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The epidermal patterning factor (EPF) family of secreted signaling peptides regulate the frequency of stomatal development in model dicot and basal land plant species. Here, we identify and manipulate the expression of a barley (Hordeum vulgare) ortholog and demonstrate that when overexpressed HvEPF1 limits entry to, and progression through, the stomatal development pathway. Despite substantial reductions in leaf gas exchange, barley plants with significantly reduced stomatal density show no reductions in yield. In addition, HvEPF1OE barley lines exhibit significantly enhanced water use efficiency, drought tolerance, and soil water conservation properties. Our results demonstrate the potential of manipulating stomatal frequency for the protection and optimization of cereal crop yields under future drier environments.

With the global population set to rise to over 9 billion by 2050 and the predicted instability in global climate patterns, fears over global food security continue to grow (Godfray et al., 2010). Prolonged periods of drought and expanded zones of desertification are expected to become increasingly prevalent as this century progresses (IPCC, 2014). The need to expand agriculture into areas of marginal land, where drought is a severe inhibitor of sustainable agriculture (Fita et al., 2015), continues to increase. Seventy percent of global freshwater is already utilized for irrigation, and rain-fed agriculture is now the world’s largest consumer of water (Foley et al., 2011). A potential way to both futureproof against climate change and to expand crop production onto water-limited marginal lands would be through improvements to crop drought tolerance and water use efficiency (WUE; the ratio of carbon gained to water lost).

The vast majority of water is lost from crops via transpiration, and reducing this loss provides a potential route toward improving WUE and conserving soil water levels (Hepworth et al., 2015). To this end, much research into the use of antitranspirants was carried out in the 1960s and 1970s (Davenport et al., 1972). However, although effective in improving water status and increasing fruit size, these chemical solutions were never economically viable on an agricultural scale.

The majority of water loss from plants occurs via transpiration through epidermal pores known as stomata, making these cellular structures an attractive target in the battle to prevent water loss. Recently several laboratory studies have demonstrated that it is possible to improve drought tolerance and WUE by reducing the frequency of stomata on leaves; by using genetic manipulation or mutation to reduce stomatal density improved WUE has been achieved across several model dicot species including Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Yoo et al., 2010; Franks et al., 2015; Hepworth et al., 2015), poplar (Populus spp.; Lawson et al., 2014), and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum; Yu et al., 2008). In addition, the ectopic expression of a putative transcription factor in maize (Zea mays) has led to reduced stomatal density and gas exchange in a monocot (Liu et al., 2015).

The manipulation of stomatal density has been facilitated by microscopic studies that characterized the cellular stages of the stomatal lineage and molecular
studies that revealed the developmental mechanisms controlling their progression (Zhao and Sack, 1999; Han and Torii, 2016). The majority of these studies have been carried out using the genetically tractable, model plant species Arabidopsis. During early Arabidopsis leaf development, a subset of epidermal cells known as meristemoid mother cells become primed to enter the stomatal lineage. Each meristemoid mother cell then undergoes an initial asymmetric entry division to produce a meristemoid in addition to a larger daughter cell known as a stomatal lineage ground cell (SLGC). SLGCs either differentiate directly into epidermal pavement cells or undergo further asymmetric divisions to produce secondary meristemoids. Some meristemoids can themselves undergo further asymmetric divisions, each of which reforms a meristemoid and creates an additional SLGC. Each meristemoid eventually differentiates into a guard mother cell, small and rounded in shape, prior to undergoing a symmetric division to form the guard cell pair of the mature stomatal complex. These cell fate transitions and divisions, which ultimately control the number and proportions of stomata and pavement cells in the mature leaf epidermis, are controlled by a subgroup of related basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factors; SPCH, MUTE, and FAMA (Ohashi-Ito and Bergmann, 2006; MacAlister et al., 2007; Pillitteri and Torii, 2007). SPCH primarily directs expression of genes controlling meristemoid formation including members of the Cys-rich EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR (EPF) family of secreted signaling peptides, which in turn activate a pathway that regulates SPCH stability, thus forming a feedback loop that regulates the number of cells entering the stomatal lineage (Adrian et al., 2015; Simmons and Bergmann, 2016). The best characterized negative regulators of stomatal density in this peptide family are EPF1 and EPF2, which are numbered in order of their discovery (Hara et al., 2007, 2009; Hunt and Gray, 2009). Both peptides act extracellularly within the aerial epidermal cell layer to suppress stomatal development through activation of an intracellular MAP kinase signaling pathway (Bergmann et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2007; Lampard et al., 2008). Although their functions somewhat overlap, EPF2 acts earliest in stomatal development to restrict entry of cells into the stomatal lineage, while EPF1 acts later to orient subsequent divisions of meristemoid cells and enforce stomatal spacing through the “one-cell-spacing” rule via the inhibition of MUTE expression (Hara et al., 2007; Qi et al., 2017). Manipulation of the expression levels of these peptides in Arabidopsis has led to significant improvements in drought tolerance and WUE in experiments conducted in controlled-environment plant growth rooms (Doheny-Adams et al., 2012; Hepworth et al., 2015).

