

## University of Dundee

### Cyanobacteria vs green algae

Beardall, John; Raven, John A.

*Published in:*  
Journal of Experimental Botany

*DOI:*  
[10.1093/jxb/erx226](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx226)

*Publication date:*  
2017

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Beardall, J., & Raven, J. A. (2017). Cyanobacteria vs green algae: which group has the edge? *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 68(14), 3697-3699. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erx226>

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Cyanobacteria vs green algae: which group has the edge?

John Beardall<sup>1</sup> and John A. Raven<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Clayton, Victoria 3800, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Division of Plant Sciences, University of Dundee at the James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee DD2 5DA, UK

Correspondence: [john.beardall@monash.edu](mailto:john.beardall@monash.edu); [j.a.raven@dundee.ac.uk](mailto:j.a.raven@dundee.ac.uk)

**The dogma surrounding carbon assimilation has it that, due to their highly effective CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating mechanisms, cyanobacteria will always out-perform, for example, green algae where inorganic carbon is in short supply. Working on the cyanobacterial genus *Microcystis*, Ji *et al.* (2017) now suggest this might not always be true, with possible improved performance with rises in atmospheric (and hence dissolved) CO<sub>2</sub>. Many cyanobacteria form extensive toxic blooms that present significant health risks and economic costs: how they will react in a future world with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature is thus of intense interest for water management.**

Cyanobacteria and algae possess various inorganic carbon transporters (CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating mechanisms, CCMs) that serve to increase the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the active site of Rubisco (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase oxygenase). CCMs presumably evolved because the CO<sub>2</sub>-fixing enzyme has a relatively low catalytic rate and expresses a competitive oxygenase as well as the carboxylase activity, with the rates of the two activities depending on the O<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub> ratio at the active site of the enzyme, according to Eqn (1):

$$S_{\text{rel}} = [K_{0.5}(\text{O}_2) \cdot k_{\text{cat}}(\text{CO}_2)] / [K_{0.5}(\text{CO}_2) \cdot k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2)] \quad (1)$$

where the selectivity factor  $S_{\text{rel}}$  defines the ratio of rates of carboxylase to oxygenase reactions,  $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{CO}_2)$  = CO<sub>2</sub>-saturated specific rate of carboxylase activity of Rubisco (mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> active site s<sup>-1</sup>),  $K_{0.5}(\text{CO}_2)$  = concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> at which the CO<sub>2</sub> fixation rate is half of  $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{CO}_2)$ ,  $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2)$  = O<sub>2</sub>-saturated specific rate of oxygenase activity of Rubisco (mol O<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup> active site s<sup>-1</sup>) and  $K_{0.5}(\text{O}_2)$  = concentration of O<sub>2</sub> at which the O<sub>2</sub> fixation rate is half of  $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2)$ .

A number of different forms of Rubisco, with a range of kinetic properties, occur in autotrophic organisms (Badger *et al.*, 1998; Raven and Beardall, 2003; Beardall and Raven, 2016). In short, freshwater cyanobacteria tend to have Rubiscos with high  $K_{0.5}(\text{CO}_2)$  and  $k_{\text{cat}}$ , and low  $S_{\text{rel}}$  values whereas green algae have Form 1B Rubiscos with higher affinity [lower  $K_{0.5}(\text{CO}_2)$ ] and  $S_{\text{rel}}$  but lower  $k_{\text{cat}}$  (Raven and Beardall, 2003). Differences in the kinetic properties of Rubisco among species mean that the different forms of

Rubisco will perform differently at a given set of CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> concentrations at the active site. Thus, at present-day dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> levels, organisms with low affinity for CO<sub>2</sub> [high  $K_{0.5}(\text{CO}_2)$ ] will have Rubiscos operating well below maximum capacity if internal CO<sub>2</sub> is in equilibrium with (or lower than) external CO<sub>2</sub>; indeed, species such as dinoflagellates, with their low  $S_{\text{rel}}$  Form II Rubisco would probably be incapable of performing net C assimilation with diffusive CO<sub>2</sub> entry at air equilibrium (Beardall and Raven, 2016). Although some algal species are capable of functioning well with diffusive CO<sub>2</sub> entry, these tend to be restricted to environments where CO<sub>2</sub> levels are high – as is the case for the freshwater red algae belonging to the Batrachospermales (Raven *et al.*, 1982), the Chrysophytes *sensu lato* (Maberly *et al.*, 2009), and the coccoid symbiotic green alga *Coccomyxa* using CO<sub>2</sub> from soil or basiphyte respiration (Raven and Colmer, 2016) – or where low light levels constrain photosynthesis so CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion is sufficient to satisfy demand (Kübler and Raven, 1994, 1995). In all other cases examined, net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation by cyanobacteria and algae requires the operation of a CCM, which increases the CO<sub>2</sub> supply to the active site of Rubisco.

