



University of Dundee

**Molecular Screening of VAX1 Gene Polymorphisms Uncovered the Genetic Heterogeneity of Non-Syndromic Orofacial Cleft in Saudi Arabian Patients**

Jafar Sabbagh, Heba ; Innes, Nicola; Edris Ahmed, Sherif ; Butali, Azeez; Abdulbaset Alnamnakani, Eman ; Rabah, Sari

*Published in:*  
Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers

*DOI:*  
[10.1089/gtmb.2018.0207](https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2018.0207)

*Publication date:*  
2019

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Jafar Sabbagh, H., Innes, N., Edris Ahmed, S., Butali, A., Abdulbaset Alnamnakani, E., Rabah, S., Hamdan, M. A., Alhamlan, N., Abdulhameed, F. D., Hassan, M. H. A., Bassam Al Mahdi, H., Alamoudi, N. M., Alaki, S. M., & Mossey, P. (2019). Molecular Screening of VAX1 Gene Polymorphisms Uncovered the Genetic Heterogeneity of Non-Syndromic Orofacial Cleft in Saudi Arabian Patients. *Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers*, 23(1), 45-50. <https://doi.org/10.1089/gtmb.2018.0207>

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers

## Molecular Screening of VAX1 Gene Polymorphisms Uncovered the Genetic Heterogeneity of Non-Syndromic Orofacial Cleft in Saudi Arabian Patients

Journal:	<i>Genetic Testing and Molecular Biomarkers</i>
Manuscript ID	GTMB-2018-0207.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Articles
Date Submitted by the Author:	24-Oct-2018
Complete List of Authors:	<p>Sabbagh, Heba; Assistant Professor in Pediatric Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia</p> <p>Innes, Nicola; Professor of Paediatric Dentistry, University of Dundee Dental School, Dundee, Scotland, UK</p> <p>Edris, Sherif; King Adulaziz university, Genetic medicine, Princess Al-Jawhara Al Ibrahim Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders; King Adulaziz university, Biological sciences Department; Ain Shams University, Genetics Department</p> <p>Butali, Azeez; Assistant Professor. Department of Oral Pathology, Radiology and Medicine/ Dows Institute for Dental Research, College of Dentistry. University of Iowa. Iowa City, US</p> <p>Alnamnakani, Eman; Consultant Orthodontist, Program Director in Saudi Orthodontic Board Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Head of Cleft Lip and Palate Unit, Riyadh Military Hospital Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.</p> <p>Rabah, Sari ; Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon, Chairman, Surgery Department, King Abdullah bin Abdulaziz University Hospital; Princess Noura University, Assistant Professor, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences</p> <p>Hamdan, Mustafa; Consultant Plastic Surgeon, Plastic Surgery Department, King Saud Medical City, Ministry of Health</p> <p>Alhamlan, Nasir; Director, Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontic Residency Program. Assistant Professor, King Saud bin AbdulAziz University for Health Sciences</p> <p>Abdulhameed, Fatma; Consultant Pediatric Surgeon, Pediatric Surgery Department, Madina Maternity and Children's Hospital</p> <p>Hassan, Mona; Professor of Biostatistics, Department of Dental Public Health, Faculty of Dentistry King Abdulaziz University; Professor of Biostatistics, Department of Dental Public Health, Faculty of Department of Biostatistics, High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University.</p> <p>Al Mahdi, Hadiah; King Adulaziz university, Lab Coordinator Princess Al-Jawhara Albarhim Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders, King AbdulAziz University</p> <p>Alamoudi, Najlaa; Professor of Pediatric Dentistry, Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University</p> <p>Al-Aama, Jumanah; Professor, Department of Genetic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Princess Al Jawhara Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders. King Abdulaziz University</p> <p>Alaki, Sumer; Associate professor &amp; consultant pediatric dentist. Vice</p>

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

	dean of the Faculty of Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University Mossey, Peter; Professor of Craniofacial Development, Associate Dean for Research, Director of WHO Collaborating Centre, University of Dundee Dental School
Keyword:	Mutation Detection, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR), Genes, Genetic Testing
Manuscript Keywords (Search Terms):	NSOFC, SALIVA, VAX1, SAUDI ARABIAN, REAL TIME PCR, TAQMAN ASSAY



