to have an effect [13]. Later engagement of CD28 alters downstream fate selection during the response without having any further proliferative impact [19].

The regulatory precision of the initial burst of proliferation and return to quiescence by stimulated T and B cells [9] suggested a common mechanism might be found. This proved correct. The cell division-promoting proto-oncogene Myc [20]** is induced upon activation and lost over time until a minimal threshold is crossed, and division ceases. Rather than diluting by division, as was expected, Myc levels degraded over time at a predictable rate that was faithfully passed on to daughter cells independently of division number. As expected for this form of control, the level of Myc protein induced after activation was found proportional to the strength and number of signals received by the cell, and its level highly correlated with subsequent DD. Therefore, Myc translates the signals the cell receives into the time that each founder cell is given to divide before returning to quiescence [20].

Furthermore, in addition of providing stimulatory signals on their own, inflammatory signals such as IL-12 and IFN- α can increase sensitivity to IL-2 signalling [14,21,22]. Continuous signalling via IL-2 or other cytokines slows the loss of Myc protein and therefore extending the period of time for which the cells can divide [20] [23]* [24]. Understanding how these factors function as part of a subcellular network, combining and cooperating to determine the ultimate proliferative potential of an individual T cell remains a major objective in the field of lymphocyte biology.

These studies may have a further counterpart in the germinal centre. During affinity maturation germinal centre B cells travel from the light zone where they undergo positive selection to the dark zone and undergo somatic hypermutation [25]. Interaction with Tfh cells during the positive selection process provides proliferation and survival signals. In this context Myc expression is induced through interaction with Tfh cells and is seen in a proportion of, presumably, recently activated cells [26,27]. Affinity dependent stimulation is thought to control proliferation and survival with low affinity B cells dying in the light zone while dysfunctional BCR induced through mutation leads to cell death in the dark zone [28,29] [30]*. In parallel with the control of T and B cell DD, it seems likely that higher affinity B cell clones receive stronger stimuli and accumulate more Myc, extending their duration of proliferation in

the dark zone and therefore licensing these clones for more extensive somatic hypermutation [29].

Clonal concordance, probabilistic events and fate inheritance shape the response

The proliferative and phenotypic profile of a responding T cell population is highly heterogeneous, even under highly controlled *in vitro* conditions. Despite this extensive diversity, there is a remarkable concordance of the proliferative fate within a clonal family while a considerable disparity is observed between different clones even in response to identical stimulation conditions [31] [32]* [33]*. *In vivo* studies have also demonstrated extensive heterogeneity in clone size and a distinct correlation between clonal proliferative potential and cellular phenotype [34-39]. The phenotypic correlation with clonal burst size points to a role for both heritability and division as determinants of the emergence of cellular heterogeneity [35,36,40]. This is complemented by studies that have elucidated a role for division progression in regulating specific components of T cell differentiation, such as cytokine production, cytotoxicity and surface marker expression [40-45].

Although there is a clear influence of clonal membership on division progression and phenotype, *in vivo* studies demonstrate substantially greater intraclonal diversity than the striking concordance observed *in vitro* [32,37,38]. TCR signal quality strongly determines the response outcome on a population basis, and although weakly stimulated cells expand less, many are still able to acquire effector functions and differentiate into memory cells [11,46,47]. Therefore the fate of T cell clones is not controlled by the TCR ligation quality alone, but TCR ligation works in concert with quantitative integration of additional signalling and stochastic events to determine the fate outcome of the clonal progeny [13,33,35] [46]** [48] [49]* [50,51].

Many of the above studies highlight early stochasticity and familial heritability as key drivers of emergent heterogeneity. Several different models have been proposed to explain the diversity observed in T cell fates. The concept of asymmetric division of the founder cell resulting in two distinct fate outcomes of the daughter cells and their progeny has been proposed as a determinant of T cell fate and population heterogeneity [5,52-54]. Recent studies have proposed a role for the polarised segregation of Myc and subsequent asymmetric inheritance of metabolic programming as a determinant of CD8+ T cell fate selection [55,56]. However, the uneven inheritance of Myc between first-division T cells is at odds with its role

as a regulator of the highly symmetrical clonal phenomenon of DD [20]. In an alternative model the strong familial concordance in concert with early stochasticity are sufficient to describe the emergence of the clonal diversity [46,57]. Computational descriptions of clonal heterogeneity have also highlighted the capacity to resolve patterns of T and B cell diversification without the requirement of asymmetric fate segregation [50,58]. Furthermore, impairment of the capacity of cells to polarise their contents does not hinder the generation of lymphocyte diversity [59].