## Not all CCMs are equal

In general terms, and as a consequence of the lower affinity of their Rubiscos for CO<sub>2</sub>, cyanobacteria tend to show higher expression of CCM activity (based on internal:external CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ratios) compared to green algae and this, together with observations of preferences of cyanobacteria for high pH environments where the proportion of CO<sub>2</sub> relative to bicarbonate is low, is taken as suggesting a greater competitive ability by cyanobacteria when CO<sub>2</sub> levels are low. As pointed out by Ji *et al.* (2017), there is some evidence for this from ecological observations (Shapiro, 1990, 1997) as well as previous competition experiments with freshwater phytoplankton communities (Low-Décarie *et al.*, 2011, 2015), though Caraco and Miller (1998) caution that high pH could be as important a driver to the competitive success of cyanobacteria as CO<sub>2</sub>.

Such generalizations, however, tend to ignore the variability among CCMs and specifically the range of transporters used for inorganic carbon acquisition. Thus cyanobacteria

can express up to five different transporters of inorganic carbon with differing capacity, substrates and affinity. These are summarized in [Box 1](#).

What is also apparent in a number of systems is that in addition to physiological plasticity within a given strain, there is also genetic heterogeneity within cyanobacterial strains of the same species. In the case of *Microcystis* responses to light, for instance, [Kardinaal et al. \(2007\)](#) suggested that the shift from toxic to non-toxic strains during blooms can be explained by a difference in their ability to compete for light. For inorganic carbon use, [Sandrini et al. \(2014, 2015\)](#) and [Visser et al. \(2016\)](#) have shown that, for a number of cyanobacterial genera and species, strains exist that express genes for different combinations of the five transport systems shown in [Box 1](#). Given that these different transporters confer different properties related to inorganic carbon uptake under different  $\text{CO}_2/\text{HCO}_3^-$  concentrations, different strains might be expected to respond differently to changes in  $\text{CO}_2$  levels. This expectation was recently confirmed. [Sandrini et al. \(2016\)](#) showed, in selection experiments and a lake study, that the strain composition of *Microcystis* adapts to rising  $\text{CO}_2$  levels. Natural selection favours *bicA* + *SbtA* strains in dense blooms in which  $\text{CO}_2$  is depleted, while *bicA* strains benefit from high  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations. The CCMs of green algae have not been as extensively characterized as those of cyanobacteria, but, in general, accumulation factors ( $\text{CO}_2$  in: $\text{CO}_2$  out) for chlorophytes are much lower ([Raven and Beardall, 2003](#)). This does not necessarily make them poor performers at low  $\text{CO}_2$  as the  $K_{0.5}$  ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) for their Rubiscos is lower than that of cyanobacteria.

This is where the work reported by [Ji et al. \(2017\)](#) comes in. They took a strain of the toxic cyanobacterium *Microcystis* which expresses *bicA*, a low affinity, high flux transporter ([Box 1](#)), and three green algal species, *Scenedesmus obliquus*, *Monoraphidium griffithii* and *Chlorella vulgaris*, and grew them in monoculture and then in various combinations in competition at low (100 ppm) and high (2000 ppm)  $\text{CO}_2$

levels. The monoculture experiments were used to provide parameters for a resource competition model designed to predict how the species would react to the dynamic changes occurring during growth in the mixed populations.

[Ji et al. \(2017\)](#) showed that at low  $\text{CO}_2$ , all species were DIC limited, but the performance in terms of the ability to cope with low  $\text{CO}_2$  and to compete for  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  ions was *Scenedesmus*>*Chlorella*>*Microcystis*>*Monoraphidium*. At high  $\text{CO}_2$ , however, population density increased to the extent that cultures became light limited and the competitive capacity was then *Microcystis*≈*Scenedesmus*>*Chlorella*>*Monoraphidium*. When pairs of species were placed in competition at low or high  $\text{CO}_2$ , the predictions based on the single species cultures were borne out. So at low  $\text{CO}_2$ , the *bicA* transport system of the *Microcystis* strain did not confer a competitive advantage over the green algae, and at high  $\text{CO}_2$  the superior ability of *Microcystis* to cope with the intense shading in dense culture allowed it to outcompete the other species.

