Bifunctionality of a biofilm matrix protein controlled by redox state
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Abstract

Biofilms are communities of microbial cells that are encapsulated within a self-produced polymeric matrix. The matrix is critical to the success of biofilms in diverse habitats, but despite this many details of the composition, structure, and function remain enigmatic. Biofilms formed by the Gram-positive bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* depend on the production of the secreted film-forming protein BslA. Here we show that a gradient of electron acceptor availability through the depth of the biofilm gives rise to two distinct functional roles for BslA and that these can be genetically separated through targeted amino acid substitutions. We establish that monomeric BslA is necessary and sufficient to give rise to complex biofilm architecture, while dimerization of BslA is required to render the community hydrophobic. Dimerization of BslA, mediated by disulfide bond formation, depends on two conserved cysteine residues located in the C-terminal region. Our findings demonstrate that bacteria have evolved multiple uses for limited elements in the matrix, allowing for alternative responses in a complex, changing environment.

Significance

The biofilm matrix is a critical target in the hunt for novel strategies to destabilise or stabilise biofilms. Knowledge of the processes controlling matrix assembly is therefore an essential prerequisite to exploitation. Here we highlight that the complexity of the biofilm matrix is even higher than anticipated with one matrix component making two independent functional contributions to the community. The influence the protein exerts is dependent on the local environmental properties, providing another dimension to consider during analysis. These findings add to the evidence that bacteria can evolve multifunctional uses for the extracellular matrix components.
Introduction

Biofilms are assemblies of microbial cells that are attached to a surface or each other (1). Assembly is facilitated by manufacture of an extracellular matrix, which provides both structural and biochemical support to the biofilm community (2). After analysis of many diverse species, the biofilm matrix has been found to mainly comprise exopolysaccharides, extracellular DNA (eDNA) and proteins, many of which form higher order structures such as fibres or filaments (3). Currently there is increasing knowledge of the nature and form of the individual components in the matrix (3) but how the matrix components are deployed in the biofilm, how they interact with other elements in the matrix, and how the local physicochemical environment impacts the properties of the materials used in the biofilm are under-explored.

*Bacillus subtilis* is a Gram-positive, spore forming bacterium, which is found in great abundance in the soil (4) and forms biofilms with a distinctive complex architecture (5). The biofilms display an unusual property: they are highly hydrophobic and remain as such after contact with water, inorganic or organic solvents, and commercial biocides (6). The hydrophobicity of the biofilm has been attributed to a small secreted protein named BslA (7, 8), which works alongside the fibrous protein TasA (9, 10) and the extracellular polysaccharide produced by the products of the *epsA-O* operon (5) to allow morphogenesis of the biofilm. Partial evidence of the mechanism employed by BslA to confer hydrophobicity to *B. subtilis* biofilm has been revealed (8, 11-13). While transcription of *bslA* occurs uniformly within in the population, secreted BslA is localized to the periphery of the biofilm, where it forms a hydrophobic layer at the air-biofilm surface (8, 12). Consistent with this, biophysical analysis of recombinant BslA *in vitro* demonstrated spontaneous formation of a stable elastic protein film at an air-water interface (12). Structural analysis revealed that BslA is an amphipathic protein consisting of an immunoglobin G-like scaffold appended with a hydrophobic “cap” (12) that can present in two forms: ‘cap in’ and ‘cap out’. This property either shields (cap in) or reveals (cap out) the hydrophobic domain in response to the local environment (11). Thus, when BslA is in the aqueous environment of the matrix, it is likely that the hydrophobic cap is ‘hidden’ towards the interior of the protein. In contrast, when BslA reaches a hydrophobic interface (e.g. air at the surface of the biofilm) it undergoes a limited conformational change to expose the hydrophobic cap. Nonetheless, the mechanism by which the BslA biofilm surface layer assembles *in vivo* is undefined.
B. subtilis encodes a monomeric BslA paralogue called YweA (14). Deletion of yweA does not impact the overall morphology or hydrophobicity of the biofilm (8); however deletion in combination with removal of bslA exacerbates the biofilm defect of the single bslA deletion (8, 14). Contrary to the marginal contribution of YweA to biofilm formation, but consistent with the high level of amino acid sequence similarity, in vitro recombinant YweA undergoes the partial structural rearrangement at an interface to reveal the hydrophobic cap, and forms an elastic protein film, albeit with limited stability (14). One notable difference between the primary amino acid sequences of BslA and YweA is that the BslA-like variants possess a short C-terminal extension that contains two conserved cysteine residues in a “CxC” configuration. Cysteine residues play an important role in the function of diverse proteins in a wide range of cellular processes (15) and therefore we evaluated if the BslA ‘CxC’ motif played a significant biological role or was functionally redundant. Our analysis indicates that in addition to BslA having two structural forms (’cap in’ / ’cap out’) (11) it also has two functional forms mediated not by the hydrophobic cap region but by the cysteines at the C-terminus: monomeric BslA dictates biofilm structure, whereas surface hydrophobicity requires at least dimeric protein. Thus, we show that the cysteine residues are crucial for full BslA function, and surmise that in the native biofilm, electron acceptor availability influences BslA oligomerization: in the oxygen-rich, surface-exposed region, the predominant form is a dimer, while the anoxic environment in the depths of the biofilm prevents BslA dimerization and allows for nutrient uptake. To our knowledge this is the first example of a biofilm matrix protein with two functions that can be genetically separated and where the activity is controlled by redox state.