Recruitment into division as a first step directing the response magnitude

The number of cells recruited into an immune response is another key determinant of response magnitude. Two main factors determine the number of cells recruited into division: firstly, the induction of a new survival program driven by the strength of stimulation selecting for strongly activated cells to survive [60]. Secondly, whether the surviving cells reach the activation threshold to enter proliferation. On a single cell level this threshold is controlled by the sum of TCR affinity and dose [12] [61]* [62,63] and other signals received by the cells. Consistent with the mechanism of signal addition, IL-2 or additional costimulation increases this precursor frequency and promotes the entry of weakly stimulated cells into division [61,64-66]. Similarly stimulation through the costimulatory receptor CD27 lowers the affinity threshold required for activation, recruiting more low affinity clones into the response, potentially as a measure to broaden the subsequent memory pool repertoire [67].

On a population level, whether a response is observed is determined by the sum of all individual outcomes and the complex interaction between these cells and the molecules they produce. This can be described as a collective decision made by the T cell population [68]. IL-2 produced by strongly activated cells plays a critical role in this activation phase, as some low affinity T cells can reach the threshold to enter division through integration of IL-2 produced by strongly activated cells. The response rate of a mixed population of high and low affinity cells can be modelled and predicted accurately using a dynamic system incorporating IL-2, IL2R and PIK3 levels controlling the accumulation of Cyclin D to reach the threshold of cell cycle entry [69]** demonstrating how the signal integration by individual cells controlling their fates fine tunes the overall response on the population basis.

Survival as an additional and independent mechanism shaping the immune repertoire

The survival of activated T cells critically underpins the ability to form an immune response. This process is carefully regulated by a quantitative balance between pro- and anti-apoptotic

members of the Bcl-2 family proteins of the intrinsic apoptotic pathway (reviewed in [70]). A survival program is induced after T activation, that is distinct from their naïve survival program and operates simultaneously but independently to the proliferation program [71,72]. Both pro-survival and pro-apoptotic proteins are induced by T cell activation signals through the TCR, costimulatory molecules (i.e. CD28) or cytokines such as IL-2 [60,73-75]. A similar quantitative switch in survival programs occurs in B lymphocytes [70,76]. In cells receiving strong signals this balance favours a pro-survival state, however low affinity populations are more sensitive to shaping through differential survival as stimulation with a lower affinity TCR or a reduction in costimulatory signals and cytokines, favours death and the elimination of weaker responders [75,77-79]. This points to a key function in the regulation of the response quality.

As the lymphocyte survival program is initiated by many of the same signals as proliferation it is often difficult to distinguish the relative importance of contributions of these processes in shaping the immune response; however several lines of evidence suggest their regulation is independent, and can be uncoupled. For instance, CD28 signalling and other growth factors promote survival in the absence of proliferation [80]. CD8+ T cells deficient in the kinase Erk2 [81] or the transcription factor Bach2 [82] have been shown to have no defect in proliferative potential, but have a reduced response magnitude due to impaired survival. Furthermore the rate and extent of clonal expansion in strongly stimulated cells is not greatly impacted by cell death. When pro-apoptotic molecule Bim is deleted or pro-survival molecule Bcl-2 is overexpressed in T or B lymphocytes they undergo the same number of divisions in response to a given stimulus irrespective of the enhanced cell survival [13,20,83,84]. A similar effect can be observed in vivo, with Bim-deficient CD8+ T cells expanding to the same extent but taking longer to contract [85], further demonstrating the independence of cell division and survival. The significance of this is highlighted by the consequences of changes to either parameter. The combined effect of small changes in survival or DD time synergise to a greatly enhanced response when applied in combination and can be predicted by combining the probability distributions for each timer [20].

Conclusions:

When the number of alternative cell fates, and the large number of known modifiers are enumerated, the regulation of T and B cell responses appears impossibly complex. When combined with the fine detail of cellular niches in different tissues, and the potential for

transient and persistent signal exposure, it is easy to imagine a daunting combinatorial problem for control. This pessimistic view is at odds with the general observation that immune responses are typically robust and reproducible, and many features of cell responses can be recreated in much simpler *in vitro* environments.