In contrast to the Arabidopsis model system, our knowledge of stomatal development in crops is relatively limited (Raissig et al., 2016). Although the grasses include many of our major global crops, our molecular understanding of their transpirational control mechanisms remains extremely limited. It is known from microscopic observations that grass stomata are formed by a single asymmetric cell division that forms a stomatal precursor cell (a guard mother cell) and an epidermal pavement cell (Stebbins and Jain, 1960). There are no further asymmetric divisions of the stomatal lineage cells analogous to the repeated possible divisions that meristemoids undergo in Arabidopsis (Serna, 2011). The mature grass stomatal complex is formed by division of two neighboring cells that give rise to flanking subsidiary cells, and a symmetric division of the guard mother that produces two dumbbell-shaped guard cells rather than the characteristically kidney-shaped guard cells of most dicots (Hetherington and Woodward, 2003; Serna,
In contrast to dicots, all grass stomatal development initiates at the leaf base. The patterning of stomata within the leaf epidermis also differs in grasses, with stomata forming in straight files parallel to the leaf vein as opposed to the "scattered" distribution seen in Arabidopsis (Stebbins and Khush, 1961; Geisler and Sack, 2002; Serna, 2011).

Despite these differences in stomatal shape and patterning, it appears that the molecular control of stomatal development has similarities across a wide range of plant species. Functional orthologs of genes encoding for bHLH transcription factors involved in Arabidopsis stomatal development have been identified in grasses including rice (Oryza sativa), maize (Liu et al., 2009), and brachypodium (Raisig et al., 2016) and recently in the early diverging nonvascular mosses (Chater et al., 2016). EPF orthologs are encoded across a range of plant genomes and have recently been shown to effectively regulate moss stomatal patterning (Caine et al., 2016). However, currently it is still not known whether EPFs function in controlling stomatal development in grasses. With the sequencing of the barley genome in 2012, we were able to identify a putative EPF ortholog (HvEPF1, MLOC_67484) that is expressed at low levels during development of aerial tissues (International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2012). Here, we characterize the function of an epidermal patterning factor in grasses. We report the ectopic overexpression of HvEPF1 and the production of transgenic barley lines exhibiting altered stomatal development. Furthermore, our generation of barley lines with reduced stomatal density has provided us with the necessary tools to determine the effect of reduced stomatal density on transpiration, drought tolerance, WUE, and yield in a cereal crop.

RESULTS

Eleven genes encoding putative EPF-like secreted peptides were identified in the barley genome sequence (International Barley Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2012; Supplemental Fig. S1). MLOC67484, which we refer to here as HvEPF1, encodes a peptide with extensive similarity to Arabidopsis epidermal patterning factors and contains the six conserved Cys residues (Fig. 1A) that are characteristic of Arabidopsis epidermal patterning factors (Ohki et al., 2011; Lau and Bergmann, 2012). Phylogenetic analysis of the encoded mature peptide sequence indicated that within the Arabidopsis EPF family, HvEPF1 is most closely related to the known inhibitors of stomatal development EPF1 and EPF2, which each contain two additional Cys residues (Supplemental Fig. S1). To confirm that this barley peptide gene could function in stomatal regulation, HvEPF1 was ectopically overexpressed in Arabidopsis under the control of the CaMV35S promoter. Analysis of cellular patterning on the epidermis of Arabidopsis plants overexpressing HvEPF1 confirmed that stomatal development had been disrupted, a
phenotype similar to that observed on overexpression of Arabidopsis EPF1, namely, a significant decrease in leaf stomatal density (Fig. 1B) and an increased number of arrested meristemoids (Fig. 1C; Hara et al., 2007, 2009; Hunt and Gray, 2009).