1  
2  
3 **Molecular Screening of *VAX1* Gene Polymorphisms Uncovered the Genetic**  
4  
5 **Heterogeneity of Non-Syndromic Orofacial Cleft in Saudi Arabian Patients.**  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 Heba Jafar **Sabbagh** BDS, MSc, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Nicola PT **Innes** PhD, BDS, BMSc<sup>2</sup>, Sherif Edris  
11  
12 **Ahmed** PhD<sup>3</sup>, Azeez **Butali** DDS, Ph<sup>4</sup>, Eman Abdulbaset **Alnamnakani** MS, SB-ORTHO,  
13  
14 MOrthoRCSd<sup>5</sup>, Sari M **Rabah** MBBS, FRCSC, MHA<sup>6</sup>, Mustafa A **Hamdan** FRCsed<sup>7</sup>,  
15  
16 Nasir H **Alhamlan** FDS RCSEd, MPH, MSc (Ortho), MOrth RCSEd, FICD<sup>8</sup>, Fatma  
17  
18 Dawood **Abdulhameed** MRCS, JBPS<sup>9</sup>, Mona Hassan Ahmed **Hassan** MBChB, MPH,  
19  
20 PhD<sup>10</sup>, Hadiyah Bassam **Al Mahdi** MSc<sup>11</sup>, Najlaa M. **Alamoudi** BDS, MSc, DSc<sup>12</sup>, Jumana  
21  
22 Y. **Al-Aama** SBP, MRCP, FCCMG<sup>13</sup>, Sumer M. **Alaki** MS, MPH, DrPH<sup>14</sup>, Peter A. **Mossey**  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27 BDS, PhD<sup>15</sup>  
28  
29

30 Assistant Professor in Pediatric Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia  
31 [hjsabbagh@gmail.com](mailto:hjsabbagh@gmail.com)  
32

33 Professor of Paediatric Dentistry, University of Dundee Dental School,  
34 Dundee, Scotland, UK  
35 [n.p.innes@dundee.ac.uk](mailto:n.p.innes@dundee.ac.uk)  
36  
37

38  
39 Assistant Professor of Molecular Genetics, Department of Biology, Genomic and  
40 Biotechnology Section Faculty of Science, Princess Al-Jawhara Al-Brahim Centre of  
41 Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders (PACER-HD)  
42 Faculty of Medicine, King Abdulaziz University  
43 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia  
44 [sedris@aucegypt.edu](mailto:sedris@aucegypt.edu)  
45  
46

47 Assistant Professor. Department of Oral Pathology, Radiology and Medicine/  
48 Dows Institute for Dental Research, College of Dentistry. University of Iowa.  
49 Iowa City, US  
50 [azeez-butali@uiowa.edu](mailto:azeez-butali@uiowa.edu)  
51  
52

53  
54 Consultant Orthodontist, Program Director in Saudi Orthodontic Board  
55 Prince Sultan Military Medical City, Head of Cleft Lip and Palate Unit,  
56 Riyadh Military Hospital  
57 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.  
58 [dreman72@hotmail.com](mailto:dreman72@hotmail.com)  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Plastic and Reconstructive Surgeon,  
4 Chairman, Surgery Department,  
5 King Abdullah bin Abdulaziz University Hospital, Princess Noura University,  
6 Assistant Professor, King Saud bin Abdulaziz University for Health Sciences,  
7 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia  
8 [s\\_rabah@hotmail.com](mailto:s_rabah@hotmail.com)  
9

10  
11 Consultant Plastic Surgeon, Plastic Surgery Department,  
12 King Saud Medical City, Ministry of Health,  
13 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia  
14 [manh\\_2020@hotmail.com](mailto:manh_2020@hotmail.com)  
15

16  
17 Director, Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontic Residency Program. Assistant Professor, King  
18 Saud bin AbdulAziz University for Health Sciences,  
19 Riyadh, Saudi Arabia  
20 [alhamlann@yahoo.com](mailto:alhamlann@yahoo.com)  
21