In resolving this paradox, we suggest that a timed cellular program, that includes an automated return to quiescence and even eventual death, can serve as a powerful new paradigm for interpreting the complex control of T, B and GC cell responses. Manipulation of this core cellular program by multiple modifying inputs provides the foundation for building a reproducible, but highly regulated system. Such a model can also help explain how the present complex system may have evolved from more primitive developmental states that utilised a higher level of cellular autonomy to affect an adaptive immune outcome [7]. We envisage that continued work on this activation paradigm with increasingly quantitative tools will deliver scalable models with the power of prediction and significant potential for immune system control.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia via Project Grant 1010654, Program Grant 1054925 and a fellowship to P.D.H. as well as an Australian Government National Health and Medical Research Council Independent Research Institutes Infrastructure Support Scheme Grant 361646. J.M.M. was supported by a European Molecular Biology Organisation long-term fellowship (ALTF 1543-2015, including support from Marie Curie Action LTFCOFUND2013, GA-2013-609409) and has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Action Individual Fellowship Grant Agreement No 705984.

References

1. Kaech SM, Ahmed R: **Memory CD8+ T cell differentiation: initial antigen encounter triggers a developmental program in naive cells.** *Nat Immunol* 2001, **2**:415-422.
2. Mercado R, Vijn S, Allen SE, Kerksiek K, Pilip IM, Pamer EG: **Early Programming of T Cell Populations Responding to Bacterial Infection.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2000, **165**:6833-6839.
3. Prlic M, Bevan MJ: **Exploring regulatory mechanisms of CD8+ T cell contraction.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2008, **105**:16689-16694.
4. van Stipdonk MJ, Hardenberg G, Bijker MS, Lemmens EE, Droin NM, Green DR, Schoenberger SP: **Dynamic programming of CD8+ T lymphocyte responses.** *Nat Immunol* 2003, **4**:361-365.
5. Arsenio J, Kakaradov B, Metz PJ, Kim SH, Yeo GW, Chang JT: **Early specification of CD8(+) T lymphocyte fates during adaptive immunity revealed by single-cell gene-expression analyses.** *Nat Immunol* 2014, **15**:365-372.
6. Bajenoff M, Wurtz O, Guerder S: **Repeated antigen exposure is necessary for the differentiation, but not the initial proliferation, of naive CD4(+) T cells.** *J Immunol* 2002, **168**:1723-1729.
7. Hawkins ED, Turner ML, Wellard CJ, Zhou JH, Dowling MR, Hodgkin PD: **Quantal and graded stimulation of B lymphocytes as alternative strategies for regulating adaptive immune responses.** *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**:2406.
8. Rush JS, Hodgkin PD: **B cells activated via CD40 and IL-4 undergo a division burst but require continued stimulation to maintain division, survival and differentiation.** *European Journal of Immunology* 2001, **31**:1150-1159.
9. Turner ML, Hawkins ED, Hodgkin PD: **Quantitative Regulation of B Cell Division Destiny by Signal Strength.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2008, **181**:374-382.
10. Tkach KE, Barik D, Voisinne G, Malandro N, Hathorn MM, Cotari JW, Vogel R, Merghoub T, Wolchok J, Krichevsky O, et al.: **T cells translate individual, quantal activation into collective, analog cytokine responses via time-integrated feedbacks.** *eLife* 2014, **3**:e01944.
11. van Stipdonk MJB, Sluijter M, Han WGH, Offringa R: **Development of CTL memory despite arrested clonal expansion.** *European Journal of Immunology* 2008, **38**:1839-1846.

12. Zehn D, Lee SY, Bevan MJ: **Complete but curtailed T-cell response to very low-affinity antigen.** *Nature* 2009, **458**:211-214.
 13. Marchingo JM, Kan A, Sutherland RM, Duffy KR, Wellard CJ, Belz GT, Lew AM, Dowling MR, Heinzel S, Hodgkin PD: **T cell signaling. Antigen affinity, costimulation, and cytokine inputs sum linearly to amplify T cell expansion.** *Science* 2014, **346**:1123-1127.
- ** This paper describes a linear calculus for the summing of costimulatory and cytokine signal integration determining the number of times T cells divide before automatically returning to quiescence. This calculus can be used to predict the net T cell response when combining multiple stimuli. These findings reconcile long-standing inconsistencies with the current two-signal paradigm of T cell activation.
14. Starbeck-Miller GR, Xue HH, Harty JT: **IL-12 and type I interferon prolong the division of activated CD8 T cells by maintaining high-affinity IL-2 signaling in vivo.** *J Exp Med* 2014, **211**:105-120.
 15. Sutherland RM, Londrigan SL, Brady JL, Carrington EM, Marchingo JM, Heinzel S, Hodgkin PD, Graham KL, Kay TW, Zhan Y, et al.: **Cognate antigen engagement on parenchymal cells stimulates CD8+ T cell proliferation in situ.** *Nat Commun* 2017, **8**:14809.
 16. Fuhrmann F, Lischke T, Gross F, Scheel T, Bauer L, Kalim KW, Radbruch A, Herzelt H, Hutloff A, Baumgrass R: **Adequate immune response ensured by binary IL-2 and graded CD25 expression in a murine transfer model.** *Elife* 2016, **5**.
 17. Hart Y, Reich-Zeliger S, Antebi Yaron E, Zaretsky I, Mayo Avraham E, Alon U, Friedman N: **Paradoxical Signaling by a Secreted Molecule Leads to Homeostasis of Cell Levels.** *Cell* 2014, **158**:1022-1032.
 18. Fraser J, Irving B, Crabtree G, Weiss A: **Regulation of interleukin-2 gene enhancer activity by the T cell accessory molecule CD28.** *Science* 1991, **251**:313-316.
 19. Linterman MA, Denton AE, Divekar DP, Zvetkova I, Kane L, Ferreira C, Veldhoen M, Clare S, Dougan G, Espeli M, et al.: **CD28 expression is required after T cell priming for helper T cell responses and protective immunity to infection.** *Elife* 2014, **3**.
 20. Heinzel S, Binh Giang T, Kan A, Marchingo JM, Lye BK, Corcoran LM, Hodgkin PD: **A Myc-dependent division timer complements a cell-death timer to regulate T cell and B cell responses.** *Nat Immunol* 2017, **18**:96-103.

