

University of Dundee

Clinical performance of Siemens digital breast tomosynthesis versus standard supplementary mammography for the assessment of screen-detected soft-tissue abnormalities – a multi-reader study

Whelehan, P.; Heywang-Köbrunner, S. H.; Vinnicombe, S. J.; Hacker, A.; Jaensch, A.; Hapca, A.

Published in:
Clinical Radiology

DOI:
[10.1016/j.crad.2016.08.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2016.08.011)

Publication date:
2017

Licence:
CC BY-NC-ND

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Whelehan, P., Heywang-Köbrunner, S. H., Vinnicombe, S. J., Hacker, A., Jaensch, A., Hapca, A., Gray, R., Jenkin, M., Lowry, K., Oeppen, R., Reilly, M., Stahnke, M., & Evans, A. (2017). Clinical performance of Siemens digital breast tomosynthesis versus standard supplementary mammography for the assessment of screen-detected soft-tissue abnormalities – a multi-reader study. *Clinical Radiology*, 72(1), 95.e9-95.e15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crad.2016.08.011>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Table 1

	Within 5 mm n (% , 95% CI)	Underestimated by > 5mm n (% , 95% CI)	Overestimated by > 5 mm n (% , 95% CI)
SMV method (220 measurements)	132 (60, 53-66)	54 (25, 19-31)	34 (15, 11-21)
DBT method (236 measurements)	146 (62, 56-68)	42 (18, 13-23)	48 (20, 16-26)