Next, barley plants ectopically overexpressing the epidermal patterning factor HvEPF1 under the control of a ubiquitin gene promoter were produced. Stomatal density was assessed from 13 transgenic lines of HvEPF1OE in the T1 generation under growth room conditions. The first leaves of seedling plants had stomatal densities ranging from ~70% down to <1% of that of control plants (transformed with the empty vector; Fig. 2A). Two lines were selected for further phenotyping: HvEPF1OE (47%) and HvEPF1OE (0.6%), which displayed ~47% and 0.6% of the stomatal density of controls, respectively. Significantly reduced leaf stomatal density was observed in abaxial epidermal impressions (Fig. 2B), and unusually large patches of epidermis with an absence of stomates were seen in the leaves of HvEPF1OE (0.6%). Furthermore, arrested stomatal precursor cells, frequently observed in the...
mature, fully expanded epidermis, were extremely rare in controls (Fig. 2C, black arrow). For more detailed physiological analysis, homozygous barley lines harboring a single copy of the transgene (Supplemental Table S1) were isolated (referred to as HvEPF1OE-1 and HvEPF1OE-2 and indicated by the left and right red asterisks in Figure 2A, respectively). T2 generation plants were grown under controlled chamber conditions, and the abaxial stomatal density of the second true leaf was significantly reduced by ~52% and 56% of controls for HvEPFOE-1 and HvEPFOE-2, respectively (Fig. 3A). In addition, the stomates that formed were smaller; guard cell length was significantly reduced in both HvEPF1OE lines (Fig. 3B). However, we observed no significant increase in epidermal pavement cell density (Fig. 3C). These differences in cell densities combined to produce large reductions in stomatal index ( stomatal density as a percentage of all cells on the epidermis). The stomatal index of HvEPF1OE plants was reduced to ~50% of control values (Fig. 3D). Again, we observed a significant increase in the number of arrested stomatal precursor cells in HvEPF1OE barley leaves (as shown in Fig. 2). To calculate whether the number of arrested stomatal precursor cells could entirely account for the observed reductions in stomatal density, we calculated the stomatal lineage cell index (the percentage of stomata and arrested stomatal lineage cells compared to all cells on the epidermis). This indicated that if all arrested stomatal precursor cells were to have progressed normally to produce stomata, there would still be a significant reduction in stomatal index, suggesting that both the priming of cells to enter the stomatal lineage and the progression of cells through the stomatal lineage are compromised by HvEPF1 overexpression (Fig. 3E).

Having shown that HvEPF1 can effectively regulate the frequency of stomatal development, we next explored whether any other aspects of HvEPF1OE leaves were affected. In particular, we investigated the internal structure of leaves. Stacked confocal images were produced to visualize HvEPF1OE substomatal cavities. This revealed similar internal cellular structures, and mature HvEPF1OE stomatal complexes had guard cells positioned normally above substomatal cavities as in controls (Fig. 4A, yellow asterisks). However, on the same images, a lack of cavity formation was observed under the arrested stomatal precursor cells in both HvEPF1OE-1 and HvEPF1OE-2 lines (Fig. 4B, white asterisks).