Results

BslA disulfide bond formation

Recombinant BslA42-181 forms a mixture of predominantly monomeric and dimeric protein in vitro, with a small amount of tetramer observed (12) (Fig S1). The requirement of the cysteine residues (C178 and C180) for oligomerisation of BslA was assessed in vitro using purified recombinant protein where the cysteine residue(s) were either replaced with alanine or where the C-terminal 10 amino acids were removed (Fig. S1). Replacement of either C178 or C180 with alanine abolished tetrameric BslA (Fig. S1A and C) but dimers, which could be disrupted by addition of β-mercaptoethanol, still formed (Fig. S1). In contrast, when both cysteines were replaced with alanine, or the C-terminal 10 amino acids were deleted, the protein was restricted to a monomeric form (Fig. S1). Secondary structure analysis of the proteins by circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy indicated that each of the BslA variants had the same overall fold as the wild-type protein, indicating that the inability to form dimers or tetramers was not due to a disruption of the protein structure (Fig. S2A).
Next, we tested to see if BslA formed dimers and/or tetramers in vivo, to explore the possibility that the conserved “CxC” motif at the C-terminus of BslA plays an in vivo role in BslA oligomerisation. Proteins were extracted from the wild-type biofilm either in the presence of Cu(II)-(o-phenanthroline)₃, which cross-links disulfide bonds (16), or 10 mM DTT, a reducing agent. Western blotting revealed immuno-reactive bands consistent with monomeric (~14 kDa), dimeric (~28 kDa) and tetrameric (~56 kDa) forms of BslA in the absence of reducing agent (Fig 1A), while in the presence of 10 mM DTT only monomeric BslA was detected (Fig. 1B). The bslA deletion strain (NRS2097) was used as a control for antibody specificity, and did not reveal any interacting bands.

We generated a series of strains to express the variant bslA coding regions in the B. subtilis bslA deletion strain (Table S1). Analysis of proteins extracted from the mature biofilms by immunoblot showed the same BslA monomer, dimer and tetramer pattern in vivo as that observed in vitro for the recombinant proteins (compare Fig. S1 with 1A). Strains generating C178A (NRS5177) and C180A (NRS5178) variant BslA formed dimers but not tetramers, while the double C178A, C180A mutant (NRS5179) and the BslAΔ172-181 (NRS2957) truncation variants were restricted to the monomeric state (Fig. 1A). In each case only monomeric BslA was detected by Western blot analysis when 10 mM DTT was added during protein extraction (Fig. 1B). These data demonstrate that BslA forms dimers and tetramers in vivo in a C178 and C180 dependent manner.

Genetic separation of roles in biofilm architecture and hydrophobicity

We next tested if there was a biological consequence of restricting BslA disulfide bond formation in vivo. Deletion of bslA results in a flat, featureless, wetting biofilm; our analysis reveals that production of the BslA C178A, BslA C180A, BslA C178A,C180A and BslA Δ172-181 variant proteins using the strains indicated could reinstate biofilm complexity of a bslA mutant to a level that was visually comparable to the wild-type strain (Fig. 1C-I). We then assessed if the biofilms formed were hydrophobic (8). As expected the wild-type strain formed a non-wetting biofilm where the water droplet had a high contact angle (124.6° ± 2.9°), whereas the bslA mutant was wetting (33.6° ± 2.7°) (Table S2) (8, 12). Each of the single cysteine to alanine mutations, BslA C178A (NRS5177) and BslA C180A (NRS5178), displayed a non-wetting phenotype, although the contact angle calculated for the BslA C178A (NRS5177) strain was consistently lower than that measured for the wild-type strain (p <0.01; students t-test) (Table S2). In sharp contrast, the architecturally complex biofilms formed in the presence of the monomeric BslA C178A,C180A (NRS5179) or BslA Δ172-181 (NRS2957) variants were wetting (Figure 1H and I), with contact angles that were indistinguishable from the bslA mutant strain (Table S2). Thus monomeric BslA seems to be associated with architectural complexity of the biofilm, whereas surface hydrophobicity requires at least the dimeric form of the protein. We note that the
single point mutations, BslA<sub>C178A</sub> and BslA<sub>C180A</sub>, yielded only dimeric protein in addition to the monomer, (Fig 1A) indicating tetramer formation is not required. These results also reveal that the architectural complexity, which often makes a contribution to biological hydrophobicity [e.g., see (17, 18)], is not sufficient for hydrophobicity to manifest.