** This paper describes a new role for Myc in the regulation of the immune response. The authors found that the proliferative response is regulated by independently functioning timers induced upon activation controlling division and death and identified the proto-oncogene Myc as the main driver of the division timer. Lymphocytes accumulate Myc as a function of all perceived stimulatory signals, which in turn licences cells to divide until Myc is diluted below a division-cessation threshold in a time-dependent manner.

21. Pipkin ME, Sacks JA, Cruz-Guilloty F, Lichtenheld MG, Bevan MJ, Rao A: **Interleukin-2 and inflammation induce distinct transcriptional programs that promote the differentiation of effector cytolytic T cells.** *Immunity* 2010, **32**:79-90.

22. Joshi NS, Cui W, Chandele A, Lee HK, Urso DR, Hagman J, Gapin L, Kaech SM: **Inflammation Directs Memory Precursor and Short-Lived Effector CD8(+) T Cell Fates via the Graded Expression of T-bet Transcription Factor.** *Immunity* 2007, **27**:281-295.

23. Preston GC, Sinclair LV, Kaskar A, Hukelmann JL, Navarro MN, Ferrero I, MacDonald HR, Cowling VH, Cantrell DA: **Single cell tuning of Myc expression by antigen receptor signal strength and interleukin-2 in T lymphocytes.** *Embo j* 2015, **34**:2008-2024.

* This paper describes a molecular mechanism for the fine-tuning of signal integration around a key regulator of proliferation. TCR signaling increases Myc RNA expression whereas IL-2 increases the stability of post-translation Myc protein, both of which are determined by signal strength.

24. Tan TCJ, Knight J, Sbarrato T, Dudek K, Willis AE, Zamoyska R: **Suboptimal T-cell receptor signaling compromises protein translation, ribosome biogenesis, and proliferation of mouse CD8 T cells.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2017.

25. Oracki SA, Walker JA, Hibbs ML, Corcoran LM, Tarlinton DM: **Plasma cell development and survival.** *Immunological Reviews* 2010, **237**:140-159.

26. Calado DP, Sasaki Y, Godinho SA, Pellerin A, Kochert K, Sleckman BP, de Alboran IM, Janz M, Rodig S, Rajewsky K: **The cell-cycle regulator c-Myc is essential for the formation and maintenance of germinal centers.** *Nat Immunol* 2012, **13**:1092-1100.

