Mobile Stroke Unit in the UK Healthcare System: Avoidance of Unnecessary Accident and Emergency Admissions
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Abstract
Background: Acute stroke patients are usually transported to the nearest hospital regardless of their required level of care. This can lead to increased pressure on emergency departments and treatment delay. Objective: The aim of the study was to explore the benefit of a mobile stroke unit (MSU) in the UK National Health Service (NHS) for reduction of hospital admissions. Methods: Prospective cohort audit observation with dispatch of the MSU in the East of England Ambulance Service area in Southend-on-Sea was conducted. Emergency patients categorized as code stroke and headache were included from June 5, 2018, to December 18, 2018. Rate of avoided admission to the accident and emergency (A&E) department, rate of admission directly to target ward, and stroke management metrics were assessed. Results: In 116 MSU-treated patients, the following diagnoses were made: acute stroke, n = 33 (28.4%); transient ischaemic attacks, n = 13 (11.2%); stroke mimics, n = 32 (27.6%); and other conditions, n = 38 (32.8%). Pre-hospital thrombolysis was administered to 8 of 28 (28.6%) ischaemic stroke patients. Pre-hospital diagnosis avoided hospital admission for 29 (25.0%) patients. As hospital treatment was indicated, 35 (30.2%) patients were directly triaged to the stroke unit, 1 patient (0.9%) even directly to the catheter laboratory. Thus, only 50 (43.1%) patients required transfer.
to the A&E department. Moreover, the MSU enabled thrombolysis with a median dispatch-to-needle time of 42 min (interquartile range, 40–60). \textbf{Conclusion:} This first deployment of an MSU in the UK NHS demonstrated improved triage decision-making for or against hospital admission and admission to the appropriate target ward, thereby reducing pressure on strained A&E departments.
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\section*{Introduction}

Stroke is the second largest cause of death for persons older than 60 years and contributes to most adult disabilities [1]. In the UK, more than 85,000 patients are admitted annually to hospitals because of new acute stroke [2], and this number is expected to increase by 44% by 2035 [3].

Unfortunately, most patients arrive at the hospital too late for effective treatment. Only 15–60% of acute stroke patients arrive at the hospital within 3 h after the onset of symptoms [4]. This delay dramatically reduces the chances of a good outcome [5] and is an important reason for the observed low rates of thrombolysis (<12%) and thrombectomy (<0.5%) [2,6].

Acute stroke management is further complicated by a wide spectrum of medical conditions that clinically resemble stroke (stroke mimics), many of which do not warrant hospital admission. Because of insufficient diagnostic tools at the emergency site, it is often impossible to determine whether a patient requires hospital care or, if so, which level of stroke care the target hospital should offer. The default pre-hospital approach tends to be to transfer patients to the nearest accident and emergency (A&E) department, even though they could have been safely and effectively treated in the community had the diagnosis been known. This fact contributes to the increasing numbers of patients treated in hospital A&E departments in recent years. It has been reported that a substantial proportion of A&E department patients could have reasonably been treated by a general practitioner and that approximately 40% of patients who arrive at an A&E department are discharged without requiring treatment [7].

The MSU is a specialized ambulance that incorporates a multimodal computed tomography (CT) scanner, a point-of-care (POC) laboratory, and telemedicine communication to the hospital [8,9], in addition to standard ambulance equipment. Studies of pre-hospital stroke management report not only dramatic reductions in delays before thrombolysis [10–13] but also the potential for diagnosis-based triage decision-making [14,15]. This project aimed to investigate whether an MSU approach for pre-hospital acute stroke diagnosis can help avoid unnecessary A&E admissions and improve the delivery of rapid recanalizing acute stroke treatment in the UK National Health Service (NHS).

\section*{Methods}

\subsection*{Patients and Study Setting}

We conducted this prospective clinical audit (audit number 18034) from June 5, 2018, to December 18, 2018. During this period, the MSU was in service for 62 days. All patients or patient representatives gave written informed consent for participation in this evaluation.

The MSU was stationed at the East of England Ambulance Service NHS Trust (EEAST) emergency medical service (EMS) station in Southend-on-Sea, UK. The MSU operated in a 15-mile radius around the Southend-on-Sea ambulance station. This area is populated by 184,437 inhabitants, 36% of whom are older than 65 years. The MSU was in operation from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., 5 days per week. The EMS dispatcher activated the MSU for acute stroke (dispatch code 28; dispatchers used the Face, Arm, Speech, Time [FAST] questionnaire) or acute severe headache (dispatch code 18). For the first 6 weeks of the study, the MSU was combined with an additional rapid response vehicle; thereafter, it was dispatched alone.