**Sharing BslA molecules in the biofilm**

As hydrophobicity of the biofilms is at the macroscale, where BslA comprises a “common” or “public” good that can be shared by the population (19), we asked what the minimum proportion of cells producing wild type BslA was needed to achieve maximum non-wetting values. To determine this, cells expressing either the wild-type <i>bslA</i> (NRS2299) or the <i>bslA<sub>C178A,C180A</sub></i> (NRS5179) coding regions were co-cultured over a range of ratios, and the hydrophobicity of the resulting mature biofilm measured (Fig. 1J-L). When the strains were mixed in equal proportions, a non-wetting biofilm surface could be sustained (116.2° ± 2.8°). However, when 90% of the cells produced BslA<sub>C178A,C180A</sub>, the biofilm hydrophobicity remained above that of the <i>bslA</i> mutant, but there was a step-change in the wettability of the surface (50.5° ± 1.3°). Finally, wettability reached a value indistinguishable from that measured for the fully monomeric protein when 99% of the cells produced BslA<sub>C178A,C180A</sub> (Table S2). These findings demonstrate that non-contributing bacteria can be tolerated during formation of the hydrophobic layer as long as they represent less than 50% of the population.

**Formation of the hydrophobic layer depends on thiol-disulfide oxidoreductases and is enhanced in an oxic environment**

In the <i>B. subtilis</i> extracytoplasmic space disulfide bond formation is catalysed by one of two thiol-disulfide oxidoreductases (TDORs) named BdbA and BdbD (20-22). We predicted that if BslA was actively oligomerised then disruption of either <i>bdbA</i> or <i>bdbD</i> (or both in the case of functional redundancy) would produce a structured but wetting biofilm similar to the phenotype displayed by the monomeric BslA variants. To test this we constructed <i>bdbA</i> (NRS5552), <i>bdbCD</i> (NRS5554) (a <i>bdbC bdbD</i> operon deletion), and <i>bdbA bdbCD</i> (NRS5553) deletion strains and assessed biofilm formation and surface hydrophobicity (Fig. 2A-C) (Table S1). Consistent with our hypothesis, each of the strains formed biofilms that were morphologically indistinguishable from the parental strain NCIB3610 (Fig. 1C and Fig. 2A-C), but measurement of surface wettability revealed that the double <i>bdbA(C)D</i> mutant had a reduced contact angle of ~55° compared with the wild type strain (Table S2) (Fig. 2C). The single <i>bdbA</i> and <i>bdbCD</i> deletion strains produced surfaces with an intermediate level of hydrophobicity (Table S2) (Fig. 2A and B).
The wettability of the *bdbA bdbCD* mutant did not reach the level measured for the *bslA* deletion strain (Table S2). Therefore, unless there is a redundant enzymatic mechanism, we propose that a combination of catalytic and spontaneous disulfide bond formation drives dimerization of BslA and leads to the formation of the hydrophobic coat. Consistent with this, measurement of the oxygen concentration through the biofilm using a microsensor demonstrated a steep decrease in the available oxygen, with no oxygen measured after 50-60 µm, as measured from the air-exposed surface, rendering the lower biofilm region anoxic (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, microelectrode-based assessment of the change in redox potential through the biofilm indicated that the upper region of the biofilm community is more oxidizing than the base (Fig. 2D). The lack of electron acceptors at the base of the biofilm suggests that BslA may be in a monomeric form in this region. Given the observation that surface hydrophobicity is associated with the ability to form dimeric protein (Fig 1C-I; Table S2), a predicted prevalence of monomeric protein at the base of the biofilm raises the possibility that this surface will be wetting. Two experimental findings support this hypothesis: first, when pigmented water is flooded onto a petri dish on which a biofilm has been grown, the dye is observed to move rapidly under the biofilm towards the centre of the community (Fig. 2E-G), indicating it is not repelled by a hydrophobic layer. Second, when the mature wild-type biofilm is turned over, such that the base is facing up, an entirely wetting surface is revealed (Table S2). Together these findings solve the conundrum (12) of how bacteria in the biofilm access nutrients, despite being surrounded by a layer of BslA.

