University of Dundee

An inverse technique for reconstructing ocean's density stratification from surface data

Kar, Subhajit; Guha, Anirban

Published in:
Ocean Modelling

DOI:
[10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101561](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101561)

Publication date:
2020

Licence:
CC BY-NC-ND

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Kar, S., & Guha, A. (2020). An inverse technique for reconstructing ocean's density stratification from surface data. *Ocean Modelling*, 147, [101561]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101561>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Journal Pre-proof

An inverse technique for reconstructing ocean's density stratification from surface data

Subhajit Kar, Anirban Guha

PII: S1463-5003(19)30234-3

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101561>

Reference: OCEMOD 101561

To appear in: *Ocean Modelling*

Received date: 8 August 2019

Revised date: 18 November 2019

Accepted date: 22 December 2019

Please cite this article as: S. Kar and A. Guha, An inverse technique for reconstructing ocean's density stratification from surface data. *Ocean Modelling* (2020), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocemod.2019.101561>.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

An inverse technique for reconstructing oceans density stratification from surface data

Subhajit Kar^a, Anirban Guha^{a,b,*}

^a*Environmental and Geophysical Fluids Group, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, U.P. 208016, India.*

^b*School of Science and Engineering, University of Dundee, Nethergate DD1 4HN, U.K.*

Abstract

In this article, we propose an inverse technique that accurately reconstructs the ocean's density stratification profile simply from free surface elevation data. Satellite observations suggest that ocean surface contains the signature of internal tides, which are internal gravity waves generated by the barotropic tides. Since internal tides contain the information of ocean's density stratification, the latter can in principle be reconstructed from the free surface signature. We consider a simple theoretical model that approximates a continuously stratified ocean as discrete layers of constant buoyancy frequency; this facilitates the derivation of a closed-form dispersion relation. First, we numerically simulate internal tide generation for toy ocean scenarios and subsequently perform Space-Time Fourier Transform (STFT) of the free surface, which yields internal tide spectra with wavenumbers corresponding to the tidal frequency. The density profile is reconstructed by substituting these wavenumbers into the dispersion relation. Finally, we consider a more realistic situation with rotation, bottom topography, shear and density profiles representative of the Strait of Gibraltar. Density reconstruction in the presence and absence of shear are respectively found to be 90.2% and 94.2% accurate. The proposed method can be used to reconstruct climatological mean ocean density field of uniform spatial resolution using only surface elevation data obtained via satellite altimetry.

Keywords: Inverse method, density stratification, internal tide, pycnocline, climate, Mediterranean sea.

1. Introduction

The oceans are by and large stably stratified, that is, the density of ocean monotonically increases with depth. The ocean's density also varies with latitude and longitude, as well as with seasons. However, variations along the horizontal direction are usually many orders of magnitude (typically in the open ocean $\sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-5})$) smaller than that in the vertical [1]. Depending upon the strength of stratification, in general the vertical structure of the ocean's density is divided into three major layers: (i) *top* - weakly stratified surface mixed layer, (ii) *middle* - strongly stratified pycnocline, and (iii) *bottom* - weakly stratified abyss [2].

An accurate knowledge of the ocean's density field is crucial for ocean and climate modeling [3]. The oceanic density stratification also has a direct impact on the aquatic ecosystem. In oceans and lakes, microbiological activities and accumulation of organisms are strongly affected by the pycnocline [4]. The density stratification influences the formation of spring phytoplankton blooms, which in turns help to maintain a balanced ecosystem [5]. In particular, depth of the top mixed layer modulates the interaction between the light availability for photosynthesis and the nutrient

*Corresponding author

Email address: anirbanguha.ubc@gmail.com (Anirban Guha)

Figure 1: Sea surface height amplitude of mode-1 semi-diurnal tides (M_2) from multi-satellite altimetry. The 3000 m isobath contours are shown in black. The light blue masks show regions of the high meso-scale eddies. The image has been taken from Ref. [11]. © American Meteorological Society. Used with permission.

supply from the deep oceans [6]. The density gradient at the base of the mixed layer affects the entrainment process, which plays an important role in mixed layer deepening and in supplying nutrients to the photic zone [6].

The ocean's density is a function of both temperature and salinity, both of which are measured using CTD (Conductivity, Temperature and Depth) sensors using the ARGO floats [7]. These sensors, while descending (or ascending) through the ocean water, collect the necessary information. The vertical profiles of temperature and salinity thus obtained are then substituted into the equation of state to yield ocean's density profile at a given latitude–longitude. At present, there is a global array of ~ 3800 free-drifting ARGO floats in the global ocean. Indeed, these drifters do provide an accurate measurement of the density field, however, they can not provide spatially uniform resolution data. Another drawback of this measurement technique is that these floats behave as free-drifters, therefore, their measurement at a particular point of interest cannot be controlled precisely.

The above drawbacks have persuaded us to look into a useful alternative measurement technique. In this article, we propose a strategy that can provide a reasonably accurate estimate of ocean's density stratification profile (and hence, the pycnocline depth) in a fully non-invasive manner by *only* analyzing the ocean free surface. To achieve this, we scrutinize one of the most important consequences of ocean's stable density stratification – the internal tides, which are internal gravity waves (IGWs) forced at the tidal frequency [8]. Recently, internal tides have been proposed to be a cost-effective tool to infer the change in the upper ocean temperature due to a change in travel time of the low-mode internal tides [9]. Our proposed method provides an optimal compromise between fidelity and simplicity of representation of the ocean density field. To analyse the ocean surface imprint, we invoke a simpler approach by discretizing the vertical variation of density into discrete layers with a linear variation of density (implying the buoyancy frequency is piecewise constant) and construct a theoretical model to estimate the layered density profile. We note here that there are previous studies on the reconstruction of the subsurface flow and density field from the sea surface density and sea surface height using the surface quasigeostrophic (SQG) formalism [10]. This methodology has been quite successful in the high energetic (shear) regions like Gulf stream extension and also to an extent in the lesser energetic region. Both SQG and our proposed technique can utilise the satellite altimetry surface data to provide a representation of the climatological density field. Our proposed method, however, can complement the existing SQG methodology in the less energetic regions for which it is ideally suited.

Apart from the internal tides, there is another type of IGW that are generated by the wind-driven flow and have been observed as a prominent peak in the Garrett & Munk continuous internal waves spectrum [12]. These wind-induced internal waves generated in the ocean mixed layer are commonly known as “near-inertial waves”, and as the name suggests, the frequency of these waves is very close to the *Coriolis* frequency [13, 14]. These waves predominantly undergo downward propagation [14] and are different from the kind of IGWs the current work is fully based on –

the *internal tides*. From here on, the acronym “IGW” would only represent internal gravity waves generated by the semi-diurnal tides.

The high-mode IGWs often dissipate near their generation site. On the contrary, the low-modes generally travel hundreds and even a thousand kilometers before getting dissipated [11, 15]. An important aspect of low-mode IGWs is that they are very efficient in transporting momentum and energy over large distances, and help in mixing nutrients, oxygen and heat in the oceans [16]. Turbulence and mixing due to IGW breaking play an important role in regulating the global oceanic circulation, and are one of the major factors in the climate-forecast models [17].

