



**University of Dundee**

**No consistent association found between dental caries and body mass index in children**

Carson, Susan J.

*Published in:*  
Evidence-Based Dentistry

*DOI:*  
[10.1038/sj.ebd.6401299](https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6401299)

*Publication date:*  
2018

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Carson, S. J. (2018). No consistent association found between dental caries and body mass index in children. *Evidence-Based Dentistry*, 19(2), 38-39. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ebd.6401299>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **No Consistent Association found between Dental Caries and Body Mass Index in Children**

Susan J. Carson\*

\* Clinical Lecturer, Honorary Specialty Registrar in Dental Public Health  
Dundee Dental Hospital and School, Park Place, Dundee, DD1 4HR.

## Dental Caries and Body Mass Index in Children

### Abstract from:

Chen D, Zhi Q, Zhou Y, Tao Y, Wu L, Lin H. Association between Dental Caries and BMI in Children: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Caries Research*. 2018; 52(3): 230-45.

Question: What is the association between dental caries and the full range of Body Mass Index (BMI) classes among children?

The shared risk factor of 'diet' can provide, what seems, a straightforward link between dental caries and body weight.<sup>(1)</sup> A positive association between dental caries experience and obesity has been described within at least two previous systematic reviews.<sup>(2, 3)</sup> This association, together with the contrasting relationship between low body weight and dental caries, was also found in the Hooley et al. 2012 systematic review.<sup>(4)</sup> The number of individual studies which assess this relationship has increased over past the 5 years. This systematic review provides both an updated synthesis of the available literature and a meta-analysis which compares dental caries experience between "normal-weight" and "abnormal-weight" children.

The systematic review includes reviews of non-randomised studies therefore this summary has been informed by the recently updated AMSTAR critical appraisal tool.<sup>(5)</sup>

Inclusion criteria for systematic reviews of interventional studies such as those described within the Cochrane Handbook are often described using the PICO criteria (Population, Intervention, Comparator and Outcome).<sup>(6)</sup> The PICO equivalent for reviews of aetiology or associated risk can be to consider PICO: Population (P), Interest or phenomena of Interest (I), and Context (Co).<sup>(7)</sup> Although not explicitly stated in the PICO format, the research question of the study is "to investigate the association of dental caries with the full range of BMI classes in children by comparing the dental caries index between normal-weight and abnormal-weight". The authors state that only observational studies were included in the review if they met a number of predefined criteria. They also advise a written protocol that included objectives, a search strategy, inclusion/ exclusion criteria and a risk of bias assessment was prepared prior to starting the review.

A comprehensive literature search strategy was used and two relevant databases were searched (PubMed and Embase), as well as the Cochrane Library of Systematic Reviews. The inclusion of a search within Cochrane is interesting as systematic reviews found here tend to focus on intervention studies rather than non-randomised observational studies. The key words included within the search are listed as Medical Subject Headings (MeSH terms) and include synonyms for overweight, underweight and dental caries. The authors also searched the reference lists of included studies. If articles which described the same study population were found the most recent study was included. This can occasionally happen between epidemiological studies when researchers use the same population dataset to answer a number of research questions.

The authors provide justification for excluding 135 of the retrieved articles, although a list of the excluded studies is not provided. As suggested within the AMSTAR 2 appraisal tool,<sup>(5)</sup> both study selection and data extraction were performed in duplicate. All of the studies identified were cross-sectional in nature therefore looked at the data from a population, or representative subset, at a specific point in time.

Fourteen cross-sectional studies are included in this systematic review and meta-analysis. This includes at least ten studies which have not been included in previous systematic reviews on this topic.<sup>(2-4)</sup> The reviewers found included studies to generally be of low quality. Results from the individual studies varied with 5 showing no association, 5 showing a positive association and 3 showing a negative association between caries and BMI. The remaining study suggested a positive association between caries experience and those in the extremes of underweight and obese.

The authors pooled studies for separate meta-analyses according to dentition type (primary or permanent) and World Bank Group income economies (low- and middle-income countries or high-income countries). This subgroup evaluation suggested that overweight and obese children in high-income countries were more likely have an increased caries experience when compared to than “normal-weight” children. The reviewers acknowledge the potential risk of bias across the studies and suggest these results should be interpreted with caution.

In relation to overall confidence in the results of this review, Shea et al. “strongly recommend that individual item ratings are not combined to create an overall score” from the AMSTAR checklist. However, assessment of the critical domains would indicate that this review “has more than one

weakness but no critical flaws” and “may provide an accurate summary of the results of the available studies that were included in the review”.

## References

1. Sheiham A, Watt RG. The common risk factor approach: a rational basis for promoting oral health. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*. 2000;28(6):399-406.
2. Hayden C, Bowler JO, Chambers S, Freeman R, Humphris G, Richards D, et al. Obesity and dental caries in children: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Community dentistry and oral epidemiology*. 2013;41(4):289-308.
3. Silva AER, Menezes AMB, Demarco FF, Vargas-Ferreira F, Peres MA. Obesity and dental caries: systematic review. *Revista de Saúde Pública*. 2013;47(4):799-812.
4. Hooley M, Skouteris H, Boganin C, Satur J, Kilpatrick N. Body mass index and dental caries in children and adolescents: a systematic review of literature published 2004 to 2011. *Systematic reviews*. 2012;1(1):1.
5. Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *BMJ*. 2017;358.
6. Higgins JPT, Green S. *Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions*: Wiley Online Library; 2008.
7. Joanna Briggs I. *Joanna Briggs Institute reviewers' manual 2014 edition* [Internet]. Adelaide: JBI; 2014 [cited 2015 May 15].