22  
23 Consultant Pediatric Surgeon, Pediatric Surgery Department, Madina Maternity and Children's  
24 Hospital,  
25 Madina, Saudi Arabia  
26 [dr.fdad@yahoo.com](mailto:dr.fdad@yahoo.com)  
27

28  
29 Professor of Biostatistics, Department of Dental Public Health,  
30 Faculty of Dentistry King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah , Saudi Arabia  
31 Department of Biostatistics, High Institute of Public Health, Alexandria University.  
32 Alexandria, Egypt  
33 [monaha59@hotmail.com](mailto:monaha59@hotmail.com)  
34

35  
36 Research Assistant and Lab Coordinator  
37 Princess Al-Jawhara Albarhim Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders,  
38 King AbdulAziz University,  
39 Jeddah , Saudi Arabia  
40 [genetic\\_2006@yahoo.com](mailto:genetic_2006@yahoo.com)  
41

42  
43 Professor of Pediatric Dentistry, Pediatric Dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, King Abdulaziz  
44 University,  
45 Jeddah , Saudi Arabia  
46 [nalamoudi2011@gmail.com](mailto:nalamoudi2011@gmail.com)  
47

48  
49 Associate professor, Department of Genetic Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Princess Al  
50 Jawhara Center of Excellence in Research of Hereditary Disorders. King Abdulaziz  
51 University,  
52 Jeddah, Saudi Arabia  
53 [jalama@kau.edu.sa](mailto:jalama@kau.edu.sa)  
54

55  
56 Associate professor & consultant pediatric dentist. Vice dean of the Faculty of  
57 Dentistry, King Abdulaziz University  
58 Jeddah , Saudi Arabia  
59 [salagy@kau.edu.sa](mailto:salagy@kau.edu.sa)  
60

1  
2  
3 Professor of Craniofacial Development, Associate Dean for Research,  
4 Director of WHO Collaborating Centre, University of Dundee Dental School, Dundee,  
5 Scotland, UK  
6 [p.a.mossey@dundee.ac.uk](mailto:p.a.mossey@dundee.ac.uk)  
7  
8  
9

10 **Corresponding author:**  
11

12 Heba Jafar **Sabbagh**  
13

14 Assistant Professor in Pediatric Dentistry,  
15 Faculty of Dentistry- King Abdulaziz University  
16

17 P.O. Box 80209 Jeddah 21589  
18

19 Tel Office: 6401000 (23580)  
20

21 Mobile: 0505668481  
22

23 Email: [hjsabbagh@gmail.com](mailto:hjsabbagh@gmail.com)  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Abstract:**

**Objective:** Non-syndromic orofacial cleft (NSOFC), including cleft lip with/or without cleft palate (CL±P) and cleft palate (CP) are multifactorial developmental disorders with both genetic and environmental aetiological factors. This study investigated the association between (CL±P) and (CP), and two polymorphisms previously determined using GWAS, as well as, the association between consanguinity and (CL±P) and (CP).

**Methods:** DNA using saliva was extracted from 171 affected individuals and 189 control group (age, gender and location) infant-parental triads, recruited from eleven referral-hospitals in Saudi Arabia. Two polymorphisms, rs4752028 and rs7078160, located on *VAX1* gene were genotyped using real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR). A transmission disequilibrium test was carried out using Family Based Association Test and PLINK to measure the parents-of-origin effect.

**Results:** Significant differences were found between affected individuals versus the control group. In the case of rs4752028 risk allele in cleft, the phenotypes were: CL±P (fathers: OR:2.16(1.38 -3.4); mothers: OR:2.39(1.53 -3.71); and infants: OR:2.77(1.77 -4.34)); and CP (fathers: OR:2.24(1.15 -4.36); and infants: OR:2.43(1.25 -4.7). For CL±P and the rs7078160 risk allele, the phenotypes were: (fathers: OR:1.7(1.05 -2.86), mothers: OR:2.43(1.49-3.97); and infants: OR:2.34(1.44 -3.81)). In terms of consanguinity, we found significant association between consanguinity and the rs4752028 polymorphism minor allele among CL±P compared to controls (P= 0.001).