The signature of the low modes on the ocean surface are detectable by the satellite altimeters [18, 19]; they appear as wave-like perturbations having a frequency of the tidal frequency. In the past two decades, efforts have been made to construct the coherent structure of the stationary low-mode internal-tides using 20 years of sea-surface height (SSH) data from multiple satellite altimeters [20, 11]. Fig. 1 shows the global estimation of mode-1 SSH using multi-satellite altimetry. The figure also shows the regions of strong internal tide generation sites, which are highly correlated with the large-scale topographic features, shown by the solid black lines. The sampling rate of satellite altimeter is usually larger than the tidal period, but remarkably enough, IGWs can *still* be studied with a dataset exhibiting sampling only once in every 20–60 tidal periods [20]. An astounding point comes from these observations that the signature of the IGWs remain both spatially and temporary coherent despite the fact that they are often contaminated by the meso-scale eddies or the sub-surface shear (mostly induced by the wind forcing) [11]. The pivotal point of our article is the realization that the ocean surface signature of IGWs (which, as already mentioned, are well detectable via satellite observations) carry the information of ocean’s density stratification, and can in principle be inverted to reconstruct the latter.

We have organized the article as follows. In Section 2 we discuss the closed form dispersion relations for one, two and three-layer of constant buoyancy frequency. Additionally, we have provided a mathematical justification regarding the uniqueness of IGW wavenumbers, and have also highlighted a unique situation where two different density profiles provide the exact same set of wavenumbers. Moreover, sensitivity analysis of density reconstruction has been discussed for one, two and three-layered models. Various aspects of numerical implementation are discussed in Section 3. In Section 4, we first consider toy models with simple density profiles, and then a representative density profile of the Mediterranean sea. In each case, IGWs emanating from the bottom topography impinge on the free surface. Wavenumbers corresponding to the surface signature are substituted in the closed form dispersion relation to reconstruct the underlying density profile. Next we perform semi-realistic simulations of internal tides in the Strait of Gibraltar (the region where Mediterranean Sea meets the Atlantic Ocean). The first case considers real bathymetry, real density profile, Coriolis effect, but no background velocity shear, while the second case includes the effect of velocity shear. The density stratification profile is reconstructed in both cases.

2. Governing equations and exact solutions

We consider an incompressible, 2D ($x - z$ plane), density stratified flow of a Boussinesq fluid on an f -plane. The mean (denoted by overbars) density profile varies in the vertical (z) direction. The governing Navier-Stokes equation in this case is given by [21, 22, 23]:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + f \hat{z} \times \mathbf{u} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p - \frac{\rho g}{\rho_0} \hat{z} + \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \quad (1a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad (1b)$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = w \frac{\rho_0}{g} N^2 + \kappa \nabla^2 \rho + \mathcal{F}. \quad (1c)$$

Except $\mathcal{F}$, the variables without overbars denote the perturbation quantities. The perturbation velocity field is denoted by $\mathbf{u} \equiv (u, v, w)$, p and ρ respectively denote the perturbation pressure and density. Since the flow considered is 2D, any variation normal to the $x - z$ plane has been neglected. The quantity f represents the Coriolis frequency, defined as $f \equiv 2\Omega \sin \theta$, where Ω is the Earth’s rotation rate ($= 7.3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and θ is the latitude of interest. The quantity g denotes the gravitational acceleration, ρ_0 represents the reference density, ν is the kinematic viscosity and κ is the mass diffusivity. Furthermore, $N(z) \equiv \sqrt{-(g/\rho_0) d\bar{\rho}/dz}$ is the Brunt-Väisälä (or buoyancy) frequency, which is a measure of the background stratification ($\bar{\rho}(z)$ implies zonal mean density profile). The forcing function, $\mathcal{F}$, is assumed to

be zero here. In the linear regime, Eqs. (1a)–(1c) can be simplified into one equation by neglecting the effects of viscosity and diffusivity, and can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} \right) + f^2 \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial z^2} + N^2(z) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} = 0. \quad (2)$$

We seek a plane-wave solution and express w as follows: $w = W(z)e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$, where k is the wavenumber in the x -direction and ω is the frequency. By substituting this ansatz in (2), we get

$$\frac{d^2 W}{dz^2} + k^2 \frac{N^2(z) - \omega^2}{\omega^2 - f^2} W = 0. \quad (3)$$

We assume the lower boundary (at $z = -H$) to be impenetrable, i.e. $w = 0$ (implying $W = 0$), while the upper boundary ($z = 0$) to be a free surface. However, at the leading order approximation $w = 0$ (implying $W = 0$) at the free surface – which is popularly known as the ‘rigid-lid approximation’ [22, 24].

Eq. (3) together with the homogeneous boundary conditions, constitute a regular Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem. Its solution is formed by the superposition of a countably infinite set of eigenvalues k_n and corresponding eigenfunctions W_n . The solution, which physically represents internal gravity waves, can be obtained in analytical form only for some special choices of $N(z)$, e.g. constant or piecewise constant [22], otherwise Eq. (3) has to be solved numerically. Below we provide the exact solutions for (i) a single layer of constant N , (ii) two layers, each having a constant N , and (iii) three layers, each having a constant N .

2.1. Exact solutions

2.1.1. One layer

We consider a mean density profile $\bar{\rho}(z)$ that varies linearly with z , giving a constant N . In this situation, Eq. (3), along with the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, can be solved exactly, yielding

$$W = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \sin(m_n z), \quad (4)$$

where $m_n = n\pi/H$ is the vertical wavenumber of the n -th mode, and $C_n \in \mathbb{R}$. The dispersion relation is given by

$$m_n = \pm k_n \sqrt{\frac{N^2 - \omega_0^2}{\omega_0^2 - f^2}}, \quad (5)$$

where k_n is the horizontal wavenumber of the n -th mode. Positive (negative) k_n describes rightward (leftward) propagating waves. Without loss of generality, all our analysis has been performed with positive k_n . In the above equation, we have fixed the value of ω as ω_0 , which we take as the tidal frequency. This is because our interest here is to obtain internal tides, that is, internal waves oscillating at tidal frequencies (IGWs). Eqs. (4) and/or (5) appear in classic texts [25, 22, 2].

2.1.2. Two layers

In this case we consider a two-layered density stratified flow. Density in each layer varies linearly with z (i.e., N is constant in each layer) as follows:

$$N = \begin{cases} N_1 & -h < z < 0, \\ N_2 & -H < z < -h. \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

We note here that the two-layered density stratification is such that $\bar{\rho}(z)$ is still continuous, implying there are no *interfacial* gravity waves at the pycnocline $z = -h$. In such a system, W_n can be written as [22]:

$$W_n = \begin{cases} C_{n,1} \sin[m_{n,1}z] & -h < z < 0, \\ C_{n,2} \sin[m_{n,2}(z + H)] & -H < z < -h. \end{cases}$$

4

By demanding the continuity of W_n and dW_n/dz at $z = -h$, we arrive at the dispersion relation

$$m_{n,2} \sin[m_{n,1}h] \cos[m_{n,2}(H-h)] + m_{n,1} \cos[m_{n,1}h] \sin[m_{n,2}(H-h)] = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $m_{n,i} = k_n \sqrt{N_i^2 - \omega_0^2} / \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f^2}$; $i = 1, 2$.