To more fully investigate the effect of reduced stomatal density on drought tolerance, T2 generation plants were grown in a greenhouse with natural and supplemental lighting and temperature control. Five-week-old HvEPF1OE-1, HvEPF1OE-2, and control plants were subjected to a terminal drought experiment alongside a parallel set of plants that were kept well watered (maintained at 60% maximum soil water content). Pots were weighed at the same time each day and this was used to calculate soil water loss. The results of this experiment revealed that both transformed barley lines lost water much more slowly and exhibited significantly greater soil water conservation in their pots from day 2 until day 14 under water-withheld conditions (Fig. 5A). Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were used to measure any reductions in PSII efficiency, an indicator of plant stress. The light-adapted quantum yield of PSII (ΦPSII) was measured daily for both well-watered and water-withheld plants throughout the terminal drought experiment. There were no differences between the ΦPSII of HvEPF1OE and control plants at the start of the experiment or between genotypes under well-watered conditions, indicating that the reduced stomatal density of the HvEPF1OE leaves was not restricting PSII efficiency. Remarkably, however, the HvEPF1OE plants that had water withheld displayed significantly enhanced rates of ΦPSII versus water-withheld controls from day 10 until day 14; both HvEPF1OE-1 and HvEPF1OE-2 plants maintained their PSII efficiency for ~4 d longer than controls under severe drought conditions. On day 6 of terminal drought, leaf samples were taken for leaf relative water content (RWC) estimation. This result indicated no significant difference in leaf RWC between controls and...
Reducing stomatal density in barley plants under well-watered conditions. However, under water-withheld conditions, both HvEPF1OE lines displayed significantly higher levels of leaf RWC versus controls (Fig. 5C), indicating an enhanced ability to retain water in their leaves under drought conditions. In addition, the HvEPF1OE plants were less susceptible to wilting and appeared visibly more drought tolerant on day 6 of water-withheld conditions (Fig. 5D).

In a separate greenhouse experiment, we investigated whether the reduced stomatal density of HvEPF1OE barley plants could confer any advantage to growth under conditions of limited water availability (rather than on complete withholding of water as above). HvEPF1OE-1, HvEPF1OE-2, and controls plants were grown under well-watered (60% soil water content) and water-restricted (25% soil water content) conditions in parallel under controlled greenhouse conditions. This water-restricted regime was severe enough to attenuate the growth rate of the barley plants but not severe enough to cause visible signs of wilting (Supplemental Fig. S2). Stomatal density and steady-state gas exchange measurements were taken from the sixth fully expanded leaf of the primary tiller of mature plants. This revealed that stomatal density and photosynthetic assimilation were significantly reduced in comparison to controls in both HvEPF1OE lines under well-watered conditions. On these leaves, the stomatal density of HvEPF1OE-1/2 was 24% and 12% of control values, respectively. There was a significant decrease in assimilation in both lines under well-watered conditions but no significant differences in assimilation between HvEPF1OE or control plants that had been grown under water restriction (Fig. 6A). In addition, there was a significant reduction in stomatal conductance ($g_s$) between HvEPF1OE and control plants within the well-watered treatment group and a reduction in the $g_s$ of all plants within the water-restricted treatment (Fig. 6B). As a result of the large
reductions in gs and relatively small reductions in assimilation, intrinsic WUE (iWUE, the value of assimilation divided by gs) was calculated to be significantly increased in the HvEPF1OE-2 line under well-watered conditions. There was no increase in iWUE observed in either HvEPF1OE line under water-restricted conditions (Fig. 6C). After 11 weeks of drought, WUE across the photosynthetic lifetime of the barley flag leaves was then assessed by delta-carbon isotope analysis. This revealed that, under water restriction, both HvEPF1OE lines displayed lower levels of $^{13}$C discrimination and thus a greater level of WUE. In agreement with the gas exchange results, only HvEPF1OE-2 plants (which had more severely reduced stomatal density) displayed increased WUE under well-watered conditions (Fig. 6D).

Further gas exchange measurements were carried out on the flag leaf to investigate whether photosynthetic biochemistry could have been altered by overexpression of HvEPFL1. In line with our previous Arabidopsis-based studies (Franks et al., 2015), we observed no differences in the maximum velocity of Rubisco for carboxylation or the potential rate of electron transport under saturating light. Our calculations indicate that any improvements in WUE are due to increased limitation to stomatal gas exchange, rather than altered photosynthetic biochemistry.