### BslA localisation depends on disulfide bond formation

We next addressed the mechanism by which blocking oligomerization of BslA impaired the formation of a non-wetting biofilm. It is not a consequence of protein misfolding or disruption of protein production *in vivo*, as CD spectroscopy demonstrated similar secondary structures in the variant proteins (Fig. S2A) and immunoblot analysis revealed comparable levels of the BslA cysteine mutants to the wild-type protein (Fig. 1A). Therefore, we considered two non-mutually exclusive hypotheses: 1) mutation or deletion of the C-terminal cysteine residues impairs the innate ability of BslA to form a stable elastic film that depends on lateral interactions between the monomers (12), and consequentially the ability to render the biofilm non-wetting; 2) BslA<sub>C178A,C180A</sub> cannot form a dense layer over the surface of the biofilm as observed for the wild-type protein (12). The ability of recombinant BslA<sub>C178A</sub>, BslA<sub>C180A</sub>, BslA<sub>C178A,C180A</sub>, and BslA<sub>Δ172-181</sub> to form stable elastic protein films *in vitro* was assessed using pendant drop analysis, coupled with quantification of the wrinkle relaxation speed (12). Each of the variant proteins formed a stable protein film at the oil-water interface with no significant differences compared with wild-type BslA (Fig. S2B). Furthermore, transmission
electron microscopy (TEM) indicated that the ability of the variant proteins to form an ordered 2D lattice at an interface was not impeded (Fig. S2C-E).

Next, in situ localisation of BslA was assessed using immunofluorescence staining of biofilm cross-sections coupled with confocal microscopy (12). The strains tested were the wild type, the bslA mutant, and the bslA mutant complemented with either the wild-type or bslA_{C178A,C180A} coding region. In each case, the strains were modified to express the gfp coding region to allow detection of the cells by confocal microscopy (Table S1). As has previously been observed (12), both the wild-type strain (Fig. S3A), and the bslA mutant complemented with the wild-type bslA allele, showed BslA-associated fluorescence at both the air-cell and cell-agar interfaces, consistent with formation of the BslA integument (Fig. 3A, S3C). Specificity of the immunofluorescence staining was confirmed by analysis of the bslA mutant (Fig. S3B). In contrast, when the bslA mutant was complemented with the BslA_{C178A,C180A} variant (NRS5136), BslA-linked fluorescence was not detected in high abundance at the cell-air interface and was only prevalent at the agar-cell junction (Fig. 3B, S3D). These findings indicate an inability of BslA_{C178A,C180A} either to migrate to or accumulate at the air interface, which is consistent with the wetting phenotype of the biofilm formed when this variant of BslA is produced.

**Synthetic dimerization of the BslA parologue**

The strict requirement for dimeric BslA to mediate biofilm hydrophobicity led us to hypothesise that if the monomeric parologue of BslA, YweA, could be engineered to dimerise in vivo, it may become capable of reinstating the non-wetting phenotype to the bslA mutant. This is not the case when the wild-type yweA coding region is expressed from a heterologous location in the bslA mutant (14). To test this, we synthesized a chimeric YweA allele (Fig. S4A), fusing the C-terminal 11 amino acids of BslA (containing C178 and C180) to the C-terminus of YweA (hereafter YweA_{BslA171-181}). We additionally generated chimeric constructs where each of the cysteine residues in the BslA C-terminal region were individually, and in combination, mutated to alanine (Table S1). The wild-type YweA, chimeric YweA_{BslA171-181}, and YweA_{BslA171-181C178A,C180A} recombinant proteins were purified from *E. coli* and the secondary structure assessed by CD spectroscopy. This revealed that the C-terminal extension did not significantly affect folding of the protein compared with the parental YweA protein (Fig. S5A). The oligomerisation state of the YweA_{BslA171-181} Chimeric protein was assessed in vitro by SDS-PAGE (Fig. S4B and C) and SEC (Fig. S4D), which showed that the chimeric YweA_{BslA171-181} protein formed dimers that were reduced to the monomeric state by the addition of β-mercaptoethanol. Bands with the apparent molecular weight expected for dimers were also formed when either one of the two cysteine residues remained (YweA_{BslA171-181C178A} and YweA_{BslA171-181C180A}) (Fig. S4B) but only monomer was observed for the double cysteine to alanine chimeric protein (YweA_{BslA171-181C178A,C180A}).
(Fig. S4B). Unlike BslA, we did not detect formation of tetramers for the YweA<sub>BslA171-181</sub> chimeric protein. Notably, fusion of the BslA C-terminal 11 amino acids to YweA did not alter the stability or organisation of the protein film formed <i>in vitro</i>. Pendant drop analysis, coupled with quantification of wrinkle relaxation speed, revealed that YweA, YweA<sub>BslA171-181</sub>, and YweA<sub>BslA171-181 C178A C180A</sub> each had an average relaxation time of ~25 seconds (Fig. S5B); substantially less stable than the BslA elastic film (>600 seconds, Fig. S5B). This means that the proteins can form elastic films but they are unstable under compression. Consistent with this, TEM showed that each protein was able to form an organised lattice on a surface (Fig. S5C-E). Together, these findings indicate that we can generate YweA oligomers <i>in vitro</i> by addition of the BslA C-terminal 11 amino acids, but although they form an ordered 2D lattice, the chimeric proteins retain the fast film relaxing properties of YweA (14).