2.1.3. Three layers

Next we consider a three-layered density stratified flow, with $N = \text{constant}$ in each layer:

$$N = \begin{cases} N_1 & -h_1 < z < 0, \\ N_2 & -h_2 < z < -h_1, \\ N_3 & -H < z < -h_2. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Again we note that the $\bar{\rho}(z)$ is continuous. As already mentioned, the ocean can be broadly divided into three regions of different density stratifications, hence the three-layered model can crudely represent the ocean's mean density profile. Thus N_1 , N_2 and N_3 respectively denote the stratifications of the top, middle (pycnocline) and bottom layers. In this three-layered system, W_n can be expressed as

$$W_n = \begin{cases} C_{n,1} \sin[m_{n,1}z] & -h_1 < z < 0, \\ C_{n,2} \sin[m_{n,2}(z+h_2)] + C_{n,3} \cos[m_{n,2}(z+h_2)] & -h_2 < z < -h_1, \\ C_{n,4} \sin[m_{n,3}(z+H)] & -H < z < -h_2, \end{cases}$$

where $m_{n,i} = k_n \sqrt{N_i^2 - \omega_0^2} / \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f^2}$; $i = 1, 2, 3$. To obtain the four unknown coefficients, we demand the continuity of W_n and dW_n/dz at the two interfaces $z = -h_1$ and $z = -h_2$, which finally yields the dispersion relation

$$\begin{aligned} & m_{n,2}m_{n,3} \sin[m_{n,1}h_1] \cos[m_{n,2}(h_1-h_2)] \cos[m_{n,3}(H-h_2)] \\ & - m_{n,1}m_{n,3} \cos[m_{n,1}h_1] \sin[m_{n,2}(h_1-h_2)] \cos[m_{n,3}(H-h_2)] \\ & + m_{n,1}m_{n,2} \cos[m_{n,1}h_1] \cos[m_{n,2}(h_1-h_2)] \sin[m_{n,3}(H-h_2)] \\ & + m_{n,2}^2 \sin[m_{n,1}h_1] \sin[m_{n,2}(h_1-h_2)] \sin[m_{n,3}(H-h_2)] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

2.2. Uniqueness of the wavenumbers

Our objective is to reconstruct the ocean's density stratification N by only analyzing the free surface data. Due to the tidal forcing, internal wave beams radiate from the bottom topography and the low mode internal waves impinge on the free surface. Spectral analysis of the free surface would reveal the wavenumbers k_n , which, on being substituted into the m -layered dispersion relation (for example, the 3-layered dispersion relation is given by Eq. (9)) would reconstruct N as an m -layered profile. However, a fundamental mathematical question in this regard is – *is this reconstruction unique?* In other words, can two different stratification profiles have exactly the same set of wavenumbers?

2.2.1. Uniqueness of isolated eigenvalues of Sturm-Liouville boundary value problems

Let us consider a regular, two point, Sturm-Liouville boundary value problem

$$-\frac{d}{dz} \left[p(z) \frac{dY}{dz} \right] + r(z)Y = kw(z)Y; \quad z \in [a, b],$$

$$\text{with boundary conditions } C_1 Y(a) + C_2 \frac{dY(a)}{dz} = 0 \text{ and } C_3 Y(b) + C_4 \frac{dY(b)}{dz} = 0.$$

The above problem has a countable infinite number of eigenvalues k_n and corresponding eigenfunctions Y_n . Ref. [26] (see p53 and Theorem 3.5.1, p54) has shown that if two eigenvalue problems are 'close' to each other, the isolated eigenvalues k_n are also close. In other words, a small change in the Sturm-Liouville problem also leads to a small change in the eigenvalues and the eigenfunctions. Thus the set of wavenumbers given by Eq. (3) for a given N profile (and depth H) must be unique.

In practice, however, only the first few-modes can be inferred with reasonable accuracy from the satellite altimetry measurements [20]. A fairly accurate density reconstruction is still possible with a finite number of modes. The accuracy of reconstruction increases as we employ more modes (see Fig. 6 and Section 4.2.2 for detailed discussion).

2.2.2. Reflection symmetry of the density profile: A consequence for eigenvalue uniqueness

Here we show that under symmetry transform, the Sturm-Liouville problem may remain unchanged, and hence provides the same set of eigenvalues. We focus on the specific Sturm-Liouville problem concerning IGWs in Eq. (3), and apply the reflection symmetry transform: $z \rightarrow -z - H$. This yields exactly the same Sturm-Liouville problem as Eq. (3):

$$\frac{d^2}{dz_*^2} W(z_*) + k^2 \frac{N^2(z_*) - \omega^2}{\omega^2 - f^2} W(z_*) = 0, \quad (10)$$

with boundary conditions $W(z_*) = 0$ at $z_* = 0$ and $z_* = -H$, where $z_* = -z - H$. Note that $N^2(z_*) = N^2(-z - H)$, implying that $N^2(z)$ and $N^2(-z - H)$ yield the exact same set of eigenvalues k_n . Two different stratification profiles (one being a reflection symmetry of the other) yielding the same set of eigenvalues can have consequences in our inverse reconstruction technique. The pycnocline in the ‘false profile’ would appear near the ocean bottom. This profile being physically unfeasible (i.e. extraneous solution) should be disregarded.

2.3. Sensitivity analysis

2.3.1. One-layer model

For one-layer model, the dispersion relation can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}(k, N) = \frac{n\pi}{H} - k \frac{\sqrt{N^2 - \omega_0^2}}{\sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f^2}} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Suppose, due to measurement inaccuracies, instead of obtaining the exact wavenumber k , we obtain some other value k_1 where $k_1 = k + \delta k$. Because of this measurement error, we do not get the exact value N but $N_1 = N + \delta N$. Therefore, we impose

$$\mathcal{D}(k + \delta k, N + \delta N) = 0. \quad (12)$$

For small values of δk and δN , we can do Taylor series expansion of the above equation as

$$\cancel{\mathcal{D}(k, N)} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial k} \bigg|_{(k, N)} \delta k + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N} \bigg|_{(k, N)} \delta N = 0. \quad (13)$$

After some algebraic manipulations, it can be shown that

$$\frac{\delta k}{k} = \left(\frac{N^2}{N^2 - \omega_0^2} \right) \frac{\delta N}{N}. \quad (14)$$

In a real oceanic environment, the typical range of N is $10^{-1} - 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and ω_0 is $1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In this setting, we can approximate $(N^2 - \omega_0^2)$ as N^2 . Therefore, $\delta N/N \approx \delta k/k$, which means that the estimation error of N grows linearly with the measurement error of k .