Finally, to assess the impact of reduced stomatal density on barley yield and biomass, plants were left to grow under the well-watered and water-restricted regimes described above until plant peduncles had lost color. At this point, plants were allowed to dry and

---

**Figure 6.** Reducing barley stomatal density lowers gs and enhances WUE. A, Under well-watered conditions, a significant decrease in rate of carbon assimilation was observed in both HvEPF1OE lines. Under water-restricted conditions, there was no difference in assimilation. B, gs was significantly decreased in HvEPF1OE lines grown under well-watered conditions in comparison to controls. Under water-restricted conditions, there was no difference in gs. C, Under well-watered conditions, a significant improvement in iWUE was observed in the HvEPF1OE-2 line when compared to control plants. Under water-restricted conditions, there was no difference in iWUE. D, Carbon isotope discrimination revealed a significant improvement in WUE of the HvEPF1OE-2 barley line under well-watered conditions. Under water-restricted conditions, both HvEPF1OE lines displayed significantly improved WUE in comparison to controls. n = 5 plants, asterisk indicates significance to at least $P < 0.05$ (Dunnett’s tests after one-way ANOVA for each watering group). Error bars represent SE.
were then harvested. Analysis of the grain yield suggested that a reduction in stomatal density did not have a deleterious effect on seed number, seed weight, the average weight of seed, or the harvest index (the ratio of above ground biomass to seed weight) under either watering condition (Fig. 7, A–D). In addition, no differences in plant height or aboveground biomass were found between any of the barley lines under either watering regime (Supplemental Figs. S3 and S4).

**DISCUSSION**

Grasses are an economically important plant group, with the cereal grasses being of critical importance for both food and energy production. Considering future predicted climate scenarios, the creation of drought-tolerant cereals is a priority area for both crop improvement and scientiﬁc research.

The bHLH transcription factors and epidermal patterning factors that were first discovered to be regulators of stomatal development in Arabidopsis have been conserved from basal land plants through to angiosperms, including the grasses, and have been suggested as potential targets for crop improvement (Peterson et al., 2010; Ran et al., 2013; Caine et al., 2016; Raissig et al., 2016). Here, we report the characterization of a functional barley EPF ortholog, named HvEPF1, which acts in a similar way to the Arabidopsis EPF1 and EPF2 signaling peptides to limit entry to and progression through the stomatal cell lineage. Our overexpression of the barley HvEPF1 transcript in Arabidopsis led to a significant reduction in stomatal density, indicating a level of conservation in peptide function between monocots and dicots. The overexpression of HvEPF1 in barley led to severe reductions in both stomatal formation and the entry of epidermal cells into the stomatal lineage, adding weight to this conclusion.

The frequent presence of arrested stomatal precursor cells on the epidermis of both Arabidopsis and barley HvEPF1OE plants (Figs. 1C and 2B) suggests that the mode of action of HvEPF1 is most similar to that of Arabidopsis EPF1, which generates a similar epidermal phenotype when overexpressed (Hara et al., 2007, 2009). That is, stomatal precursors enter the developmental lineage but become arrested before the final symmetric cell division and maturation of the stomatal complex. These HvEPF1OE oval-shaped arrested cells appear to halt their development at a meristemoid-like or early guard mother cell stage prior to transition into mature guard mother cells. Thus, in addition to entry to the stomatal lineage, the transition to a mature guard mother cell that is competent to divide and form a pair of guard cells appears to be regulated by HvEPF1. In Arabidopsis, this cellular transition step is under the control of the transcription factor MUTE (Fig. 8) whose...
activity promotes expression of the receptor-like kinase ERECTA-LIKE1, which in turn mediates EPF1 signaling and the subsequent autocrine inhibition of MUTE (Qi et al., 2017). Barley MUTE may be regulated by HvEPF1 by a similar autocrine pathway and/or by phosphorylation as grass MUTE genes (unlike Arabidopsis MUTE) encode potential MAP kinase phosphorylation sites (Liu et al., 2009). Recent work in the monocot Brachypodium has revealed MUTE is also involved in the formation of subsidiary cells (Raissig et al., 2017). In HvEPF1OE plants, stomatal precursors arrest prior to the establishment of subsidiary cells, suggesting the overexpression of HvEPF1 may act to inhibit the expression of MUTE.

Despite their importance, we know remarkably little about the sequence of events leading to the production of the air-filled spaces that underlie stomata. In conjunction with the stomatal pores, these substomatal cavities facilitate high levels of gas exchange into plant photosynthetic mesophyll cells and mediate leaf water loss via transpiration. Using confocal microscopy, we could see no evidence for the separation of mesophyll cells below arrested stomatal precursor cells in HvEPF1OE leaves. Our observations begin to throw light on the developmental sequence leading to cavity formation. The arrested stomatal precursor cells in HvEPF1OE do not form substomatal cavities, suggesting that these cavities form following either guard mother cell maturation, like the subsidiary cells of the stomatal complex, or after guard cell pair formation. Alternatively, the formation of a substomatal cavity may be required for guard mother cell maturation.