**Oligomeric YweA yields a hydrophobic biofilm**

To assess the impact of engineering YweA to form intermolecular disulfide bonds <i>in vivo</i> we constructed a suite of plasmids designed to produce the YweA chimeric proteins in <i>B. subtilis</i>. The constructs were generated such that secretion through the Sec-system was directed by the BslA signal sequence (the variants are hereafter referred to as: YweA<sub>BslA171-181</sub>, YweA<sub>BslA171-181 C178A</sub>, YweA<sub>BslA171-181 C180A</sub> and YweA<sub>BslA171-181 C178A C180A</sub>) (Fig. S4A). The plasmids carrying the required coding regions were introduced into the <i>bslA</i> mutant at the ectopic <i>amyE</i> locus (Table S1). Western blot analysis of proteins extracted from the biofilm, using an anti-YweA antibody, revealed that only in the presence of both cysteine residues in the appended BslA C-terminal tail could significant levels of disulfide bond-dependent oligomeric chimeric YweA protein be detected (Fig. 4A). As anticipated, the addition of a reducing agent during the extraction process rendered the protein fully monomeric (Fig. S4E). The ability of each of the YweA chimeric variants to reinstate biofilm structure and hydrophobicity to the <i>bslA</i> mutant was tested as before. As previously observed, induction of wild-type <i>yweA</i> expression did not alter the biofilm morphology or wetting phenotype, as compared with the parental <i>bslA</i> mutant (Fig. 4B) (14). In sharp contrast, appending the BslA C-terminal 11 amino acids to YweA allowed the chimeric YweA<sub>BslA171-181</sub> protein to return hydrophobicity to the <i>bslA</i> mutant strain (114.8° ± 1.1°) (Fig. 4B) (Table S2). It should however be noted that the biofilm structure retained more architectural similarities with the <i>bslA</i> mutant, rather than the wild-type NCIB3610 strain (Fig. 4B). Expression of YweA<sub>BslA171-181</sub> thus results in an unstructured, yet non-wetting, phenotype. The slightly lower contact angle measured (114.8° ± 1.1° vs 124.6° ± 2.9° for the structured, wild-type biofilms) may indicate that biofilm architecture makes some contribution to overall hydrophobicity, as has been suggested elsewhere (18). In contrast, when the C-terminal extension was mutated such that one (NRS5515 and NRS5516), or both (NRS5520) cysteine residues
were replaced with alanine, yielding monomeric BslA in vivo, the colonies formed retained both the wetting and unstructured phenotypes exhibited by the bslA mutant (compare Fig. 1D with Fig. 4B). Thus, dimeric chimeric YweA containing the two cysteine residues is able to reinstate biofilm hydrophobicity, whereas monomeric chimeric YweA is unable to reinstate biofilm architectural complexity.