2.3.2. Two-layered model

A similar type of mathematical argument can be made from the simple one-layer case. The number of unknowns needed to construct the density profile in the two-layered case is 4 (k , N_1 , N_2 and h), and hence the problem is not as straightforward as the one-layer case. We take an analytical approach and therefore consider a series of assumptions. For simplicity, let us assume that the depth h of the upper layer (having stratification N_1) is known. The dispersion relation can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}(k + \delta k, N_1 + \delta N_1, N_2 + \delta N_2) = 0, \quad (15)$$

where δk is the error in the measurement of k ; δN_1 and δN_2 are the respective estimation errors for N_1 and N_2 . For small values of δk , δN_1 and δN_2 , we can perform Taylor series expansion of the above equation as

$$\cancel{\mathcal{D}(k, N_1, N_2)} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial k} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2)} \delta k + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N_1} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2)} \delta N_1 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N_2} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2)} \delta N_2 = 0. \quad (16)$$

Further, let us assume that the errors of N_1 and N_2 are equal i.e., $\delta N_1 = \delta N_2 = \delta N$. Therefore, the above equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial k} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2)} \delta k = - \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N_1} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2)} \delta N - \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N_2} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2)} \delta N. \quad (17)$$

After some algebraic manipulations and assuming that $N_1^2 - \omega_0^2 \approx N_1^2$, $N_2^2 - \omega_0^2 \approx N_2^2$, it can be shown that

$$\frac{\delta k}{k} \approx \frac{\sin[m_1 h + m_2(H - h)]}{N_1 \cos[m_1 h] \sin[m_2(H - h)] + N_2 \sin[m_1 h] \cos[m_2(H - h)]} \delta N, \quad (18)$$

where $m_i = kN_i / \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f^2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. From the above equation, we can recover the sensitivity of the one-layer model by substituting $N_1 = N_2$ (therefore $m_1 = m_2$).

2.3.3. Three-layered model

For simplicity, we assume that the depth h_1 of the upper layer (having stratification N_1) and depth h_2 of the middle layer (having stratification N_2) are known. Therefore, the dispersion relation for the three-layered model can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}(k + \delta k, N_1 + \delta N_1, N_2 + \delta N_2, N_3 + \delta N_3) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where δk is the error in the measurement of k ; δN_1 , δN_2 and δN_3 are the respective estimation errors for N_1 , N_2 and N_3 . For small values of δk , δN_1 , δN_2 and δN_3 , we can perform Taylor series expansion of the above equation as

$$\cancel{\mathcal{D}(k, N_1, N_2, N_3)} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial k} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2, N_3)} \delta k + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N_i} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2, N_3)} \delta N_i = 0. \quad (20)$$

Further, let us assume that the errors of N_1 , N_2 and N_3 are equal i.e., $\delta N_1 = \delta N_2 = \delta N_3 = \delta N$. Therefore, the above equation can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial k} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2, N_3)} \delta k = -\delta N \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial \mathcal{D}}{\partial N_i} \bigg|_{(k, N_1, N_2, N_3)}. \quad (21)$$

After some algebraic manipulations and assuming that $N_1^2 - \omega_0^2 \approx N_1^2$, $N_2^2 - \omega_0^2 \approx N_2^2$, $N_3^2 - \omega_0^2 \approx N_3^2 \approx N_1^2$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 N_2 \cos[m_2 h] \cos[m_1(H + h)] \frac{\delta k}{k} \approx \\ \frac{\delta N}{N_1} \left[N_1 N_2 \cos[m_1(H + h)] \cos[m_2 h] + m_1 N_2 \frac{h}{H} \cos[m_1(H + h) + m_2 h] \right. \\ \left. - N_1^2 \cos[m_1 h_1 + m_2 h] \left(\frac{h_1}{H} \cos[m_1(H - h_2)] - \frac{h_2}{H} \cos[m_1(H - h_1)] \right) \right. \\ \left. + N_2^2 \sin[m_1 h_1 + m_2 h] \left(\frac{h_1}{H} \sin[m_1(H - h_2)] - \frac{h_2}{H} \sin[m_1(H - h_1)] \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{N_1 + N_2}{kH} \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f^2} \sin[m_1(H + h)] \cos[m_2 h] \right], \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where $h = h_1 - h_2$ and $m_i = kN_i / \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - f^2}$ for $i = 1, 2$. For a thin pycnocline ($h \approx 0$, implies $h_1 \approx h_2$), the above equation can be simplified as

$$\frac{\delta k}{k} \approx \frac{\delta N}{N_1} \left(1 + \left[\frac{1}{m_1 H} + \frac{1}{m_2 H} \right] \tan[m_1 H] \right). \quad (23)$$

3. Numerical implementation

In order to simulate the internal tides, we numerically solve equation set (1a)–(1c). Following Gerkema [21], we consider the barotropic tidal forcing term

$$\mathcal{F} = zN^2(z) \frac{Q_0 \sin(\omega_0 t)}{h(x)^2} \frac{dh}{dx}, \quad (24)$$

where Q_0 is the barotropic flux, $h(x)$ is the local water depth and ω_0 is the tidal frequency. The bottom topography has been incorporated; furthermore, the Cartesian coordinate system, $x - z$, has been transformed into a terrain-following coordinate system, $x - \zeta$ with $\zeta \equiv -z/h(x)$. Therefore, in the terrain-following coordinate system, the undisturbed free surface is denoted by $\zeta = 0$, and the bottom surface lies at $\zeta = -1$. Following Dimas and Triantafyllou [27], we use a spectral spatial discretization with Chebyshev polynomial in the vertical direction and Fourier modes in the streamwise direction, the latter has been assumed to be periodic. Eqs. (1a)–(1c) is solved using an open-source pseudo-spectral code – Dedalus [28]. Fourth-order Runge-Kutta method has been used for the time-marching.

The correspondence between the prognostic variables in the two coordinate systems are:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(x, \zeta, t) = \mathbf{u}(x, z, t); \quad \tilde{p}(x, \zeta, t) = p(x, z, t); \quad \tilde{\rho}(x, \zeta, t) = \rho(x, z, t).$$

At the free surface $\zeta = \eta(x, t)$, the kinematic and dynamic boundary conditions are respectively given by

$$\tilde{w} = \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \tilde{u} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} \quad ; \quad \tilde{p} = 0, \quad (25)$$

where η is the free surface elevation. At the free surface, $\tilde{u}$ satisfies the stress free boundary condition. At the bottom surface $\zeta = -1$, $\tilde{u}$ and $\tilde{w}$ respectively satisfies the no-slip and the no-penetration boundary conditions. The insulating boundary conditions applied both at the top and the bottom have been used for the density. Furthermore, we have taken both viscosity and diffusivity into account, ν and κ are respectively set to $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

For numerical simulations, we first considered a toy model with a *Gaussian* bottom topography. The density profile of the model is varied from single to three-layered (given in Section 4.1.1–4.1.3). The model has a depth of $H = 1 \text{ m}$, a horizontal extent of 40 m , and has been forced with a barotropic flux $Q_0 = 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and frequency $\omega_0 = 0.05 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Since the topography radiates IGWs, sponge layers have been used to absorb the incoming IGWs both at the east and the west boundaries of the domain. We have used 256 Chebyshev points in the z -direction and 1024 Fourier-modes along the x -direction. The duration of the simulation is 8 tidal periods with a time-step of 0.1 s .

Next we have considered a slightly more realistic scenario in Section 4.2.1 and studied the IGWs generation in the tidally active part of the Mediterranean sea. The stably stratified, time-averaged and smoothed density profile has been taken at 36.6° N latitude and 0.2° W longitude. To simulate this we used a domain of $(L_x \times L_z) = (50 \times 1) \text{ km}$ with sponge layers of 10 km on both eastern and western boundaries. The flow is forced using semi-diurnal tides of frequency $1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and with $Q_0 = 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ over a Gaussian mountain. The spatial and temporal discretization, as well as the total time are same as that in Section 4.1.1–4.1.3.