**Conclusion:** This is the first study to find a relationship between the two loci on 10q25 (rs4752028 and rs7078160) and NSOFC in a population with high consanguinity.

**Key words:** Cleft lip, cleft palate, **10q25VAX1**, consanguinity, aetiology

**Introduction:**

1  
2  
3 The aetiology of nonsyndromic orofacial cleft (NSOFC) includes cleft lip with/or without  
4 cleft palate (CL±P) and isolated cleft palate (CP) is complex. A combination of risk factors  
5  
6 contributes to its aetiology; genetics, environmental and gene-environmental interaction  
7  
8 (Mossey *et al.*, 2009). ~~Recently, two large genome~~ Genome wide association studies  
9  
10 (GWAS) identified 10q25 as a risk locus for CL±P (Beaty *et al.*, 2010; Mangold *et al.*, 2010).  
11  
12 *Ventral anterior homeobox 1 (VAX1)*, a gene that codes for a protein that plays a role in the  
13  
14 regulation of the body's developmental and morphogenesis processes, was reported to be  
15  
16 associated with infants (product of consanguinity) affected by multiple craniofacial defects  
17  
18 (Slavotinek *et al.*, 2012). In Saudi Arabia, a meta-analysis conducted in 2014 revealed that  
19  
20 consanguinity is a risk factor for NSOFC (Sabbagh *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, two single  
21  
22 nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) (rs4752028 and rs7078160) were considered plausible  
23  
24 candidates for investigation of NSOFC in a community with a high prevalence of parental  
25  
26 consanguinity as Saudi Arabia (el-Hazmi *et al.*, 1995).  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32

33 The aim of this case triad-control triad study was to investigate the association between  
34  
35 infant-parental rs4752028 and rs7078160 SNPs polymorphisms and both CL±P and CP in a  
36  
37 Saudi population. We also investigated their relationships with risk of NSOFC phenotypes in  
38  
39 the presence of parental consanguinity.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

## 46 **Materials and method:**

### 47 *Recruitment of Clinical Subjects*

48  
49 This paper is part of a series of studies on the prevalence of NSOFC (Abdulhameed *et al.*,  
50  
51 2014) and the aetiology of CL±P and CP in Saudi Arabia. Participants were recruited from  
52  
53 three main cities; Riyadh (the capital city), Jeddah (the second largest city in Saudi Arabia),  
54  
55 and Madina (one of the main cities in Saudi Arabia). Cases were recruited from neonatal  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 units, the plastic surgery departments and/or orthodontic clinics; all cases were examined in  
4  
5 the department of genetic medicine. Criteria for subject selection included: 18 months or  
6  
7 younger infants recruited from participating hospitals between January 1<sup>st</sup>, 2010 and  
8  
9 December 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011. The total case-control study sample included 171 case triads and 189  
10  
11 control triads. The age, gender, and recruiting hospitals were matched in both the cases and  
12  
13 control groups. The controls were healthy non-cleft infants that were selected at random from  
14  
15 the neonatal or vaccination units. The control group, including parents and infants, were not  
16  
17 affected by clefting of the lip and/or palate.  
18  
19  
20  
21

22  
23 Triads with missing information or those who failed to give saliva samples were excluded  
24  
25 from the analysis. In addition; infants with syndromes in case or control groups were  
26  
27 excluded from the study., those over 18 months of age or controls with parents who had  
28  
29 orofacial clefts, were excluded from the study.  
30  
31

32  
33 Ethical approval for this study was granted by King Abdulaziz University Hospital (359-10),  
34  
35 Ministry of Health (C/47/302/38430), the Military Hospitals Institutional Research Review  
36  
37 Board (IRB) (429/2011), and King Fahad Medical City (10-079). A questionnaire using  
38  
39 yes/no questions was distributed to participants to collect personal information and ;  
40  
41 consanguinity information the details shown in supplementary table S1 and S2, and  
42  
43 environmental factors associated. Interviews were conducted with parents to understand the  
44  
45 type of consanguinity.  
46  
47  
48