**Hydrophobicity and architecture play a role in resistance to chemical attack**

The ability to genetically separate biofilm structure from hydrophobicity allowed us to assess if protection to external insult is conferred to the bacteria by blocking access of the chemical and/or mediated by the architectural complexity. *B. subtilis* biofilms are non-wetting when challenged with selected commercial biocides (6) with chlorhexidine gluconate being the reactive agent of a number of such biocides. Analysis reveals that 1% (v/v) chlorhexidine gluconate is non-wetting on “wild type” biofilms (Fig. 5A) and in contrast is wetting on both the bslA mutant and the variant carrying the monomeric BslA C178A,C180A (Fig. 5A, Table S2), providing an ideal test agent to test the question posed above. The number of surviving cells after treatment for 5 minutes with 1% (v/v) chlorhexidine gluconate was calculated relative to a comparable sample exposed to saline solution. We noted a clear role for BslA in mediating cell survival: in the absence of bslA, exposure to 1% (v/v) chlorhexidine gluconate resulted in ~38-fold fewer surviving cells compared with when BslA was produced (Fig. 5B). In contrast, when BslA C178A,C180A was present, resulting in a structured but wetting biofilm, limited cell survival was measured. Cell survival was ~5-fold lower than that measured for the “wild type” strain (Fig. 5B). As BslA is known to have a role in sporulation specifically during biofilm formation (19), we calculated the level of heat resistant spores in each biofilm at the time point used to test chemical resistance. As established previously the level of sporulation was low in the bslA mutant (Fig. 5C), however the number of spores formed in the presence of BslA C178A,C180A was the same as when wild type BslA was produced (Fig. 5C). In toto these data demonstrate that the protection received by the cells in the biofilm results from a combination of the hydrophobicity and structure conferred by BslA, a phenomenon only revealed by the ability to genetically separate the two functional contributions.

**Discussion**

The mechanism revealed here for controlling protein function through oxidation allows us to classify BslA as a bifunctional protein with genetically separable roles in biofilm formation, mediated by cysteine residues in the C-terminal tail. BslA is a key component of the *B. subtilis* biofilm and plays
a role in both biofilm architecture and hydrophobicity (8, 12, 23). Dimerization of BslA directly facilitates the development of the non-wetting layer and relies on a cysteine motif (CxC) at the C-terminus. Monomeric variants of BslA yield biofilms that are architecturally complex but lack hydrophobicity, while conversely, transplantation of the BslA C-terminus onto the normally monomeric non-functional paralogue YweA allows the chimeric protein to dimerise and consequentially rehabilitate hydrophobicity, but not architecture, to a bslA mutant. These findings are consistent with the accumulation of BslA at the air-biofilm interface only when it can dimerize, as observed by high resolution in situ immunofluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3). The BslA layer is thus shared by the entire community, and can form over and shield non-producing bacteria (Fig. 1J-L). By virtue of being able to separate the role of BslA in biofilm architecture from hydrophobicity we have shown that both architectural complexity and the hydrophobic layer contribute to protecting the residents from biocides, thus providing an evolutionary advantage to the resident cells. Finally, we have demonstrated that an effective hydrophobic barrier can be generated by a subpopulation of the biofilm and this finding raises the question of why the whole population has evolved to produce BslA (12) when other biofilm matrix molecules are produced in a bimodal manner (24).

A model can be postulated that explains formation of a BslA layer that is more than one molecule (or dimer) deep at the air interface (Fig. 6). It is known that BslA dimers are orientated longitudinally (tail-to-tail) with only one cap of each dimer able to interact with the air interface at a time (11). The orientation of tetrameric forms of the protein is unclear, however as dimeric protein is sufficient to give rise to the observed effects, it is not considered further here. Based on the premise that the hydrophobic cap of one dimer can serve as a hydrophobic interface for another dimer, it leads to a scenario where the integument of the biofilm could be comprised of the dimeric proteins layered against each other (Fig. 6). Furthermore, as monomeric and dimeric BslA are able to coexist in vitro in a protein film, the mature in vivo hydrophobic layer has the potential to be generated from a mixture of monomeric and dimeric protein. We have confirmed that stable in vitro film formation is not needed to confer hydrophobicity; the chimeric YweA\textsubscript{BslA 171-181} variant is able to render the community hydrophobic but forms a protein film that relaxes quickly under compression (Fig. 4B and S5B). This is consistent with recent analysis of BslA orthologues where B. pumilus variant lacked stability in the elastic film but could substitute for the B. subtilis bslA gene in vivo (14). Together these data indicate that stability in the lateral interactions between the BslA molecules is independent of the ability to confer hydrophobicity to the community but may determine architectural complexity. However, details of the lateral interaction sites between the protein molecules are currently unknown.
BslA is therefore multifunctional across three different axes: 1) dimerization (and/or tetramerisation) contributes to hydrophobicity, whereas monomeric protein is sufficient for biofilm complex architecture; 2) the “cap out” form of the protein renders a surface layer hydrophobic whereas we can infer that a “cap in” form is present in the wetting protein layer at the base of the biofilm where the protein is exposed to water (11, 13); and 3) stable lateral interactions between BslA molecules, which can be measured in vitro, appear to be required for architectural complexity, whereas unstable lateral interactions (reflected in unstable film formation in vitro) nonetheless are sufficient to give rise to hydrophobicity if dimeric protein is present. We have previously elucidated a link between the behaviour of the cap region and the strength of lateral interactions (13), such that these two functionalities appear to influence each other. Conversely, the behaviour of the cap region of BslA is functionally independent from the protein oligomerisation state, as both monomeric protein and dimeric protein are able to flip between ‘cap in’ and ‘cap out’ conformations. Thus, the bottom of the biofilm is wetting not just because the protein is monomeric but because the cap region is also exposed to water; likewise, the top of the biofilm is hydrophobic not just because BslA forms dimers and tetramers but because the cap region is also exposed to air. The mechanisms by which monomeric BslA gives rise to an architecturally complex biofilm, and the reasons why dimeric protein (at least) is required to give rise to a hydrophobic coat, remain to be elucidated, but possibly involve (an) interaction(s) with other components of the biofilm.