4. Results

4.1. Toy model

4.1.1. One-layer

We first simulate a single-layer flow with $N = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $f = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$. The space-time Fourier Transform (STFT) of the surface elevation field, η , is given by,

$$\hat{\eta}(k, \omega) = \iint \eta(x, t) e^{i(kx - \omega t)} dx dt \quad (26)$$

where, $\hat{\eta}(k, \omega)$ is the amplitude in wavenumber(k)–frequency(ω) space. For ease of understanding, the solution algorithm is given in Algorithm 1. The STFT of η yields the wavenumbers k_n corresponding to the tidal frequency $\omega_0 = 0.05 \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Algorithm 1 Density reconstruction

-
- 1: **procedure**
 - 2: input: free-surface elevation, $\eta(x, t)$.
 - 3: process:
 - 4: Step 1: Perform FFT using Eq. (26) to obtain (k, ω) . Only collect the wavenumbers k_n corresponding to the tidal forcing frequency, ω_0 .
 - 5: Step 2: Substitute k_n and ω_0 into the dispersion relation of internal tide for one layer given by Eq. (5) to get N , and subsequently the density field, ρ . The same procedure works for any number of layers, for example, to reconstruct three-layers, use dispersion relation Eq. (9).
 - 6: **end procedure**
-

Figure 2(a) shows STFT of the free surface elevation; the first vertical-mode ($n = 1$) corresponds to $k_1 = 1.813 \text{ m}^{-1}$. By substituting ω_0 and k_1 in Eq. (5), we straightforwardly estimate N . Since the density at the surface is known, the mean density profile $\bar{\rho}(z)$ can be directly reconstructed; see Fig 2(b). Moreover, this result also serves as a validation of the numerical code. Sensitivity analysis (see, Section 2.3.1) shows that the error in estimation of N grows approximately with measurement error of k_n . For this particular case, $\delta N/N = 0.75(\delta k/k)$.

4.1.2. Two-layered

Here we consider a squared buoyancy frequency

$$N^2 = 2 \times 10^{-2} - 10^{-2} \frac{1}{1 + (z - 0.7)^{256}}, \quad (27)$$

which approximates Eq. (6) with $N_1 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 0.14 \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $h = 0.3 \text{ m}$. Similar to the one-layer case in Section 4.1.1, tidal forcing leads to the IGWs radiation, whose imprint is detectable at the free surface. In this case, we also fix $f = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Fig. 2(c) shows the STFT of the free surface elevation; corresponding to the tidal frequency, the first three vertical modes respectively peak at $k_1 = 1.413 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_2 = 2.549 \text{ m}^{-1}$ and $k_3 = 3.836 \text{ m}^{-1}$. Our objective is to reconstruct Eq. (27) using Eq. (7), which means that the three unknowns, N_1 , N_2 and h have to be evaluated. We substitute the obtained values of k_1 , k_2 and k_3 along with ω_0 in Eq. (7), leading to a system of three equations and three unknowns, which is then solved numerically. The estimated values of N_1 , N_2 and h are respectively 0.095 s^{-1} , 0.132 s^{-1} and 0.29 m and with these values the density profile can be reconstructed. The mean density profile (solid line) along with the reconstructed version (blue dashed line with markers) are shown in Fig. 2(d). The sensitivity analysis (see, Section 2.3.2) suggests that $\delta N/N_{\text{avg}} = 1.58(\delta k/k)$, where $N_{\text{avg}} = (N_1 + N_2)/2$.

4.1.3. Three-layered

We follow the same strategy as that outlined in Section 4.1.1 and Section 4.1.2. In this case, we use the following N^2 profile:

$$N^2 = 0.01 + 0.0625 \exp(-1000 \times (-z + 0.2)^2). \quad (28)$$

The above profile approximates the three-layered configuration of Eq. (8), with $N_1 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $N_2 = 0.25 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $N_3 = 0.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $f = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $h_1 = 0.12 \text{ m}$ and $h_2 = 0.28 \text{ m}$. The goal is to find N_1 , N_2 , N_3 , h_1 and h_2 , and therefore we construct five-equations from Eq. (9) for different values of n . Fig. 2(e) reveals that $k_1 = 1.396 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_2 = 2.448 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_3 = 4.789 \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_4 = 6.173 \text{ m}^{-1}$ and $k_5 = 8.408 \text{ m}^{-1}$. The system of five equations and five unknowns resulting from Eq. (9) are then solved numerically. The estimated values of N_1 , N_2 , N_3 , h_1 and h_2 are respectively 0.093 s^{-1} , 0.246 s^{-1} , 0.096 s^{-1} , 0.1 m and 0.32 m . The density profile has been reconstructed with the estimated parameters. The mean density profile (solid line) along with the reconstructed version (blue dashed line with markers) are shown in Fig. 2(f). The sensitivity analysis (see, Section 2.3.3) suggests that $\delta N/N_{\text{avg}} = 1.89(\delta k/k)$, where $N_{\text{avg}} = (N_1 + N_2 + N_3)/3$.

4.2. Mediterranean sea profile**4.2.1. Idealistic Gaussian topography**

As already mentioned in Section 3, we simulate the IGWs for a case in which the mean density profile is representative of the Mediterranean sea. We have assumed $f = 0 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for simplicity. Fig. 3 (also see supplementary Movie)

Figure 2: Top panel shows STFT of the free surface displacement (contours represent amplitudes (in m) in log-scale), while bottom panel shows comparison between the actual (red line) and the estimated (blue dashed line with markers) mean density profiles. (a)-(b) one-layer, (c)-(d) two-layer and (e)-(f) three-layer.

shows snapshots of the free surface displacement η along with the contours of the horizontal baroclinic velocity u in the vertical plane at different time instants. Bending of the internal beams occur due to refraction from the pycnocline, furthermore, reflection from the pycnocline (which is of moderate strength) leads to the observed beam scattering [21]. A point worth mentioning here is that the wavenumbers present at the free surface (and hence, in the internal beam) do not depend on the underlying topography at the generation site. Therefore, the result should be valid for any other bottom topography that is not flat. Fig. 4(a) represents the STFT of the free surface elevation, the first five vertical-modes are $k_1 = 1.49 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_2 = 3.19 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_3 = 5.32 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$, $k_4 = 6.81 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$ and $k_5 = 8.94 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-1}$. We approximate the Mediterranean sea profile with a three-layered model, and hence follow the same procedure mentioned in Section 4.1.3. The estimated values of N_1 , N_2 , N_3 , h_1 and h_2 are respectively $1.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $6.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, 50 m and 150 m. Fig. 4(b) shows the comparison between the actual density profile used in the model and the estimated density profile. The sensitivity analysis (see, Section 2.3.3) suggests that $\delta N/N_{avg} = 2.81(\delta k/k)$, where $N_{avg} = (N_1 + N_2 + N_3)/3$.

