

University of Dundee

AIF-EL - An OWL2-EL-compliant AIF ontology

Cerutti, Federico; Toniolo, Alice; Norman, Timothy J.; Bex, Floris; Rahwan, Iyad; Reed, Chris

Published in:

Computational Models of Argument - Proceedings of COMMA 2018

DOI:

[10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-455](https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-455)

Publication date:

2018

Document Version

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Cerutti, F., Toniolo, A., Norman, T. J., Bex, F., Rahwan, I., & Reed, C. (2018). AIF-EL - An OWL2-EL-compliant AIF ontology. In S. Modgil, K. Budzynska, J. Lawrence, & K. Budzynska (Eds.), *Computational Models of Argument - Proceedings of COMMA 2018* (Vol. 305, pp. 455-456). (Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence and Applications; Vol. 305). IOS Press. <https://doi.org/10.3233/978-1-61499-906-5-455>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

AIF-EL – An OWL2-EL-Compliant AIF Ontology

Federico CERUTTI^a, Alice TONIOLO^b, Timothy J. NORMAN^c,
Floris BEX^d, Iyad RAHWAN^e and Chris REED^f

^a Cardiff University, UK

^b University of St. Andrews, UK

^c University of Southampton, UK

^d University of Utrecht, NL

^e MIT, USA

^f University of Dundee, UK

Abstract. This paper briefly describes AIF-EL, an OWL2-EL compliant ontology for the Argument Interchange Format.

Keywords. argumentation, AIF, OWL2

1. The Argument Interchange Format and its Current OWL Version

The Argument Interchange Format (AIF) [1,4,3] is the current proposal for a standard notation for argument structures. It is based on a graph that specifies two types of nodes: information nodes (or I-nodes) and scheme nodes (or S-nodes). These are represented by two disjoint sets, $\mathcal{N}_I \cup \mathcal{N}_S = \mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}_I \cap \mathcal{N}_S = \emptyset$, where information nodes represent claims, premises, data, etc., and scheme nodes capture the application of patterns of reasoning belonging to a set $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^R \cup \mathcal{S}^C \cup \mathcal{S}^P$, $\mathcal{S}^R \cap \mathcal{S}^C = \mathcal{S}^C \cap \mathcal{S}^P = \mathcal{S}^P \cap \mathcal{S}^R = \emptyset$. Reasoning patterns can be of three types: rule of inference \mathcal{S}^R ; criteria of preference \mathcal{S}^P ; and criteria of conflicts \mathcal{S}^C .

The relation $\text{fulfils} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_S \times \mathcal{S}$ expresses that a scheme node instantiates a particular scheme. Scheme nodes, moreover, can be one of three types: rule of inference application nodes \mathcal{N}_S^{RA} ; preference application nodes \mathcal{N}_S^{PA} ; or conflict application nodes \mathcal{N}_S^{CA} , with $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{N}_S^{RA} \cup \mathcal{N}_S^{PA} \cup \mathcal{N}_S^{CA}$, and $\mathcal{N}_S^{RA} \cap \mathcal{N}_S^{PA} = \mathcal{N}_S^{PA} \cap \mathcal{N}_S^{CA} = \mathcal{N}_S^{CA} \cap \mathcal{N}_S^{RA} = \emptyset$.

Nodes are connected by edges whose semantics is implicitly defined by their use. For instance, an information node connected to a RA scheme node, with the arrow terminating in the latter, would suggest that the information node serves as a premise for the inference rule.

In 2012 an OWL version of the AIF was released¹ and, to date, it is the only version available. However, the OWL profile checker² reports 4 errors due

¹<http://www.arg.dundee.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/AIF.owl> (on 13 Apr 2018)

²<https://github.com/stain/profilechecker> (on 13 Apr 2018)

to illegal redeclaration of entities, where the same URI is used both for a Data Property and an Annotation Property [2]. In addition, when checked against the OWL2 profiles, it returns 277 violations for OWL2-EL profile.

2. AIF-EL

AIF-EL³ is a fully OWL2-EL [5] compliant version derived from the previous AIF OWL version. The OWL 2 EL profile is designed as a subset of OWL 2 that is particularly suitable for applications employing ontologies that define very large numbers of classes and/or properties; captures the expressive power used by many such ontologies; and for which ontology consistency, class expression subsumption, and instance checking can be decided in polynomial time. In addition, some commercial triple stores systems come equipped with an OWL2-EL reasoner.

In this version we solved the issues behind all the violations mentioned above: redefinitions between annotation properties and data properties have been unified into data properties to enable reasoners to properly handle them; cardinality requirements on object properties have been removed, as they raise the complexity of reasoning activities; removal of universal quantification in defining classes, but adding such pieces of information to the definition of the range of the object properties, notably `hasException_desc` and `hasPresumption_desc`.

Moreover, there has been the need to remove all the disjunctions used in the definition of the various classes. The notable examples are `Scheme_Application or Statement` that becomes `Node`; `NegativeConsequences_Inference or PositiveConsequences_Inference or PracticalReasoning_Inference` that becomes `Consequential_Inference`; and `ExpertOpinion_Inference or PositionToKnow_Inference` that require the definition of a new superclass, namely `Testimony_Inference`.

References

- [1] Carlos Iván Chesnevar, Jarred McGinnis, Sanjay Modgil, Iyad Rahwan, Chris Reed, Guillermo R. Simari, Matthew South, Gerard A. W. Vreeswijk, and Steven Willmot. Towards an argument interchange format. *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, 21(04):293, 2006.
- [2] Peter Patel-Schneider, Boris Motik, and Bijan Parsia. OWL 2 web ontology language structural specification and functional-style syntax (second edition). W3C recommendation, W3C, December 2012. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/REC-owl2-syntax-20121211/>.
- [3] Iyad Rahwan, Bitan Banihashemi, Chris Reed, Douglas Walton, and Sherief Abdallah. Representing and classifying arguments on the semantic web. *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, 26(4):487511, 2011.
- [4] Iyad Rahwan and Chris Reed. The Argument Interchange Format. In *Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence*, pages 383–402. Springer US, Boston, MA, 2009.
- [5] Zhe Wu, Boris Motik, Ian Horrocks, Bernardo Cuenca Grau, and Achille Fokoue. OWL 2 web ontology language profiles (second edition). W3C recommendation, W3C, December 2012. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2012/REC-owl2-profiles-20121211/>.

³<https://osf.io/rhjcb/download> (on 13 Apr 2018). Released under CC-BYv4. Demonstration available at <http://www.visualdataweb.de/webvowl/#iri=https://osf.io/rhjcb/download> (on 28 Jun 2018).