#### 49 *Clinical Sampling*

50  
51  
52 Saliva samples were collected from infants and parents in both groups (case and control).  
53  
54 Oragene kits were used for both samples; from the parents we used (OG-500), however, for  
55  
56 the infants we used (OG-575). A consent form for both groups was signed by one of the  
57  
58 parents.  
59  
60

### *Genetic Analysis*

DNA was extracted by using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Catalogue # 51306). Quality and quantity measurement were evaluated using Qubit® 2.0 Fluorometer. Amplification of the two polymorphism, rs4752028 and rs7078160, was done using 7500 FAST Real-Time PCR (Applied Biosystem, Int.) by TaqMan® Genotyping assay and TaqMan Genotyping master mix (Applied Biosystem, int.). Samples were analysed by TaqMan® Genotyper Software (Applied Biosystem, int.) for scatter plot analysis. Supplementary Table ~~S1~~-S3 shows the characteristics of the two polymorphisms.

### *Statistical analysis*

Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) tests were carried out using an online program ([www.dr-petrek.eu/documents/HWE.xls](http://www.dr-petrek.eu/documents/HWE.xls)) (<http://www.oege.org/software/hwe-mr-calc.shtml>) (~~Purcell et al., 2007~~) to look for indications of inbreeding, population stratification, and problems in genotyping. This was carried out using chi-squared goodness of fit test with P-values of 0.05 to compare differences between the observed and expected values of the included homozygous and heterozygous genotype frequencies (Wigginton *et al.*, 2005). A transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) was carried out using Family Based Association Test (FBAT), and PLINK which was also used to measure the parents of origin effect. Comparison of polymorphism frequencies among CL±P and CP cases compared to controls were analysed using Chi Square test.

In addition, to detect which of the three types of polymorphisms provided the significant relationship, and to acknowledge the burden on type-1 error rate the threshold for declaring

1  
2  
3 statistical significance based on Bonferroni correction was determined to be  $p = 0.00056$   
4  
5 using SPSS version 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).  
6

7  
8 The degree of association between allele frequency with CL±P and CP and also with parental  
9  
10 consanguinity, compared to controls, were estimated by measuring the odds ratio (OR) and  
11  
12 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) using an online program  
13  
14 (<http://www.quantpsy.org/chisq/chisq.htm>). OR and 95% CI were also used to measure the  
15  
16 degree of association between rs4752028 and rs7078160 SNPs polymorphisms variants and  
17  
18 parental consanguinity among oral cleft infants compared to controls. In addition,  
19  
20 multinomial logistic regression was carried out to measure the interaction between  
21  
22 consanguinity and genotype variant among NSOFC compared to control.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27

### 28 **Results:**

29  
30 Out of the 171 NSOFC case-parental triads, 10 cases could not be grouped to a cleft  
31  
32 phenotype because of missing information, resulting in; 161 nsOFC (127 CL±P; and 34 CP)  
33  
34 cases for our analysis. In addition, 16 fathers out of the 189 control parental triads did not  
35  
36 provide a saliva sample. First cousin marriages accounted for 55/86 (64%) of the NSOFC and  
37  
38 60/92 (65.2%) of the controls, out of the total parental consanguinity in these triads.  
39  
40  
41  
42

43  
44 The case and control parental homozygous and heterozygous polymorphism frequencies in  
45  
46 rs4752028 were aligned to HWE except for paternal control (0.039). However, there were  
47  
48 significant differences between the observed and expected values for both parental cases and  
49  
50 controls at rs7078160 locus with  $p < 0.00105$  ~~except for NSOFC fathers ( $p=0.060$ )~~. See  
51  
52 Supplementary Table (S32).  
53  
54  
55

56  
57 The transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) for rs4752028 and rs7078160, using FBAT and  
58  
59 PLINK tests (Tables 1 and 2). No statistically significant over-transmission of the minor  
60

1  
2  
3 allele (C in rs4752028 and A in rs7078160) was found in CL±P or CP families. In addition,  
4  
5  
6 PLINK tests found no parents of origin relationship (Supplementary Table S53). For CP, the  
7  
8 number of heterozygous alleles was insufficient to produce a P-value in FBAT analysis and  
9  
10 the data were not included in Table S3S5.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16