To estimate the error, we have used normalised root-mean-squared error (NRMSE), defined as

$$NRMSE = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^M (\bar{\rho}_i^a - \bar{\rho}_i^e)^2}}{\bar{\rho}_{max}^a - \bar{\rho}_{min}^a},$$

where $\bar{\rho}^a$ and $\bar{\rho}^e$ are respectively the actual and the estimated density profiles, $\bar{\rho}_{max}^a$ and $\bar{\rho}_{min}^a$ are respectively the maximum and the minimum values of the actual density profile, and M is the number of points. We find NRMSE to be 5.4%, which implies that the three-layered model (or in other words, the first five modes) estimates the density profile with reasonable accuracy.

4.2.2. Realistic topography without and with shear

It has been observed that the Strait of Gibraltar is a *choke-point* in the exchange of water between the Atlantic Ocean and the Mediterranean Sea [29]. Therefore, it is possible that there might be a dynamical role of the shear flow

Figure 3: The IGWs radiating from a Gaussian submarine mountain impinges on the free surface. Density profile, representative of the Mediterranean sea, has been considered. Snapshots of the free surface displacement (η , in m) and the corresponding horizontal baroclinic velocity field (u , in ms^{-1}) are shown. Time corresponding to each snapshot appears at the top of each sub-figure. The semi-diurnal tidal period, $T = 2\pi/\omega \approx 12.46$ hours.

Figure 4: Density reconstruction for the Mediterranean sea profile. (a) STFT of free surface displacement (contours represent amplitudes (in m) in log-scale), and (b) actual (red line) and the estimated (blue dashed line with markers) mean density profile.

on the properties of internal tides (i.e. the dispersion relation might be affected). Based on observational evidences, we pursue this issue by performing two numerical experiments – one without background shear and another with steady background shear. While the shear in the Strait of Gibraltar is primarily caused by the exchange flow, in a different region it can be caused by a strong wind forcing; hence the imposition of the background shear can be viewed as a general case. In this regard, we solved the 2D Navier Stokes equations with Boussinesq approximation on an f -plane with realistic ocean topography. The bathymetry considered here is located at 36°N latitude and along the Strait of Gibraltar. The bathymetry data is taken from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans (GEBCO) gridded bathymetric datasets [30] and interpolated to the numerical grid resolutions. The bathymetric features near the Strait of Gibraltar are shown in Fig. 5(a) with the line (shown by the red line) along which the topography is taken for the numerical simulation. For the numerical simulation purposes, we used MITgcm, an open-source code [31]. The horizontal length of the computational domain is 200 km with 2000 uniform grid points. In the vertical direction we used 200 non-uniform grid points and nearly 20 grid points are concentrated near to the bottom boundary to fairly resolves the boundary layer. Both kinematic viscosity and mass diffusivity were set to $10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$, and sub-grid modeling was not used. No-slip and no-penetration velocity boundary conditions are applied at the bottom of the domain and no-flux boundary conditions are applied to the density field at the ocean surface and at the bottom. The numerical model incorporates implicit free surface with partial-step topography formulation [32]. For generating the internal tides, the model was forced with semi-diurnal barotropic tide with a frequency of $1.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. The model was integrated up to 7 tidal periods with a time-step of 20 seconds. The shear flow profile used in this study is taken from the observation data reported in Send and Baschek [29] (see, solid black line figure 7(a) of their paper). The steady shear flow was calculated from the total shear flow by removing the constant barotropic tide, which is 0.05 ms^{-1} (see Ref.[33]). The maximum and minimum values of the shear flow is found to be 0.35 and -0.15 ms^{-1} respectively. However, stratified shear flow may undergo instability if the value of the local Richardson number ($Ri \equiv N^2/(dU/dz)^2$ where $U(z)$ is the imposed shear velocity) is less than $1/4$ (see Ref. [34]). In our numerical simulation, $\min(N) = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $\max(dU/dz) = 5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, hence $\min(Ri) = 1$, making the background state linearly stable. Both Figs. 5(b) and 5(e) show snapshots of the surface undulation created by the IGWs and the perturbed baroclinic u -velocity contours; the first figure is without and the second one is with the shear. While the coherent internal beam is observed in the u -velocity contours of Fig. 5(b) (just like Fig. 3), slight loss of coherence due to the moderate amount of shear is observed in Fig. 5(e). The effect of shear becomes clearer on comparing the STFT of the two cases. Figs 5(c) and 5(f) respectively show the wavenumbers of the first 5 modes for no-shear and with-shear cases, and their numerical values have been given in Table 1. A simple comparison of these values suggests that the moderate shear has negligible effect on the wavenumber of mode-1. However, as expected, shear has some effect (albeit small) on the higher modes; higher the mode number, the higher is the change. Moreover, such changes would also increase with increasing shear, and there is a possibility to loose the coherent beam structure

when the shear is very strong. Table 1 reveals that the wavelength of the first 5 modes range from ~ 60 km to ~ 10 km; it is difficult for shear, which acts at 10–100 m scales, to have a strong effect on these modes. Since our density reconstruction procedure is based on ‘reading’ wavenumbers from the free surface and using these wavenumbers as an input in Eq. (9) (which has been derived for the no-shear condition), changes in the wavenumbers would reflect in the estimation of the density profile. Table 2 shows the estimated values of the parameters needed to reconstruct the density field using the theory of three-layer model. Figs. 5(d) and 5(g) respectively show the estimation of density profile and the corresponding buoyancy frequency for no-shear and with-shear cases. While the error in buoyancy frequency does not vary with depth, the error in density reconstruction does, and gets larger with depth. This is simply because the density field is reconstructed by integrating the N^2 profile with z . The effect is most prominent in the lowest layer because it has the largest depth.

Table 1: Values of the wavenumbers k_n (m^{-1}) for the first 5-modes.

CASE	k_1	k_2	k_3	k_4	k_5
(1) without shear	1.1×10^{-4}	4.2×10^{-4}	5.9×10^{-4}	9.8×10^{-4}	10.8×10^{-4}
(2) with shear	1.1×10^{-4}	4.4×10^{-4}	6.2×10^{-4}	10.4×10^{-3}	11.6×10^{-4}

Table 2: Estimated values of parameters to reconstruct the Mediterranean density profile using the three-layered models.

CASE	N_1 (s^{-1})	N_2 (s^{-1})	N_3 (s^{-1})	h_1 (m)	h_2 (m)
(1) without shear	1×10^{-3}	6.6×10^{-3}	1.3×10^{-3}	46	186
(2) with shear	1×10^{-3}	6.8×10^{-3}	1.6×10^{-3}	60	180

The NRMSE in the estimation of density profile is 5.8% for no-shear case, and 9.8% for with-shear case. It follows that $\delta N/N_{\text{avg}} \propto \delta k/k$ where N_{avg} is the average of the layered buoyancy frequency. The error in density estimation $\delta \rho$ is found to be $\delta \rho/\rho \propto N_{\text{avg}}^2 \delta N/N_{\text{avg}}$. A simple calculation of sensitivity analysis suggests for the case of no-shear and shear the averaged values of $\delta N/N_{\text{avg}}$ are respectively $\delta N/N_{\text{avg}} = 2.65(\delta k/k)$ and $\delta N/N_{\text{avg}} = 3.14(\delta k/k)$. Therefore, effects of the shear is very small in this particular case. In general, in the oceans $N = \mathcal{O}(10^{-3}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-1}) \text{ s}^{-1}$, therefore, it can be said that the shear induced modification of k_n weakly affects the density reconstruction, provided the shear is not very strong.