There is much evidence to support a negative correlation between stomatal density and stomatal size across a range of species and Arabidopsis stomatal mutants, that is, those plants with relatively low stomatal density tend to produce larger stomates (Miskin and Rasmusson, 1970; Franks and Beerling, 2009; Doheny-Adams et al., 2012). Interestingly, the overexpression of HvEPF1 did not conform to this trend and led to barley plants with smaller, shorter guard cells. Thus, if the EPF signaling pathway directly regulates stomatal size in dicot species (and this remains to be demonstrated), it appears to act in the opposite manner in grass stomatal size determination.

Through the ectopic overexpression of HvEPF1 we have created barley transformants with a range of reductions in stomatal density. Although barley plants with substantially reduced numbers of stomata showed some attenuation of photosynthetic rates when well watered, they exhibited strong drought avoidance and drought tolerance traits when water was withheld. They had lower levels of water loss via transpiration, they were able to maintain higher levels of soil water content, and they delayed the onset of photosynthetic stress responses for several days longer than controls. Remarkably, when grown under water-limiting conditions (25% soil pot water content), two barley lines with reductions in stomatal density demonstrated significant improvements in WUE without any deleterious effects on either plant growth or seed yield (biomass, seed weight, or seed number). Indeed, it would be interesting to determine whether both WUE and yield may be further optimized in reduced stomatal density lines under less severe waterering regimes or through less drastic reductions in stomatal density.

HvEPF1OE-2 plants (which had the lowest stomatal density in this experiment) also displayed significantly
enhanced levels of drought tolerance and WUE under well-watered conditions, without accompanying decreases in either grain yield or plant biomass. The increased iWUE observed in these experiments was a result of a relatively moderate drop in assimilation compared to a larger decrease in gs, suggesting that assimilation was not limited by internal CO₂ concentration under the growth conditions of our experiment (Yoo et al., 2009). This may also be a factor in explaining why reductions in stomatal density did not impact on the yield of HvEPF1OE plants. Further explanations include significantly reduced rates of gs and thus water loss in HvEPF1OE plants allowing for more resources to be allocated to the generation of seed and aboveground biomass, at the potential cost to root development, as described previously in Arabidopsis EPF-overexpressing plants (Hepworth et al., 2016), or increased soil water content leading to improved nutrient uptake and gs under water limitation (Van Vuurem et al., 1997; Hepworth et al., 2015). Thus, although not tested in this study, reducing stomatal density may also enhance resource allocation or nutrient uptake capacity under water restriction.

To conclude, this study describes the function and physiological effect of overexpressing a native epidermal patterning factor in a grass species. The manipulation of HvEPF1 expression levels has improved our understanding of stomatal developmental mechanisms in grasses and has generated a range of barley plants displaying significantly reduced stomatal density. These barley plants exhibit substantially improved drought tolerance and WUE without reductions in grain yield. This discovery adds strength to the proposition that stomatal development represents an attractive target for breeders when attempting to future-proof crops.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Vector Construction

HvEPF1 genomic gene was PCR amplified from barley (Hordeum vulgare) cultivar Golden Promise DNA using primers in Supplemental Table S1. The HVEFP1 gene is annotated as MLOC67484 at Ensembl Plants but is incorrectly translated in this prediction. We used FGENESH to generate an alternative translation, which includes a putative signal sequence at the N terminus. The PCR product was recombined pENTR/D/TOP0 then by LR recombination into pCTApI (Rohila et al., 2004) transformation vector under the control of the CaMV35S promoter, and introduced into Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Col-0 background by floral dip (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformation and expression of the transgene were confirmed by PCR and RT-PCR using the primers in Supplemental Table S2.