27. Dominguez-Sola D, Victora GD, Ying CY, Phan RT, Saito M, Nussenzweig MC, Dalla-Favera R: **The proto-oncogene MYC is required for selection in the germinal center and cyclic reentry.** *Nat Immunol* 2012, **13**:1083-1091.
28. Gitlin AD, Mayer CT, Oliveira TY, Shulman Z, Jones MJK, Koren A, Nussenzweig MC: **T cell help controls the speed of the cell cycle in germinal center B cells.** *Science* 2015, **349**:643-646.
29. Gitlin AD, Shulman Z, Nussenzweig MC: **Clonal selection in the germinal centre by regulated proliferation and hypermutation.** *Nature* 2014, **509**:637.
30. Mayer CT, Gazumyan A, Kara EE, Gitlin AD, Golijanin J, Viant C, Pai J, Oliveira TY, Wang Q, Escolano A, et al.: **The microanatomic segregation of selection by apoptosis in the germinal center.** *Science* 2017, **358**.
- * Describes the differential regulation of cell survival in the light and dark zone of the germinal centre. The authors found that in the light zone positive selection protects cells (using Myc as an indicator) cells from apoptosis. Dark zone cells undergo apoptosis due to antigen receptor damage caused by hypermutation to eliminate B cells carrying deleterious mutations.
31. Hawkins ED, Markham JF, McGuinness LP, Hodgkin PD: **A single-cell pedigree analysis of alternative stochastic lymphocyte fates.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2009, **106**:13457-13462.
32. Kimmerling RJ, Lee Szeto G, Li JW, Genshaft AS, Kazer SW, Payer KR, de Riba Borrajo J, Blainey PC, Irvine DJ, Shalek AK, et al.: **A microfluidic platform enabling single-cell RNA-seq of multigenerational lineages.** *Nat Commun* 2016, **7**:10220.
- * Single cell RNAseq analysis of multigenerational lineage tracked CD8+ reveal a greater intra- than inter-lineage transcriptional similarity.
33. Marchingo JM, Prevedello G, Kan A, Heinzl S, Hodgkin PD, Duffy KR: **T-cell stimuli independently sum to regulate an inherited clonal division fate.** *Nat Commun* 2016, **7**:13540.
- * Using a novel technique for the tracking of cell fates of cell families the authors demonstrate that the fate of individual T cell clones is strongly lineage imprinted and that effects of multiple costimulatory signals sum together with stochastic independence.

34. Bosch AJT, Bolinger B, Keck S, Stepanek O, Ozga AJ, Galati-Fournier V, Stein JV, Palmer E: **A minimum number of autoimmune T cells to induce autoimmunity?** *Cellular Immunology* 2017, **316**:21-31.
35. Buchholz VR, Flossdorf M, Hensel I, Kretschmer L, Weissbrich B, Graf P, Verschoor A, Schiemann M, Hofer T, Busch DH: **Disparate individual fates compose robust CD8+ T cell immunity.** *Science* 2013, **340**:630-635.
36. Gerlach C, Rohr JC, Perié L, van Rooij N, van Heijst JWJ, Velds A, Urbanus J, Naik SH, Jacobs H, Beltman JB, et al.: **Heterogeneous Differentiation Patterns of Individual CD8 T Cells.** *Science* 2013, **340**:635.
37. Lonnberg T, Svensson V, James KR, Fernandez-Ruiz D, Sebina I, Montandon R, Soon MS, Fogg LG, Nair AS, Liligeto U, et al.: **Single-cell RNA-seq and computational analysis using temporal mixture modelling resolves Th1/Tfh fate bifurcation in malaria.** *Sci Immunol* 2017, **2**.
38. Plumlee CR, Sheridan BS, Cicek BB, Lefrancois L: **Environmental cues dictate the fate of individual CD8+ T cells responding to infection.** *Immunity* 2013, **39**:347-356.
39. Tubo NJ, Pagán AJ, Taylor JJ, Nelson RW, Linehan JL, Ertelt JM, Huseby ES, Way SS, Jenkins MK: **Single Naive CD4+ T Cells from a Diverse Repertoire Produce Different Effector Cell Types during Infection.** *Cell* 2013, **153**:785-796.
40. Schlub TE, Venturi V, Kedzierska K, Wellard C, Doherty PC, Turner SJ, Ribeiro RM, Hodgkin PD, Davenport MP: **Division-linked differentiation can account for CD8+ T-cell phenotype in vivo.** *Eur J Immunol* 2009, **39**:67-77.
41. Jenkins MR, Minter J, La Gruta NL, Kedzierska K, Doherty PC, Turner SJ: **Cell Cycle-Related Acquisition of Cytotoxic Mediators Defines the Progressive Differentiation to Effector Status for Virus-Specific CD8+ T Cells.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2008, **181**:3818-3822.
42. Gett AV, Hodgkin PD: **Cell division regulates the T cell cytokine repertoire, revealing a mechanism underlying immune class regulation.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 1998, **95**:9488-9493.
43. Bird JJ, Brown DR, Mullen AC, Moskowitz NH, Mahowald MA, Sider JR, Gajewski TF, Wang C-R, Reiner SL: **Helper T Cell Differentiation Is Controlled by the Cell Cycle.** *Immunity* 1998, **9**:229-237.
44. Kinjyo I, Qin J, Tan S-Y, Wellard CJ, Mrass P, Ritchie W, Doi A, Cavanagh LL, Tomura M, Sakaue-Sawano A, et al.: **Real-time tracking of cell cycle progression during**

CD8(+) effector and memory T-cell differentiation. *Nature Communications* 2015, **6**:6301.