In practice, only the first few modes are available from the satellite altimetry dataset[20]. Therefore, we have studied the dependence of density reconstruction error as the number of first- n modes, T_n is increased. For this particular analysis, we have considered wavenumbers for the ‘without-shear’ case. Fig. 6 shows that the percentage of reconstruction error decreases as the number of modes, n increases. The values of $T_n = 1, 3$ and 5 respectively correspond to one-layer, two-layered and three-layered model. For the case $T_n = 2$, we have used Eq. (7) of the two-layered model with N_1 already known from the one-layered model, thereby reducing the number of unknowns to 2 (i.e. N_2 and h), making it solvable. In a similar way, for $T_n = 4$ case, we have used Eq. (9) of the three-layered model with N_1 already known from the two-layered model, thereby reducing the number of unknowns to 4, and reconstruct the density profile by finding out N_2, N_3, h_1 and h_2 . Similar procedure has been followed for $T_n = 6$ and $T_n = 7$ cases. The reconstruction error saturated to 5.8% at $T_n = 5$. This suggests that the three-layered model can be regarded as an optimal choice for density reconstruction, which is expected since a generic ocean density profile shows a three-layered structure. We also note that in order to capture the finite thickness of the pycnocline, we need $n > 3$.

5. Conclusion

Using numerical simulations, we show that ocean’s free surface carries the information of its mean density profile, and lay out the theoretical groundwork towards its reconstruction. The barotropic tides cause the stratified ocean water to move back and forth over the submarine topography, causing the IGW radiation. The IGWs carrying the information

Figure 5: Simulation of IGWs near the Strait of Gibraltar. (a) The Strait of Gibraltar with colors representing depth (in m) from the free surface. The red line implies the length and direction along which the topography has been taken. The left column ((b)–(d)) is the no-shear case, while the right column ((e)–(g)) corresponds to a steady background shear. The top panel ((b) and (e)) shows snapshots of the free-surface displacement (η , in m) and the corresponding horizontal baroclinic velocity contours (u , in ms^{-1}). The middle panel ((c) and (f)) shows the STFT of the free-surface displacement (contours represent amplitudes (in m) in log-scale). The bottom panel ((d) and (g)) shows density profiles and corresponding buoyancy frequencies N (s^{-1}) with a common vertical axis (z). Red line (red dashed line with markers) shows the actual (reconstructed) density profile, and they share the bottom horizontal axis, while solid black line (dashed black line) shows the actual (reconstructed) buoyancy frequency, and they share the top horizontal axis.

Figure 6: NRMSE(%) versus the first- n modes, T_n . As n increases, the reconstructed error decreases, implying that the reconstructed density profile converges to the actual density profile.

of the ocean's mean density profile impinge on the free surface, the signature of which can be observed using the STFT. The wavenumbers (countably infinite in number) constituting this IGW correspond to the tidal frequency in the STFT spectrum. In general, the higher the mode number, the lower is its amplitude; hence the first few modes of the surface signature (which are easier to detect in practice) can be used to estimate the density stratification profile. The ocean's mean density profile $\bar{\rho}(z)$ has some specific qualities – it continuously and monotonically decreases with z . Furthermore, the variation of $\bar{\rho}(z)$ is such that it can be broadly divided into three distinct regions. In each of these regions, $\bar{\rho}(z)$ can be approximated to be linearly varying with z (implying N is constant in each layer). Therefore we construct a 'simplified ocean' with m layers, each with a constant N (the maximum value of m considered here is 3). The remarkable advantage of this simplification is that a closed form dispersion relation can be obtained. For an m -layered flow ($m \geq 2$) we have to find buoyancy frequencies in each layer: $N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m$, and layer depths: $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{m-1}$. It is possible to evaluate these $2m - 1$ unknowns by constructing $2m - 1$ equations out of the dispersion relation, provided we know the wavenumbers $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{2m-1}$ corresponding to the tidal frequency ω_0 . Indeed, this information is known from the STFT spectrum of the free surface. After reconstructing the simpler profiles, we consider a slightly more complicated density profile that is representative of the Mediterranean sea. Using the 3-layered model, we reconstruct the above-mentioned profile with 94.6% accuracy. In all these cases, a Gaussian bottom topography is used. Next we consider a more realistic environment in which rotation is included; moreover bottom topography, density and shear profiles are representative of the Strait of Gibraltar. In the absence of shear, the reconstructed density profile is 94.2% accurate, however accuracy is found to decrease to 90.2% in the presence of shear. We note here that, although the complexity of the bottom topography plays little role in altering the wavenumbers of the low-modes and hence, in the density profile reconstruction, the shear can have an important role. In fact, shear causes loss of coherence of the internal beams; if shear is very strong, it will be very difficult to reconstruct the density profile. Therefore, the limitation of this particular work lies in the fact that the proposed method requires coherent internal tides.

One can notice in Fig. 1 the absence of coherent signature of internal tides over a large region near the equatorial Pacific ocean. The cause of this absence has been attributed to the enhanced dissipation caused by the vertically and horizontally stacked zonal currents with the alternating flow directions [35]. Fortunately, the effect of shear is small in most parts of the global ocean, otherwise the coherent signal of mode-1 in Fig. 1 wouldn't be present. Hence our technique would be broadly applicable. We have also discussed the dependence of reconstruction error of the density profile on the number of modes since in practice, satellite altimetry dataset provides a finite number of low modes.

Finally we discuss the importance and relevance of this work. There are many theoretical and numerical studies where the stratification of the ocean has been approximated as layered profiles, each layer having a constant buoyancy

frequency. For example, the effect of wind-stress on the induced currents in the *top* weakly stratified layer has often been studied with discrete layers of constant buoyancy frequency, and agrees well with the observation analysis [36, 37]. The generation of energetic superharmonics using nonlinear self-interaction of the primary linear wave has been explored using simplified discrete constant buoyancy frequency layered models and this simplified theoretical model often explains the real ocean observation [38]. Theoretical studies on the generation of solitary waves using discrete layers often facilitate understanding of the real ocean scenarios [21, 39, 40]. Moreover, the knowledge of the location of the ocean pycnocline will be crucially important for designing offshore structures and estimating the impact of the internal solitary waves on those structures [41]. Furthermore, a serious problem in climate modelling is the accurate simulation of the climatological state of the oceanic density field and this requires a good estimation of the eddy diffusivity, which is commonly parameterised using the mean buoyancy [3, 42, 43]. Inversion of the mode-1 wavenumbers in Fig. 1 would result in a one-layered global ocean stratification, and if the first five modes are available, the three-layered global ocean (climatological) density field can be reconstructed with uniform spatial resolution. In conclusion, we believe that our proposed technique, in conjunction with the ARGO data, can provide a better estimate of the global ocean density field in the future.

The authors are grateful to G. Saranraj for discussions and many suggestions during the study. A.G. would like to acknowledge the funding support from the Alexander von Humboldt foundation, and the SERB Early Career award ECR/2016/001493.