For barley transformation, the HvEPF1 genomic gene was introduced by LR recombination into pBRACT214 gateway vector under the control of the maize (Zea mays) ubiquitin promoter, adjacent to a hygromycin resistance gene under the control of a CaMV35S promoter (Supplemental Fig. S4). barley transformations were carried out in background Golden Promise using the method described by (Harwood et al., 2009). Plants harboring just the hygromycin resistance cassette were regenerated alongside to produce empty-vector control plants. Potentially transformed plants were regenerated on selective medium, and T0 individuals genotyped to confirm gene insertion by PCR. Gene copy number was estimated by DNA Genetics Ltd (www.dnagenetics.com) using a PCR-based method. HvEPF1 overexpression was confirmed by RT-qPCR of T2 generation plants (Supplemental Fig. S6). Total RNA was extracted from 10-d-old seedlings using Spectrum plant total RNA kit (Sigma-Aldrich) and reverse transcribed using Maxima H Minus Reverse Transcriptase cDNA synthesis kit (Thermo Scientific). RT-qPCR was performed using a Rotor-Gene SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen) with tubulin and GADPH used as housekeeping reference genes, and primers outlined in the supplementary supporting information (Supplemental Table S2). Three plants of each transformed line were amplified to confirm overexpression of the HvEPF1 gene. Fold induction values of gene expression were normalized to average 2ΔCt values relative to empty-vector control samples.

Plant Growth Conditions

For plant growth, seeds were surface sterilized in 50% (v/v) ethanol/bleach before being placed into water-saturated filter paper and placed into sealed petri dishes in the appropriate growth chamber. Arabidopsis plants were grown in a controlled growth chamber (Conviron model MTPS120) at 22°C/16°C, 9 h light, 13 h dark, 500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ light intensity, ambient [CO₂], and 60% humidity. Arabidopsis plants were kept well watered throughout. barley plants were grown in a MTPS120 growth chamber at 21°C/15°C, 11 h light at 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 13 h dark, ambient [CO₂], and 60% humidity. For plants grown under greenhouse conditions (Figs. 5 and 6), temperature was set at 20°C/16°C, 12 h light, and ambient humidity, and supplementary lighting ensured a minimum of 200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ at bench level.

At 5 d postgermination, individual barley seedlings were placed into 13-cm-diameter pots containing homogenized M3 compost/perlite (4:1) with the addition of Osmocote. For initial phenotyping and leaf developmental characterization (Figs. 2–4), plants were kept well watered. For the water-restricted experiment (Figs. 6 and 7), plants were maintained at either 60% (well watered) or 25% (water restricted) of soil saturation by the daily weighing of pots.

Microscopy and Cell Counts

For both Arabidopsis and barley, stomatal and epidermal cell counts were taken from the abaxial surface of mature, fully expanded leaves or cotyledons. Cell counts were taken from the widest section of the first true leaf avoiding the mid vein. Dental resin (Coltene Whaledent) was applied in the region of maximum leaf width and left to set before removing the leaf and applying clear nail varnish to the resin. Stomatal counts were determined from nail varnish impressions by light microscopy (Olympus BX51). Five areas per leaf were sampled from four to eight plants of each genotype and treatment. For epidermal imaging (Fig. 2B–D), mature leaves were excised and the central vein of the leaf cut away. Leaf tissue was then serially dehydrated in ethanol. Samples were then placed into modified Clarke’s solution (4:1 ethanol to glacial acetic acid solution) then cleared in 50% bleach overnight.

For epidermal phenotyping, the second fully expanded mature leaf of seedlings was excised and a 3- to 5-cm strip midway along the proximal/distal axis of these leaves were cut out. These leaf samples were then submerged in Clarke’s solution (3:1 ethanol to glacial acetic acid solution). Following 1 h of vacuum infiltration, the samples were left in Clarke’s solution for 24 h for fixation. Once fixed, the samples were transferred into 100% ethanol. Prior to imaging, the leaf samples were cleared in 50% bleach solution overnight. The midrib of each sample was then excised and the remaining leaf sections mounted in deionized water on microscope slides for imaging. Samples were viewed by light microscopy (Olympus BX51) using differential interference contrast functionality. For confocal microscopy (Figs. 4, A and B), barley samples were prepared as described (Wuyts et al., 2010) and viewed on an Olympus FV1000 using a 20× UPlan S-Apo N.A. 0.75 objective, 543-nm laser, 555- to 655-nm emission, and Fluarview software.