\subsection*{MSU Technology}

The MSU is an ambulance that contains, in addition to standard emergency equipment, the following specialized equipment [10]: (1) an accumulator-driven CT scanner (CereTom, Neuroradiology/Samsung, Danvers, MA, USA) enabling multimodal imaging; (2) automated image analysis (e-Stroke Suite, Brainomix Ltd., Oxford, UK); (3) a telemedicine system (aycan Digitalsysteme GmbH, Wuerzburg, Germany) enabling videoconferencing and transmission of videos of the patient’s examination and of CT scans; and (4) a POC laboratory system for determining full blood count (PocH 100i, Sysmex, Hamburg, Germany), international normalized ratio and activated partial thromboplastin time (Hemochron Elite, ITC, Edison, NY, USA), and creatinine levels (StatSensor, Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA, USA).

The MSU was staffed with 1 paramedic and 1 stroke physician, and 1 study observer documenting stroke management metrics. In this experimental setting, a radiologist was onboard for the first 50 cases; thereafter, images were analysed remotely by hospital radiologists available via telemedicine.

\subsection*{MSU-Based Stroke Management}

The MSU intervention consisted of a medical history, general clinical and neurological assessment, and POC laboratory tests, and, if clinically indicated, of non-contrast CT studies, and CT angiography and CT perfusion studies. CT angiography was performed if patients had a score of 7 or higher on the National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS), a hyperdense middle cere
bral artery sign on a non-contrast CT image, or recurrent symptoms of unknown onset. If indicated, IV thrombolysis (with recombinant tissue plasminogen activator) was administered directly at the emergency site. On the basis of the obtained pre-hospital information, the MSU staff decided whether hospital admission was necessary and, if hospital treatment was required, which target ward would be the most appropriate.

**Clinical Assessments**

Assessments included a medical history and general clinical and neurological examinations. All patients were evaluated with the Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), the modified Rankin Scale (mRS), the NIHSS, and the Los Angeles Motor Scale (LAMS).

**Triage Decision Assessment**

Rational triage decision-making was achieved by tabulating the rate of avoided transfers to the hospital’s A&E department. This rate was defined as the sum of cases for which pre-hospital diagnosis allowed either a decision against hospital admission or enabled direct admission to the appropriate ward (stroke unit or catheter laboratory). Triage accuracy was confirmed by a blinded independent rater (an independent A&E physician) who reviewed the pre-hospital and in-hospital documents.

**Stroke Management Metrics**

The following stroke management metrics were evaluated: time from 999 emergency call to (1) MSU dispatch and (2) MSU mobile; and time from MSU dispatch to (3) MSU mobile, (4) arrival on scene, (5) first contact to stroke consultant, (6) end of non-contrast CT, (7) end of CT angiography, (8) therapy decision (defined as end of all required diagnostic procedures: neurological examination, POC laboratory testing, and imaging), (9) IV thrombolysis (if indicated), (10) triage decision, (11) end of MSU mission (defined as time of handover to hospital staff), and (12) groin puncture for patients requiring intra-arterial therapy.

**Safety Parameters**

The incidence of serious adverse events, including death and stroke-related deterioration (resulting in an increase of at least 4 points in the NIHSS), was documented in the pre-hospital phase and during the hospital stay.

**Statistical Analysis**

Stroke management metrics and epidemiological information were expressed as medians (interquartile range) and diagnoses and triage destinations as frequencies (percentage). Only patients with known symptom onset time were taken into account for analysis of symptom onset time metrics.

**Results**

**Demographic and Clinical Characteristics**

The audit involved 116 patients (66 women, 50 men; median age, 79 years; interquartile range, 65–86 years) with symptoms of acute stroke or headache. All patients agreed to participate in the audit evaluation. Baseline demographics and clinical characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1. Four representative cases are described in Figure 1.

**MSU Triage Decisions**

On the basis of pre-hospital diagnosis, 29 patients (25.0%) were effectively treated in the pre-hospital setting rather than being transported to the hospital. The diagnostic groups and their triage pathways are presented in Figure 2.