45. Proserpio V, Piccolo A, Haim-Vilmovsky L, Kar G, Lonnberg T, Svensson V, Pramanik J, Natarajan KN, Zhai W, Zhang X, et al.: **Single-cell analysis of CD4+ T-cell differentiation reveals three major cell states and progressive acceleration of proliferation.** *Genome Biol* 2016, **17**:103.

46. Cho Y-L, Flossdorf M, Kretschmer L, Höfer T, Busch DH, Buchholz VR: **TCR Signal Quality Modulates Fate Decisions of Single CD4+ T Cells in a Probabilistic Manner.** *Cell Reports* 2017, **20**:806-818.

** This elegant study shows that CD4+ carrying identical TCRs generate highly variable phenotypic and proliferative responses challenging the view that the TCR is the determinant for the response outcome. The authors propose a mathematical model incorporating probabilistic behaviour accurately predicting fate outcomes.

47. Ozga AJ, Moalli F, Abe J, Swoger J, Sharpe J, Zehn D, Kreutzfeldt M, Merkler D, Ripoll J, Stein JV: **pMHC affinity controls duration of CD8⁺ T cell–DC interactions and imprints timing of effector differentiation versus expansion.** *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2016, **213**:2811-2829.

48. Antebi YE, Reich-Zeliger S, Hart Y, Mayo A, Eizenberg I, Rimer J, Putheti P, Pe'er D, Friedman N: **Mapping Differentiation under Mixed Culture Conditions Reveals a Tunable Continuum of T Cell Fates.** *PLOS Biology* 2013, **11**:e1001616.

49. Eizenberg-Magar I, Rimer J, Zaretsky I, Lara-Astiaso D, Reich-Zeliger S, Friedman N: **Diverse continuum of CD4+ T-cell states is determined by hierarchical additive integration of cytokine signals.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2017, **114**:E6447-E6456.

* This paper describes that signals are integrated and summed in a predictable manner to drive CD4 T cell phenotype. The elucidation of a cytokine-integration hierarchy influencing CD4+ T cell differentiation enables the construction of a model describing the impact of individual signals on fate selection across a continuum.

50. Flossdorf M, Rossler J, Buchholz VR, Busch DH, Hofer T: **CD8(+) T cell diversification by asymmetric cell division.** *Nat Immunol* 2015, **16**:891-893.

51. Mingueneau M, Krishnaswamy S, Spitzer MH, Bendall SC, Stone EL, Hedrick SM, Pe'er D, Mathis D, Nolan GP, Benoist C: **Single-cell mass cytometry of TCR signaling:**

- 452 **amplification of small initial differences results in low ERK activation in NOD**
453 **mice.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014, **111**:16466-16471.
- 454 52. Chang JT, Palanivel VR, Kinjyo I, Schambach F, Intlekofer AM, Banerjee A, Longworth
455 SA, Vinup KE, Mrass P, Oliaro J, et al.: **Asymmetric T Lymphocyte Division in the**
456 **Initiation of Adaptive Immune Responses.** *Science* 2007, **315**:1687-1691.
- 457 53. King CG, Koehli S, Hausmann B, Schmalzer M, Zehn D, Palmer E: **T Cell Affinity**
458 **Regulates Asymmetric Division, Effector Cell Differentiation, and Tissue**
459 **Pathology.** *Immunity* 2012, **37**:709-720.
- 460 54. Oliaro J, Van Ham V, Sacirbegovic F, Pasam A, Bomzon Z, Pham K, Ludford-Menting
461 MJ, Waterhouse NJ, Bots M, Hawkins ED, et al.: **Asymmetric cell division of T cells**
462 **upon antigen presentation uses multiple conserved mechanisms.** *J Immunol* 2010,
463 **185**:367-375.
- 464 55. Verbist KC, Guy CS, Milasta S, Liedmann S, Kaminski MM, Wang R, Green DR:
465 **Metabolic maintenance of cell asymmetry following division in activated T**
466 **lymphocytes.** *Nature* 2016.
- 467 56. Pollizzi KN, Sun I-H, Patel CH, Lo Y-C, Oh M-H, Waickman AT, Tam AJ, Blosser RL,
468 Wen J, Delgoffe GM, et al.: **Asymmetric inheritance of mTORC1 kinase activity**
469 **during division dictates CD8+ T cell differentiation.** *Nat Immunol* 2016, **advance**
470 **online publication.**
- 471 57. Buchholz VR, Schumacher TNM, Busch DH: **T Cell Fate at the Single-Cell Level.** *Annual*
472 *Review of Immunology* 2016, **34**:65-92.
- 473 58. Duffy KR, Wellard CJ, Markham JF, Zhou JHS, Holmberg R, Hawkins ED, Hasbold J,
474 Dowling MR, Hodgkin PD: **Activation-Induced B Cell Fates Are Selected by**
475 **Intracellular Stochastic Competition.** *Science* 2012, **335**:338-341.
- 476 59. Hawkins ED, Oliaro J, Kallies A, Belz GT, Filby A, Hogan T, Haynes N, Ramsbottom
477 KM, Van Ham V, Kinwell T, et al.: **Regulation of asymmetric cell division and**
478 **polarity by Scribble is not required for humoral immunity.** *Nat Commun* 2013,
479 **4**:1801.
- 480 60. Koenen P, Heinzel S, Carrington EM, Haplo L, Alexander WS, Zhang J-G, Herold MJ,
481 Scott CL, Lew AM, Strasser A, et al.: **Mutually exclusive regulation of T cell survival**
482 **by IL-7R and antigen receptor-induced signals.** *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**:1735.
- 483 61. Au-Yeung BB, Smith GA, Mueller JL, Heyn CS, Jaszczak RG, Weiss A, Zikherman J: **IL-**
484 **2 Modulates the TCR Signaling Threshold for CD8 but Not CD4 T Cell**
485 **Proliferation on a Single-Cell Level.** *J Immunol* 2017.