**Bifunctionality through dimerisation**

Cysteine residues, possessing a thiol group (15), have specialized roles in a wide range of cellular processes and control protein folding and stability, multimerisation, and function through disulfide bond formation (25). It is clear from our secondary structural analysis (Fig. S2) that the role for disulfide bond formation in modulating BslA activity is not linked with either protein stability or folding, but is instead associated with imparting new function through multimerisation. This is in contrast to the fungal hydrophobins where disulfide bonds stabilize the structure of the protein (26) and is more analogous to the mechanism used to control activity of the von Willebrand factor (vWF) during blood clotting (25). Previous bioinformatic analyses reveal that Firmicutes, including *B. subtilis*, limit the number of proteins that contain cysteine residues (27). Consistent with this there are no essential components that require disulfide bond formation in the *B. subtilis* biofilm, as deletion of the known extracytoplasmic thiol oxidoreductases, *bdbA* and *bdbD* does not lead to pleiotropic defects. It is only when the integrity of hydrophobicity is assessed that differences in the surface wettability are uncovered (Table S2). It has been proposed that in Firmicutes proteins that contain disulfide bonds have roles in "niche" functions which are not linked with essential cellular
processes: for example genetic competence that allows the uptake of exogenous DNA (21, 28) and production of the S-linked glycopeptide sublancin that has antimicrobial properties (23, 29, 30). Arguably, biofilm hydrophobicity would fit within this category, and consistent with genetic competence and sublancin production, successful formation of the hydrophobic layer imparts a fitness advantage by, in this case, excluding chemicals from entering the biofilm.

**Heterogeneity in electron acceptor availability drives BslA function**

In addition to catalysis-driven disulfide bond formation by extracytoplasmic thiol-oxidoreductases, spontaneous disulfide bond formation using molecular oxygen (or via a redox active molecule) as the direct electron acceptor enhances BslA dimerization at the air-biofilm surface interface. This is driven in the top layer of the biofilm by an oxidative, oxygen rich zone that is conducive to disulfide bond formation (Fig. 6) (31). It is well established that oxygen gradients stratify natural, mixed species, biofilms by driving distribution of microorganisms with different respiratory requirements (32-34). Furthermore, the availability of electron acceptors, including oxygen, can drive structuring of biofilm morphology, as has been shown for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (35, 36). Therefore, these findings expand the role that oxygen gradients can play in biofilm formation by highlighting an ability to modulate protein function. The ability to respond to localised oxygen heterogeneity in this manner provides an efficient mechanism for bacteria to maximise resource utilisation by generating bifunctionality through redox sensitivity. Furthermore, BslA dimerization in response to the oxygen gradient solves the conundrum of how *B. subtilis* obtains nutrients when it is surrounded by a layer of BslA (12); i.e. the anoxic base of the biofilm is hydrophilic despite the abundance of BslA (Fig. 6). We predict that bifunctionality of matrix components will emerge as a common theme across the species. Consistent with this, the *Vibrio cholerae* biofilm matrix protein, RmbA, is proteolytically cleaved to a form that promotes recruitment of cells to the biofilm in an exopolysaccharide independent manner; effectively the proteolytic event changes the function of RmbA (37). Additionally, flagella synthesized by *Escherichia coli* are used, not only for motility, but for imparting structural rigidity to the community by entwining the bacterial cells (38). Control of bifunctionality for each of these examples is distinct and raises the question of how many different mechanisms have evolved to maximise use of a limited number of components.

**Materials and methods.**

Details of all methods used are provided in full in SI text.
**Growth conditions.** The *B. subtilis* strains used and constructed in this study are detailed in Table S1. The full details of growth conditions are provided in the *SI text*. Biofilm colonies were grown on MSgg medium (5) solidified with 1.5% Select Agar (Invitrogen™) at 30°C for 48 hours.