## Perspectives

It would be interesting to see how the competition between green algae and cyanobacteria would work out with cyanobacterial species/strains expressing higher affinity transporters such as *SbtA* or *BCT1*. The work of [Sandrini et al. \(2016\)](#) and [Ji et al. \(2017\)](#) implies that as the DIC concentrations in the water column change, we are likely to see different strains of cyanobacteria, expressing different transport systems, appearing and disappearing, with strains such as the *Microcystis bicA* strain used by [Ji et al.](#) becoming more dominant as atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  levels continue to rise. Although past studies have implied that elevated  $\text{CO}_2$  is likely to stimulate growth of green algae and other species such as diatoms or Chrysophytes with a lesser (or no) CCM activity (as reflected in internal:external  $\text{CO}_2$  concentrations) compared to cyanobacteria, it may well be that instead, all other things being

### Box 1. Characteristics of cyanobacterial DIC transporters

Cyanobacterial inorganic carbon transporters differ in affinity and flux rate, and include  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  transporters at the plasmalemma and  $\text{CO}_2$  transporters at the thylakoid membrane. Some cyanobacteria can express multiple transporters at the same time or can change expression patterns depending on, for example, external  $\text{CO}_2$  levels ([Price, 2011](#); [Sandrini et al., 2015](#)). Expression of different transporters among species and strains will thus confer different physiology and competitive capacity.

Transporter	Substrate	Affinity	Flux	Notes
BCT1	$\text{HCO}_3^-$	High	Low	ABC-type transporter found exclusively in freshwater $\beta$ -cyanobacteria; low- $\text{CO}_2$ inducible
SbtA	$\text{HCO}_3^-$	High	Low	Sodium-dependent transporter
BicA	$\text{HCO}_3^-$	Low	High	Sodium-dependent transporter
NDH-1 <sub>3</sub>	$\text{CO}_2$	High	Low	Energized conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ to $\text{HCO}_3^-$
NDH-1 <sub>4</sub>	$\text{CO}_2$	Low	High	Energized conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ to $\text{HCO}_3^-$

equal, we will see a dominance of different cyanobacterial strains filling a succession of niches with varying conditions of alkalinity, pH and CO<sub>2</sub>/HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations. Certainly such niche exploitation by different strains of cyanobacteria is used, for instance, in *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* (Burford *et al.*, 2016) and *Microcystis* (Kardinaal *et al.*, 2007) in relation to light availability.

A further complication to note is that CCM expression is not constant (except for constitutive expression of SbtA in the marine  $\alpha$ -cyanobacteria such as *Prochlorococcus*; Badger and Price, 2003) and is likely to be modulated by a range of factors including light availability and nutrient levels as well as CO<sub>2</sub> (Beardall and Giordano, 2002; Raven *et al.*, 2011; Raven and Beardall, 2014; Sandrini *et al.*, 2015; Maberly and Gontero, 2017). Thus the competition outcomes in the real world are likely to be much more complicated than the relatively simple systems Ji *et al.* used. Nonetheless, this work is a significant and useful advance in understanding and modelling possible consequences of competition between phytoplankton in a changing environment, and can be complemented by experimental evolution studies to take into account genetic adaptation (Raven and Beardall, 2016; Sandrini *et al.*, 2016).

Key words: Algal blooms, carbon dioxide, climate change, CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating mechanism, competition model, cyanobacteria, green algae, lakes, *Microcystis*.

Journal of Experimental Botany, Vol. 68 No. 14 pp. 3697–3699, 2017  
doi: 10.1093/jxb/erx226