* In the absence of IL-2 a stronger TCR signal is required to drive CD8 T cell proliferation whereas in CD4 T cells the same level of TCR signal strength is required irrespective of IL-2. Myc functions as an integrator determining a minimal threshold for cells to proliferate.

62. Allison KA, Sajti E, Collier JG, Gosselin D, Troutman TD, Stone EL, Hedrick SM, Glass CK: **Affinity and dose of TCR engagement yield proportional enhancer and gene activity in CD4+ T cells.** *Elife* 2016, **5**:e10134.

63. Man K, Miasari M, Shi W, Xin A, Henstridge DC, Preston S, Pellegrini M, Belz GT, Smyth GK, Febbraio MA, et al.: **The transcription factor IRF4 is essential for TCR affinity-mediated metabolic programming and clonal expansion of T cells.** *Nat Immunol* 2013, **14**:1155-1165.

64. Deenick EK, Gett AV, Hodgkin PD: **Stochastic model of T cell proliferation: a calculus revealing IL-2 regulation of precursor frequencies, cell cycle time, and survival.** *J Immunol* 2003, **170**:4963-4972.

65. Mehlhop-Williams ER, Bevan MJ: **Memory CD8+ T cells exhibit increased antigen threshold requirements for recall proliferation.** *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 2014, **211**:345-356.

66. Waysbort N, Russ D, Chain BM, Friedman N: **Coupled IL-2-Dependent Extracellular Feedbacks Govern Two Distinct Consecutive Phases of CD4 T Cell Activation.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2013, **191**:5822-5830.

67. van Gisbergen Klaas PJM, Klarenbeek Paul L, Kragten Natasja AM, Unger P-Paul A, Nieuwenhuis Marieke BB, Wensveen Felix M, ten Brinke A, Tak Paul P, Eldering E, Nolte Martijn A, et al.: **The Costimulatory Molecule CD27 Maintains Clonally Diverse CD8+ T Cell Responses of Low Antigen Affinity to Protect against Viral Variants.** *Immunity* 2011, **35**:97-108.

68. Butler TC, Kardar M, Chakraborty AK: **Quorum sensing allows T cells to discriminate between self and nonself.** *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2013, **110**:11833-11838.

69. Voisinne G, Nixon Briana G, Melbinger A, Gasteiger G, Vergassola M, Altan-Bonnet G: **T Cells Integrate Local and Global Cues to Discriminate between Structurally Similar Antigens.** *Cell Reports* 2015, **11**:1208-1219.

** This study describes the recruitment of low affinity cells through integration of IL-2 produced by strongly activated cells as a mechanism to reliably generate robust receptor

diversity amongst a responding T cell population. The response rate of a mixed population of high and low affinity cells can be modelled and predicted accurately.

70. Carrington EM, Tarlinton DM, Gray DH, Huntington ND, Zhan Y, Lew AM: **The life and death of immune cell types: the role of BCL-2 anti-apoptotic molecules.** *Immunol Cell Biol* 2017, **95**:870-877.