**Strain construction.** All strains, plasmids and primers used are presented in Table S1, 3-4 and were constructed using standard techniques. See *SI text* for full details.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1: BslA is a bifunctional protein.** (A, B) Western blot analysis of BslA in a native (A) and reduced (B) state using proteins extracted from biofilms (see Supplemental text S1). (C-L) Architecture and hydrophobicity of biofilms. Strains used were (C) 3610 (wild-type; NCIB3610), (D) *bslA* (NRS2097), and the *bslA* mutant genetically complemented with the following variants: (E) CxC (NRS2299), (F) AxC (NRS5177); (G) CxA (NRS5178), (H) AxA (NRS5179) and (I) ΔCterm (NRS2957). Biofilms depicted in (J, K, L) are the result of co-culturing strains NRS2299 and NRS5179. Under each biofilm is an image of a water droplet on the upper surface of the biofilm and the values of the contact angle are in Table S4.

**Figure 2: The mechanism of disulfide bond formation.** Architecture and hydrophobicity of strains (A) *bdbA* (NRS5552); (B) *bdbCD* (NRS5554); (C) *bdbACD* (NRS5553). Under each biofilm is an image of a water droplet on the upper surface of the biofilm; contact angle values are in Table S4. (D) Measurement of the oxygen concentration (µM) and redox potential (mV) as a function of the depth of the biofilm with 0 mV being set at the biofilm surface (see Supplemental text S1 for details); (E-G) Time course of water uptake by a mature biofilm visualised using pigmented water; (E) prior to treatment, 0 min; (F) 2 min after exposure; (G) 15 min after exposure. In (G) 5 ml coloured water droplets demonstrate retention of upper biofilm hydrophobicity.

**Figure 3: In situ analysis of BslA localisation in the biofilm.** Confocal scanning laser microscopy images of biofilm cross sections through the *bslA* mutant complemented with either (A) the wild type variant of *bslA* (NRS5132); or (B) the gene encoding the BslA C178A C180A variant (NRS5136) strains. Fluorescence from the GFP is shown in green and the fluorescence associated with DyLight594, representing immuno-labelled BslA staining in magenta. The scale bar represents 50 µm. The single channel data and wild-type and *bslA* controls are in Figure S3.

**Figure 4: Forcing dimerisation of YweA can rehabilitate biofilm hydrophobicity in a *bslA* mutant.** Western blot analysis of YweA in a native (A) state detected from biofilm protein extracts (see Supplemental text S1). Strains used were 3610 (WT; NCIB3610), *yweA* (NRS2405), and the *bslA* mutant engineered to produce the following YweA variants: YweA (NRS5551), YweA*bslA* (NRS4834); YweA*bslA* C178A C180A (NRS5515), YweA*bslA* C178A C180A (NRS5516) and YweA*bslA* C178A C180A (NRS5210). (B) Biofilms using strains detailed in part (A); Under each biofilm is an image of a water droplet on the upper surface of the biofilm: values of the contact angle are in Table S4 and strains as part (A).
Figure 5: Cell survival after exposure to chlorhexidine gluconate. (A) An image of a 1% (v/v) chlorhexidine gluconate droplet on the upper surface of the biofilm for strain “WT” (NRS5132); bslA mutant (NRS5131), and the bslA mutant complemented with BslAC178A,C180A “+AxA” (NRS5136); the values of the contact angle are in Table S4; (B) The strains described above were exposed to 1% (v/v) chlorhexidine gluconate and the percentage survival calculated; (C) Percentage sporulation was calculated for the strains detailed in (A). The error bars represent the standard deviation from the mean.

Figure 6: Model of BslA function. (i) Schematic of a biofilm cross-section depicting the oxygen and redox gradient through the depth of the structure. The blue layer at the air interface represents the BslA hydrophobic coat with a water droplet on top (red circle) and the hatched lines at the base represent BslA in a form that allows water and nutrient uptake into the community. The bacteria are green ovals and the agar surface is the grey zone at the base of the biofilm; (ii) Oligomerisation of BslA is mediated by thiol-oxidoreductases that reside in the membrane and extracytoplasmic space. The electrons (e-) released by oxidation upon formation of the disulfide bond flow into the respiratory chain. BslA is shown as a blue oval in the biofilm matrix (grey). For simplicity only one disulfide bond has been depicted (S-S). The reduced form of the protein is represented by the (-SH) annotation; (iii) Oligomerisation of BslA is also likely to occur using molecular oxygen as the electron acceptor; (iv) depicted is a model for how the BslA coat might present in the biofilm as a mixture of oligomers. The ability of BslA to present in a cap ‘in’ and cap ‘out’ configuration is represented where the cap ‘out’ form is adopted by the molecules at a hydrophobic interface.
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