Physiological Measurements

Throughout the terminal drought experiment, the light-adapted Fv/Fs was measured daily for both well-watered and water-withheld plants. The most recently fully expanded leaf of the primary tiller was selected for the measurement at day 1, and the same leaf was then monitored throughout the experiment. Readings were taken using a FluorPen FP100 (Photon Systems Instruments) with a saturating pulse of 3,000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Following the onset of the drought treatment, the pots were weighed every day and used to calculate the percentage of initial soil water content remaining. Well-watered controls were maintained at 60% soil water content.
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Leaf RWC was determined from excised leaves from well-watered or droughted plants and their fresh weight being measured immediately, and leaves were floated on water overnight and weighed to record the hydrated weight. They were oven-dried overnight and weighed to obtain their dry weight; the RWC was calculated using the following formula: RWC (%) = (fresh weight – dry weight) / (hydrated weight – dry weight) * 100.

A LI-6400 portable photosynthesis system (Licor) was used to carry out infrared gas analysis (IRGA) on the sixth, fully expanded leaf from the primary tiller while still attached to the plant. Relative humidity inside the IRGA chamber was kept at 60% to 65% using self-indicating desiccant, flow rate was set at 300 μmol s⁻¹, and leaf temperature at 20°C. Reference CO₂ was maintained at 500 ppm and light intensity at 200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Plants were allowed to equilibrate for 40 to 45 min the IRGA chamber being matched at least every 15 min. Once readings were stable measurements were taken.

Water content (fresh weight to aboveground biomass) was then calculated. The weight of pots containing water-saturated (100% water content) or oven-dried (0%) compost mix was first determined. Pots were then maintained at either 60% or 25% soil water content by weighing and addition of the appropriate amount of water every 2 d.

For carbon isotope discrimination (Fig. 6D), δ¹³C was assessed from the flag leaf of five plants from each of the two watering regimes (well-watered and restricted-watered), as described previously (Hepworth et al., 2015).

Once plants had matured and dried down, the plants were harvested, with the total number and weight of seeds per plant being recorded and the average seed weight being calculated. All aboveground vegetative tissue was dried in an oven at 80°C for 2 d and then weighed to provide the dry weight. Harvest index (ratio of yield to aboveground biomass) was then calculated.

**Statistical Analysis**

All comparisons were performed on Graph Pad Prism software. The appropriate posthoc tests were conducted once significance was confirmed using an ANOVA test and an alpha level ≤0.05 or below as significant.

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers MF095055 and MF095056 for HvEPF1 and HvEPF2 respectively.

**Supplemental Data**

The following supplemental materials are available.

- **Supplemental Figure S1.** Phylogenetic tree of predicted Arabidopsis and barley epidermal patterning factor peptide sequences constructed using Multalin.
- **Supplemental Figure S2.** Growth of barley plants is inhibited by the water-restricted conditions used in this study.
- **Supplemental Figure S3.** Plant heights of controls and HvEPF1OE-1 or HvEPF1OE-2 were not significantly different within either well-watered or water-restricted conditions.
- **Supplemental Figure S4.** Aboveground biomass of control and HvEPF1OE-1 or HvEPF1OE-2 plant lines were not significantly different under either well-watered or water-restricted conditions.
- **Supplemental Figure S5.** Schematic of the gene expression construct inserted into the barley genome to express the HvEPF1 gene.
- **Supplemental Figure S6.** qPCR results confirming significant overexpression of HvEPF1 the barley lines detailed in the manuscript.
- **Supplemental Figure S7.** Plant heights of controls and HvEPF1OE-1 or HvEPF1OE-2 were not significantly different under either well-watered or water-restricted conditions.
- **Supplemental Figure S8.** Aboveground biomass of control and HvEPF1OE-1 or HvEPF1OE-2 plant lines was not significantly different under either well-watered or water restricted conditions.
- **Supplemental Figure S9.** Schematic of the gene expression construct inserted into the barley genome to overexpress the HvEPF1 gene.

**Supplemental Figure S10.** qPCR results confirming the significant overexpression of HvEPF1.

**Supplemental Table S1.** Copy number data for transformed plant lines used in this study.

**Supplemental Table S2.** Primer sequences used for PCR and RT-qPCR detailed in “Methods.”
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