### 17 ***Comparison between case and control rs4752028 and rs7078160 genotypes and alleles***

18  
19  
20 Table 3 shows the distribution of rs4752028 and rs7078160 genotypes in case and control  
21  
22 infant-parental triads. There were statistically significant differences between cases and  
23  
24 controls in (rs4752028 and rs7078160) genotypes in infant-parental triads for CL±P and CP  
25  
26 cases.  
27  
28  
29

30 After Chi Square adjustment using Bonferroni correction in infant-parent triads for  
31  
32 rs4752028 SNP, in fathers; the homozygous TT common allele genotype was detected  
33  
34 significantly more often in controls than in cases for CL±P and CP ( $P < 0.05$ ). Furthermore,  
35  
36 the heterozygous CT genotype was significantly more prevalent in cases than in controls for  
37  
38 the different cleft phenotypes ( $p < 0.05$ ). For mothers and infants, the homozygous CC minor  
39  
40 allele genotype was significantly associated with CL±P cases compared to controls; the  
41  
42 homozygous TT common allele genotype was detected significantly more often in controls  
43  
44 compared to CL±P; and the heterozygous CT genotype was present significantly more often  
45  
46 in CL±P and CP except in mothers of CP infants.  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 For rs7078160 SNP frequencies after Chi Square adjustment using Bonferroni correction, the  
52  
53 homozygous AA minor allele genotype was significantly more frequent in CL±P infants  
54  
55 compared to controls ( $p < 0.0056$ ). The heterozygous AG genotype was significantly more  
56  
57 frequent in control infants compared to CL±P infants ( $p < 0.0056$ ).  
58  
59  
60

The frequency of the rs4752028 and rs7078160 minor alleles in case and control infant-parental triads CL±P and CP was compared. Significant differences between cases versus controls for rs4752028 risk allele in cleft phenotypes were: CL±P (fathers: OR:2.16 (1.38 - 3.4); mothers: OR:2.39 (1.53 - 3.71); and infants: OR:2.77 (1.77 - 4.34)); and CP (fathers: OR:2.24 (1.15 - 4.36); and infants: OR:2.43 (1.25 - 4.7). For CL±P and rs7078160, these were: fathers: OR: 1.7 (1.05 - 2.86), mothers: OR: 2.43 (1.49 - 3.97); and infants: OR: 2.34 (1.44 - 3.81). Consanguinity was significantly related to the rs4752028 polymorphism minor allele among CL±P compared to controls (P= 0.001, OR: 2.97 (1.54 - 5.76)). See supplementary [S4S6](#).

For rs7078160 SNP, there were statistically significant differences between CL±P cases and controls; fathers with significantly greater frequency of the minor A allele in CL±P cases compared to controls (P<0.05). However, this relationship was not statistically significant for CP.

***Paternal consanguinity and infant rs4752028 and rs7078160 genotype variants as risk factors for CL±P and CP***

CL±P, CP cases and controls were distributed according to parental consanguinity and then compared according to rs4752028 and rs7078160 infant-parental triad genotype variance. There were no statistically significant differences found in either analysis (p>0.05) (Supplementary Tables [S5-S7](#) and [S6S8](#)). In addition, multinomial logistic regression with nsOFC as an outcome variable, consanguinity as main effect and phenotype as main effect with interaction term of the last two variables was carried out. It indicated significant main effect of genotype (P=0.0001 for rs4752028 and P=0.05 rs7078160) with no significant effect of consanguinity or interaction between them (P=0.5 for rs4752028 and P=0.2 for rs7078160)

1  
2  
3 Finally, when infants' rs4752028 and rs7078160 minor allele frequencies in CL±P and CP  
4 cases were compared to controls, there were more CL±P and CP cases with consanguineous  
5 parents and the minor C allele at rs4752028, but this was statistically significant for CL±P  
6 only (P= 0.001, OR: 2.97 (1.54 to 5.76). However, for rs7078160, although the minor A  
7 allele prevalence was higher in CL±P (13.4%) compared to controls (7.7%), the difference  
8 was not statistically significant (P=0.081, OR: 1.93 (0.92 to 4.04). See Table 4.  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