Moreover, when hospital-based treatment was indicated, aetiology-based transport to a specialized ward (stroke unit, catheter laboratory, or transient ischaemic
Fig. 1. Exemplary cases of MSU deployment in the UK healthcare system. a A patient (female, 82 years old) with right-sided sensorimotor paresis and severe dysphasia (NIHSS 7) seen more than 3 h after onset. After pre-hospital diagnosis including CT, thrombolysis was administered directly at the emergency site. b A patient (female, 51 years old) with thunderclap headache and nausea (NIHSS 1). Pre-hospital imaging enabled the diagnosis of SAH at the emergency site. The nearest neurovascular centre was pre-notified. c A patient (male, 89 years old) with severe sensorimotor hemiparesis (NIHSS 16). Pre-hospital vascular imaging revealed LVO (white arrow) as the basis for direct transfer to the catheter laboratory, thus bypassing the A&E Department. d A patient (male, 73 years old) for whom pre-hospital diagnosis of a stroke mimic (Bell’s palsy) allowed for a triage decision against hospital admission, and for phoning the patient’s general practitioner for oral steroid treatment in the pre-hospital setting. NIHSS, National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale; SAH, subarachnoid haemorrhage; LVO, large vessel occlusion; MSU, mobile stroke unit; CT, computed tomography; A&E, accident and emergency.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of included patients. A&E, accident and emergency; ICH, intracranial haemorrhage; TIA, transient ischaemic attack.
attack [TIA] clinic) was accomplished for 38 (32.8%) patients: 35 patients (30.2%) were taken to a stroke unit, 1 patient (0.9%) was taken to a catheter laboratory, and 2 patients (1.7%) were taken to a TIA clinic. These patients were directly handed over from the EMS personnel to the specialists in the target ward or were left at home when referral to a TIA clinic was required. One patient (0.9%) did not undergo MSU assessment because of clinical instability; this patient required direct air transportation to a comprehensive centre nearest to the emergency site.

A triage decision for transport to an A&E department was made for 45 (38.8%) patients (Table 1). For an additional 5 (4.3%) stroke patients, despite pre-hospital diagnosis and pre-notification of the stroke unit, a planned direct transfer to that unit could not be realized because of unavailability of beds at that time. Thus, the overall number of patients transferred to an A&E department was 50 (43.1%). The diagnosis of the non-stroke patients and their triage destination is detailed in online suppl. Table 1; see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000508910.

Comparison of triage decisions by the MSU team and those of the blinded independent A&E physician rater showed that this rater would have left 3 (2.6%) more patients at home than the MSU team did. Importantly, the MSU team left no patient at home who was judged by the rater to require hospital treatment. The independent rater would have triaged 5 more patients to the stroke unit (4.3%; 3 with intracranial haemorrhage or subarachnoid haemorrhage). The MSU team transferred these patients to the A&E resuscitation area that offered a higher level of care instead. The rater would have directly triaged 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Stroke management metrics (median, interquartile range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable, min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom onset to 999 call</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency 999 call to MSU dispatch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom onset to MSU dispatch (MSU call)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch (MSU call) to MSU mobile (start of mission)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency 999 call to MSU mobile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to on-scene arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom onset to on-scene arrival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance covered, km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to first contact to MSU consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Door to first contact to stroke consultant (in person)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to end of non-contrast CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to end of non-contrast CTb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to end of CT-angiography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to therapy decision²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to therapy decisionb,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to needleb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital door to needle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to triage decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU arrival on scene to end of non-contrast CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU arrival on scene to end of non-contrast CTb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU arrival on scene to therapy decisionc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU arrival to therapy decisionb,c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU arrival to needleb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset to needle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On-scene duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency 999 call to hospital door</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to groin puncture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSU dispatch to end of mission (handover at hospital)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are displayed as median (interquartile range), unless otherwise noted. a Register data from July to December 2018 (audit data SSNAP and EEAST). b Only tPA patients. c Defined as end of diagnostic workup with clinical examination, CT scan, and laboratory as indicated.
stroke mimic (0.9%) to the A&E department, whereas the MSU team triaged this patient to the stroke unit.

Regarding the number of CTs required to differentiate stroke mimics and other conditions from stroke, non-contrast CT was performed for 22 of 32 stroke mimics and for 6 of 38 other medical conditions, and CT angiography was performed for 4 stroke mimics. All other non-stroke patients were diagnosed by clinical examination and POC laboratory.