71. Koenen P, Heinzl S, Carrington EM, Haplo L, Alexander WS, Zhang JG, Herold MJ, Scott CL, Lew AM, Strasser A, et al.: **Mutually exclusive regulation of T cell survival by IL-7R and antigen receptor-induced signals.** *Nat Commun* 2013, **4**:1735.

72. Cippa PE, Kraus AK, Lindenmeyer MT, Chen J, Guimezanes A, Bardwell PD, Wekerle T, Wuthrich RP, Fehr T: **Resistance to ABT-737 in activated T lymphocytes: molecular mechanisms and reversibility by inhibition of the calcineurin-NFAT pathway.** *Cell Death Dis* 2012, **3**:e299.

73. Boise LH, Minn AJ, Noel PJ, June CH, Accavitti MA, Lindsten T, Thompson CB: **CD28 costimulation can promote T cell survival by enhancing the expression of Bcl-XL.** *Immunity* 1995, **3**:87-98.

74. D'Souza WN, Chang C-F, Fischer AM, Li M, Hedrick SM: **The Erk2 MAPK Regulates CD8 T Cell Proliferation and Survival.** *The Journal of Immunology* 2008, **181**:7617-7629.

75. Wensveen FM, van Gisbergen KP, Derks IA, Gerlach C, Schumacher TN, van Lier RA, Eldering E: **Apoptosis threshold set by Noxa and Mcl-1 after T cell activation regulates competitive selection of high-affinity clones.** *Immunity* 2010, **32**:754-765.

76. Wensveen FM, Derks IA, van Gisbergen KP, de Bruin AM, Meijers JC, Yigittop H, Nolte MA, Eldering E, van Lier RA: **BH3-only protein Noxa regulates apoptosis in activated B cells and controls high-affinity antibody formation.** *Blood* 2012, **119**:1440-1449.

77. Ream RM, Sun J, Braciale TJ: **Stimulation of naive CD8+ T cells by a variant viral epitope induces activation and enhanced apoptosis.** *J Immunol* 2010, **184**:2401-2409.

78. van Gisbergen KP, Klarenbeek PL, Kragten NA, Unger PP, Nieuwenhuis MB, Wensveen FM, ten Brinke A, Tak PP, Eldering E, Nolte MA, et al.: **The costimulatory molecule CD27 maintains clonally diverse CD8(+) T cell responses of low antigen affinity to protect against viral variants.** *Immunity* 2011, **35**:97-108.

79. Zehn D, Roepke S, Weakly K, Bevan MJ, Prlic M: **Inflammation and TCR signal strength determine the breadth of the T cell response in a bim-dependent manner.** *J Immunol* 2014, **192**:200-205.
80. Boise LH, Minn AJ, June CH, Lindsten T, Thompson CB: **Growth factors can enhance lymphocyte survival without committing the cell to undergo cell division.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1995, **92**:5491-5495.
81. D'Souza WN, Chang CF, Fischer AM, Li M, Hedrick SM: **The Erk2 MAPK regulates CD8 T cell proliferation and survival.** *J Immunol* 2008, **181**:7617-7629.
82. Roychoudhuri R, Clever D, Li P, Wakabayashi Y, Quinn KM, Klebanoff CA, Ji Y, Sukumar M, Eil RL, Yu Z, et al.: **BACH2 regulates CD8(+) T cell differentiation by controlling access of AP-1 factors to enhancers.** *Nat Immunol* 2016, **17**:851-860.
83. Spierings DC, Lemmens EE, Grewal K, Schoenberger SP, Green DR: **Duration of CTL activation regulates IL-2 production required for autonomous clonal expansion.** *Eur J Immunol* 2006, **36**:1707-1717.
84. Turner ML, Hawkins ED, Hodgkin PD: **Quantitative regulation of B cell division destiny by signal strength.** *J Immunol* 2008, **181**:374-382.
85. Prlic M, Bevan MJ: **Exploring regulatory mechanisms of CD8+ T cell contraction.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008, **105**:16689-16694.

Figure legends

Figure 1. An autonomous self-limiting response underlies T and B cell activation. All lymphocytes receive and process a large number of signals from their environment, antigen and accessory cells. These signals serve to set timers for the burst of division and the eventual death of these cells, as well as either division-linked or timed differentiation changes. Similar cells do not perceive signals in identical manner, leading to clonal family dependent variation that may have a stochastic basis (1). All cell functions governing this initial internal immune program can be affected by external signals, and further fate changes regulated by division or time ensures sensitive and broad ranging fate control (2, 3).