### 21 **Discussion:**

22  
23  
24 Our study showed statistically significant differences in the genotype variance and allele  
25 frequencies between CL±P and CP cases compared to control infant-parental triads.  
26 However, the FBAT and PLINK analysis did not show significant over-transmission of the  
27 rs4752028 and rs7078160 SNP alleles and parents of origin effect in NSOFC cases.  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33

34 In this study, we selected cases and controls from the same hospitals, however, it was not  
35 possible to match ethnicity. Saudis, especially in the Western Region, have been of mixed  
36 ethnicity for hundreds of years. People from all over the world, of different ethnic origins,  
37 have travelled to Makkah and Madina on pilgrimage, then settled and mixed races through  
38 marriage. Additionally, Saudi Arabia has a unique geographic location between the three  
39 continents Asia, Africa and Europe, as a result of this, it can be difficult to group people  
40 according to their ethnicity in Saudi Arabia. Although they are generally considered  
41 Caucasian (Risch *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, Lewonin (2006) reported that every population has  
42 a separate geographic race and that they differ genetically to some degree from every other  
43 population. This emphasizes the need to carry out genetic research for each population.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Our sample met the HWE in rs4752028 SNP suggesting that our sample resembled expected  
4 population genotype frequencies for this study (Pritchard and Korf, 2008). However, both  
5  
6 population genotype frequencies for this study (Pritchard and Korf, 2008). However, both  
7  
8 cases and controls at rs7078160 had significant differences between the observed and  
9  
10 expected values of the included homozygous and heterozygous genotype frequencies  
11  
12 suggesting the absence of random mating. This could be explained by the high prevalence of  
13  
14 paternal consanguinity in the target population (el-Hazmi *et al.*, 1995).  
15  
16

17  
18 The infant case-control results do not represent independent replication of the results from  
19  
20 parental groups. Moreover, due to consanguineous mating, the maternal and paternal case-  
21  
22 controls results are not fully independent of each other. Therefore, this study examined and  
23  
24 explained two methods of transmission of the alleles in question, the TDT and case-control  
25  
26 analysis; and (TDT) remains robust for linkage in the presence of consanguineous  
27  
28 populations. Autozygosity mapping might have been another consideration, which assumes  
29  
30 the identical-by-descent co-transmission of mutations (Oliveira *et al.*, 2017), and this (also  
31  
32 called consanguinity mapping) has not been applied to nonsyndromic OFC, in part because  
33  
34 parental consanguinity is uncommon in places where research efforts have historically been  
35  
36 carried out. This would assume no genetic heterogeneity and tight linkage of a disease gene  
37  
38 with DNA markers.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 An association between 10q25 locus and CL±P was supported by Leslie *et al.*, (2017) who  
45  
46 reported in their Genome-wide meta-analyses of nonsyndromic orofacial clefts that SNPS on  
47  
48 10q25 approached genome wide significance in NSOFC and CL±P groups among Asians.  
49  
50