**Stroke Management Metrics**

MSU-based stroke management metrics are shown in Table 2. The thrombolysis rate for patients with acute ischaemic stroke was 29%. Median times from MSU dispatch-to-needle of 42 min (40–60 min) were achieved. These times compare favourably with door-to-needle times regionally and nationwide (Table 2). Similar benefits were observed in the other stroke management metrics (Table 2). Amongst patients who obtained thrombolysis, the EMS was alerted a median of 66 min (46–141 min) after symptom onset; thus, the time of the alert was often already past the first “golden” hour time window.

**Short-Term Outcomes and Safety**

The mRS of stroke patients at discharge was 3 (2–4) (Table 1). No complications occurred in the pre-hospital phase of acute stroke management. No patient who received IV thrombolysis in the MSU experienced complications with bleeding. In the hospital, 1 patient (0.9%) died of stroke-related causes: this patient experienced severe subarachnoid haemorrhage related to anticoagulation treatment. Five patients (4.3%) died of causes not related to stroke.

**Discussion**

This study showed that MSU-based stroke management allowed to avoid hospital A&E admissions. This was accomplished by pre-hospital triage decision-making against hospital admissions in 25% of the patients and direct admission to the required specialized target ward. In fact, 30% of the patients were directly taken to the stroke unit and 1 patient with large vessel occlusion even directly to the catheter laboratory for intra-arterial therapy. Thus, in total, admission to the hospital’s A&E department was avoided for 55% of the patients.

The role of MSUs in pre-hospital triage decision-making may be relevant because unnecessary hospital admissions are not only expensive but may also be associated with adverse medical consequences, such as an increased risk of delirium, depression, poor quality of life, healthcare-acquired infections, and falls. This finding is in line with estimates showing that approximately one-fifth to one-third of admissions of frail and elderly patients could be avoided by an early review by a qualified clinical decision-maker [16].

Moreover, MSU-based stroke management enabled ultra-early thrombolysis with an MSU dispatch-to-needle time of 42 min and a thrombolysis rate of 29%, which is higher than the national UK average of 11–12%. The observed times to treatment are amongst the fastest reported times to treatment in clinical studies and registries to date [17]. This call-to-needle time compares favourably even with in-hospital mean door (of the hospital)-to-needle times of 50 min at a national level [18]. This reduction in delay can be explained by both the reduced transport times and the increased efficiency between the various groups of healthcare professionals involved in the complex stroke rescue chain. However, the finding of a relevant delay before EMS alert in most patients underlines the necessity of public awareness campaigns for stroke in this region. Safety endpoints were comparable to those of other studies investigating MSUs [10, 11].

The main indication for deploying the MSU was acute stroke. Stroke mimics and other conditions could be differentiated at the emergency site, and differential triage to the most appropriate target destination was possible. Conditions other than stroke were treated conventionally; in this case, the MSU functioned as a normal ambulance.

A limitation of this study is that patients were not randomly assigned to a control group because of the audit format of this intervention. Besides, the study population was relatively small. Therefore, additional research is necessary for assessing clinical benefits with long-term follow-up data.

A current problem for efficient use of MSUs is the suboptimal dispatch accuracy for stroke in general, which means that the MSU team sees a high percentage of non-stroke cases. Indeed, poor accuracy of the detection of stroke in the EMS dispatch office is increasingly being recognized, and sensitivities ranging between 41 and 73% have recently been reported in a meta-analysis of 5 large studies [19].

Current efforts aimed at reducing the number of false dispatches include improving the training of healthcare personnel at the dispatch location, improving dispatch algorithms, and using scales for stroke recognition. Other approaches currently being explored are pre-evaluation...
of patients by the first arriving EMS team and cancella-
tion of MSU dispatch when the absence of stroke has been
determined [20]. More research is needed to optimize
dispatch accuracy.

In the future, the cost-effectiveness of the MSU may be
improved by substituting on-board physicians by tele-
medicine-linked remote experts. Health economic analy-
sis in the NHS context is necessary. In conclusion, this
first experience in the UK healthcare system demon-
strates that, apart from enabling ultra-early thrombolysis,
this approach allows for accurate triage decisions at the
emergency site, thereby reducing unnecessary admis-
sions to currently strained A&E departments.
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