## References

- Badger MR, Andrews TJ, Whitney SM, Ludwig M, Yellowlees D, Leggat W, Price GD. 1998. The diversity and coevolution of Rubisco, plastids, pyrenoids and chloroplast-based CO<sub>2</sub>-concentrating mechanisms in algae. *Canadian Journal of Botany* **76**, 1052–1071.
- Badger MR, Price GD. 2003. CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanisms in cyanobacteria: molecular components, their diversity and evolution. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **54**, 609–622.
- Beardall J, Giordano M. 2002. Ecological implications of microalgal and cyanobacterial CCMs and their regulation. *Functional Plant Biology* **29**, 335–347.
- Beardall J, Raven JA. 2016. Carbon acquisition by microalgae. In: Borowitzka MA, Beardall J, Raven JA, eds. *The physiology of microalgae*. Berlin: Springer Verlag, 89–100.
- Burford MA, Beardall J, Orr PT, Willis A, Magalhaes V, Rangel L, Azevedo SMFO, Neilan BA. 2016. Understanding the winning strategies used by the toxic cyanobacterium *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii*. *Harmful Algae* **54**, 44–53.
- Caraco NF, Miller R. 1998. Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> on competition between a cyanobacterium and eukaryotic phytoplankton. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* **55**, 54–62.
- Ji X, Verspagen JMH, Stomp M, Huisman J. 2017. Competition between cyanobacteria and green algae at low versus elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: who will win, and why? *Journal of Experimental Botany* **68**, 3815–3828.
- Kardinaal WEA, Tonk L, Janse I, Hol S, Slot P, Huisman J, Visser PM. 2007. Competition for light between toxic and nontoxic strains of the harmful cyanobacterium *Microcystis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **73**, 2939–2946.
- Kübler JE, Raven JA. 1994. Consequences of light-limitation for carbon acquisition in three rhodophytes. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* **110**, 203–208.
- Kübler J, Raven JA. 1995. Interactions between carbon supply and light supply in *Palmaria palmata* (Rhodophyta). *Journal of Phycology* **31**, 369–375.
- Low-Décarie E, Bell G, Fussmann GF. 2015. CO<sub>2</sub> alters community composition and response to nutrient enrichment of freshwater phytoplankton. *Oecologia* **177**, 875–883.
- Low-Décarie E, Fussmann GF, Bell G. 2011. The effect of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> on growth and competition in experimental phytoplankton communities. *Global Change Biology* **17**, 2525–2535.
- Maberly SC, Ball LA, Raven JA, Sütemeyer D. 2009. Inorganic carbon acquisition by chrysophytes. *Journal of Phycology* **45**, 1052–1061.
- Maberly SC, Gontero B. 2017. Ecological imperatives for aquatic carbon dioxide concentrating mechanisms. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **68**, 3797–3814.
- Price GD. 2011. Inorganic carbon transporters of the cyanobacterial CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanism. *Photosynthesis Research* **109**, 47–57.
- Raven JA, Beardall J. 2003. CO<sub>2</sub> acquisition mechanisms in algae: Carbon dioxide diffusion and carbon dioxide concentrating mechanisms. In: Larkum A, Raven JA, Douglas S, eds. *Photosynthesis in the Algae*. Advances in Photosynthesis (Series Editor, Govindjee). Kluwer, 225–244.
- Raven JA, Beardall J. 2014. CO<sub>2</sub> concentrating mechanisms and environmental change. *Aquatic Botany* **118**, 24–37.
- Raven JA, Beardall J, Griffiths H. 1982. Inorganic C sources for *Lemanea*, *Cladophora* and *Ranunculus* in a fast flowing stream: measurements of gas exchange and of carbon isotope ratio and their ecological significance. *Oecologia* **53**, 68–78.
- Raven JA, Colmer TD. 2016. Life at the boundary: photosynthesis at the soil-fluid interface. A synthesis focusing on mosses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **67**, 1613–1623.
- Raven JA, Giordano M, Beardall J, Maberly S. 2011. Algal and aquatic plant carbon concentrating mechanisms in relation to environmental change. *Photosynthesis Research* **109**, 281–296.
- Sandrini G, Jakupovic D, Matthijs HCP, Huisman J. 2015. Strains of the harmful cyanobacterium *Microcystis aeruginosa* differ in gene expression and activity of inorganic carbon uptake systems at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* **81**, 7730–7739.
- Sandrini G, Ji X, Verspagen JMH, Tann RP, Slot PC, Luimstra VL, Schuurmans JM, Matthijs HCP, Huisman J. 2016. Rapid adaptation of harmful cyanobacteria to rising CO<sub>2</sub>. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* **113**, 9315–9320.
- Sandrini G, Matthijs HCP, Verspagen JM, Muyzer G, Huisman J. 2014. Genetic diversity of inorganic carbon uptake systems causes variation in CO<sub>2</sub> response of the cyanobacterium *Microcystis*. *The ISME Journal* **8**, 589–600.
- Shapiro J. 1990. Current beliefs regarding dominance of bluegreens: the case for the importance of CO<sub>2</sub> and pH. *Verhandlungen Internationale Vereinigung für Theoretische und Angewandte Limnologie* **24**, 38–54.
- Shapiro J. 1997. The role of carbon dioxide in the initiation and maintenance of blue-green dominance in lakes. *Freshwater Biology* **37**, 307–323.
- Visser PM, Verspagen JMH, Sandrini G, Stal LJ, Matthijs HC, Davis TW, Paerl HW, Huisman J. 2016. How rising CO<sub>2</sub> and global warming may stimulate harmful cyanobacterial blooms. *Harmful Algae* **54**, 145–159.