References

- [1] Q. Li, X. Mao, J. Huthnance, S. Cai, S. Kelly, On internal waves propagating across a geostrophic front, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 49 (5) (2019) 1229–1248.
- [2] B. R. Sutherland, *Internal gravity waves*, Cambridge university press, 2010.
- [3] P. F. Cummins, The deep water stratification of ocean general circulation models, *Atmos.-Ocean* 29 (3) (1991) 563–575.
- [4] A. Doostmohammadi, R. Stocker, A. M. Ardekani, Low-Reynolds-number swimming at pycnoclines, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 109 (10) (2012) 3856–3861.
- [5] B. S. Sherman, I. T. Webster, G. J. Jones, R. L. Oliver, Transitions between *Auhcoseira* and *Anabaena* dominance in a turbid river weir pool, *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 43 (8) (1998) 1902–1915.
- [6] A. Capotondi, M. A. Alexander, N. A. Bond, E. N. Curchitser, J. D. Scott, Enhanced upper ocean stratification with climate change in the cmip3 models, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 117 (C4).
- [7] A. Bradshaw, K. Schleicher, Electrical conductivity of seawater, *IEEE J. Oceanic Eng.* 5 (1) (1980) 50–62.
- [8] C. Wunsch, Internal tides in the ocean, *Rev. Geophys.* 13 (1) (1975) 167–182.
- [9] Z. Zhao, Internal tide oceanic tomography, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43 (17) (2016) 9157–9164.
- [10] J. Wang, G. R. Flierl, J. H. LaCasce, J. L. McClean, A. Mahadevan, Reconstructing the ocean’s interior from surface data, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 43 (8) (2013) 1611–1626.
- [11] Z. Zhao, M. H. Alford, J. B. Girton, L. Rainville, H. L. Simmons, Global observations of open-ocean mode-1 M2 internal tides, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 46 (6) (2016) 1657–1684.
- [12] M. H. Alford, J. A. MacKinnon, H. L. Simmons, J. D. Nash, Near-inertial internal gravity waves in the ocean, *Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 8 (2016) 95–123.
- [13] E. A. D’Asaro, The decay of wind-forced mixed layer inertial oscillations due to the β effect, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 94 (C2) (1989) 2045–2056.
- [14] C. Garrett, What is the near-inertial band and why is it different from the rest of the internal wave spectrum?, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 31 (4) (2001) 962–971.
- [15] L. St. Laurent, C. Garrett, The role of internal tides in mixing the deep ocean, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 32 (10) (2002) 2882–2899.
- [16] S. Sarkar, A. Scotti, From topographic internal gravity waves to turbulence, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 49 (2017) 195–220.
- [17] R. Ferrari, C. Wunsch, Ocean circulation kinetic energy: Reservoirs, sources, and sinks, *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* 41.
- [18] R. D. Ray, E. D. Zaron, Non-stationary internal tides observed with satellite altimetry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 38 (17).
- [19] R. D. Ray, G. T. Mitchum, Surface manifestation of internal tides generated near Hawaii, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 23 (16) (1996) 2101–2104.
- [20] Z. Zhao, M. H. Alford, J. B. Girton, Mapping low-mode internal tides from multisatellite altimetry, *Oceanography* 25 (2) (2012) 42–51.
- [21] T. Gerkema, Internal and interfacial tides: beam scattering and local generation of solitary waves, *J. Mar. Res.* 59 (2) (2001) 227–255.
- [22] T. Gerkema, J. T. F. Zimmerman, An introduction to internal waves, *Lecture Notes*, Royal NIOZ, Texel 207.
- [23] M. K. Verma, *Physics Of Buoyant Flows: From Instabilities To Turbulence*, World Scientific, 2018.
- [24] C. Wunsch, Baroclinic motions and energetics as measured by altimeters, *J. Atmospheric Ocean. Technol.* 30 (1) (2013) 140–150.
- [25] J. S. Turner, *Buoyancy effects in fluids*, Cambridge University Press, 1979.
- [26] A. Zettl, Sturm-Liouville theory, no. 121, American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [27] A. A. Dimas, G. S. Triantafyllou, Nonlinear interaction of shear flow with a free surface, *J. Fluid Mech.* 260 (1994) 211–246.
- [28] K. J. Burns, G. M. Vasil, J. S. Oishi, D. Lecoanet, B. P. Brown, Dedalus: A flexible framework for numerical simulations with spectral methods, *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10388*.

- [29] U. Send, B. Baschek, Intensive shipboard observations of the flow through the Strait of Gibraltar, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*. 106 (C12) (2001) 31017–31032.
- [30] P. Weatherall, K. M. Marks, M. Jakobsson, T. Schmitt, S. Tani, J. E. Arndt, M. Rovere, D. Chayes, V. Ferrini, R. Wigley, A new digital bathymetric model of the world's oceans, *Earth Space Sci.* 2 (8) (2015) 331–345.
- [31] J. Marshall, A. Adcroft, C. Hill, L. Perelman, C. Heisey, A finite-volume, incompressible Navier Stokes model for studies of the ocean on parallel computers, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans* 102 (C3) (1997) 5753–5766.
- [32] A. Adcroft, C. Hill, J. Marshall, Representation of topography by shaved cells in a height coordinate ocean model, *Mon. Weather Rev.* 125 (9) (1997) 2293–2315.
- [33] A. Izquierdo, U. Mikolajewicz, The role of tides in the spreading of mediterranean outflow waters along the southwestern iberian margin, *Ocean Model.* 133 (2019) 27–43.
- [34] P. G. Drazin, W. H. Reid, *Hydrodynamic Stability*, 2nd Edition, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [35] H. Peters, M. C. Gregg, J. M. Toole, On the parameterization of equatorial turbulence, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*. 93 (C2) (1988) 1199–1218.
- [36] A. E. Gill, On the behavior of internal waves in the wakes of storms, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 14 (7) (1984) 1129–1151.
- [37] J. Moehlis, S. G. Llewellyn Smith, Radiation of mixed layer near-inertial oscillations into the ocean interior, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 31 (6) (2001) 1550–1560.
- [38] S. Wunsch, Harmonic generation by nonlinear self-interaction of a single internal wave mode, *J. Fluid Mech.* 828 (2017) 630–647.
- [39] A. Goullet, W. Choi, Large amplitude internal solitary waves in a two-layer system of piecewise linear stratification, *Phys. Fluids* 20 (9) (2008) 096601.
- [40] S. Wunsch, H. Ku, I. Delwiche, R. Awadallah, Simulations of nonlinear harmonic generation by an internal wave beam incident on a pycnocline, *Nonlinear Process. Geophys.* 21 (4) (2014) 855–868.
- [41] A. R. Osborne, T. L. Burch, Internal solitons in the andaman sea, *Science* 208 (4443) (1980) 451–460.
- [42] J. Nilsson, G. Broström, G. Walin, The thermohaline circulation and vertical mixing: does weaker density stratification give stronger overturning?, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 33 (12) (2003) 2781–2795.
- [43] T. Osborn, Estimates of the local rate of vertical diffusion from dissipation measurements, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.* 10 (1) (1980) 83–89.

- A simple and robust inverse method to reconstruct climatological mean ocean density field of uniform spatial resolution.
- The method utilises the fundamental principles of internal tides generation and propagation in a stably stratified ocean.
- The method requires only the sea surface height to reconstruct the vertical density stratification profile.
- Our method, combined with satellite altimetry, would provide a uniform spatial resolution vertical density stratification.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Journal Pre-proof

Both authors contributed equally.

Journal Pre-proof