51 Our rs4752028 and rs7078160 SNPs polymorphisms association finding was further  
52  
53 supported by the results of Butali *et al.*, (2013) (15) in their replication of GWAS signals on  
54  
55 651 case-parental triads (Asian (494 infant-parent triads) and European (157 infant-parent  
56  
57 triads) populations). FBAT analysis revealed a statistically significant strong association in  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 the transmission of the rs7078160 SNP among the Asian population ( $p < 0.001$ ) but found no  
4  
5 significant association in the European population, similar to our findings. However, their  
6  
7 comparison of cases with controls in the Asian population showed an increased frequency of  
8  
9 the common G allele compared to controls, which differed from our findings. Such  
10  
11 differences could indicate ethnic and geographic variation between the Saudi population,  
12  
13 which are Caucasians, and the Asian population in the genetic aetiology of CL±P.  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 Although the CP sample was small, it was still interesting to study a possible link with the  
19  
20 included variants that could give preliminary information for planning future research.  
21  
22 Rs4752028 was the only SNP examined that showed association with CP compared to  
23  
24 controls ( $p = 0.015$  for father,  $p = 0.049$  for mothers and  $p = 0.009$  for infants). However, as the  
25  
26 sample of CP in this study is considered small (34), this finding could only suggest a trend of  
27  
28 association. Furthermore, Butali *et al.*, (2013) reported no significant association between  
29  
30 rs4752028 and CP. Also, Duan *et al.*, (2017) reported parents of origin effect and no  
31  
32 association between rs7078160 and rs4752028 SNPs and CP. However, their finding was  
33  
34 concluded from TDT (FBAT) analysis and not from a case-control design. As *10q25* is a  
35  
36 recently discovered locus in terms of risk for CL±P and CP, studies that clarify the  
37  
38 relationship between NSOFC and rs7078160 and rs4752028 polymorphisms are still  
39  
40 required.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 A systematic review of parental consanguinity and NSOFC revealed a significant association  
47  
48 (Sabbagh *et al.*, 2014). At the same time, *VAXI* mutation was previously reported to be  
49  
50 associated with birth defects in a sample with consanguineous parental marriages (Slavotinek  
51  
52 *et al.*, 2012). The relationship between rs7078160 and rs4752028 and consanguinity in case  
53  
54 compared to control infants was analysed. For both SNPs, the minor allele was found more  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 often in CL±P cases with consanguineous parents compared to controls (Table 5). However,  
4  
5 it was only statistically significant for rs4752028 ( $p= 0.001$ , OR: 2.97 (1.54 to 5.76)).  
6  
7

### 8 **Conclusion:**

9  
10  
11 This is the first study to describe the relationship between two SNPS, rs4752028 and  
12  
13 rs7078160, and NSOFC in a population with a high rate of consanguinity. There is an  
14  
15 apparent association between rs4752028 and rs7078160 SNPs polymorphisms and both  
16  
17 CL±P and CP in the Saudi population, but larger samples are needed for confirmation and  
18  
19 definitive evidence. In addition, further investigation in the Saudi population, as well as,  
20  
21 other populations is required to ensure consistency, and confirm the limits of the association  
22  
23 study. Furthermore, it is not possible to expand this study to include other variants in or near  
24  
25 the 10q25 loci or other genes, but due to resources limitations, it will be postponed.  
26  
27 Therefore, future genome wide study, gene-gene interaction and gene-environmental  
28  
29 interaction / epigenetics research is recommended to further clarify the aetiology of CL±P  
30  
31 and CP. Confirmation of a positive association between consanguinity, NSOFC, and genetics  
32  
33 will have a great implication for parental counselling and public health.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Declaration section:**

Ethical approval for this study was granted by the King Abdulaziz University Hospital (359-10), Ministry of Health (C/47/302/38430), the Military Hospitals Institutional Research Review Board (IRB) (429/2011) and the King Fahad Medical City (10-079).

- The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests'.
- This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah under grant No. (4/165/1431).

**Acknowledgments:**

This project was funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah (Grant No. 4/165/1431); and was conducted with the support of the University of Dundee Dental School World Health Organization Collaborating Centre for Oral Health and Craniofacial Anomalies. The authors also acknowledge the support of Princess Al-Jawhara Albrahim of Excellence for Hereditary Disorders (PACER-HD) where the laboratory experiments of this project were carried out.

The authors thank the research committees of the Ministry of Health in Riyadh, Jeddah, and Madina, the research committees and of King Saud Medical City, Riyadh National Guard Hospital, King Fahad Medical City, King Fahad Armed Hospital, and King Abdulaziz Medical City, and Dr Hassan Al-Naeem at King Fahad Hospital; Zamzam Ebrahim Al-Hakami and Nouf Al-Beshri at Al-Messadia Maternity Hospital; Dr Safinaz Salamah and Ebtisam Hussain at Al-Azizia Maternity Hospital; Mervat Ali Sayed and all nurses at King Abdulaziz University Hospital; Dr Mosleh Saad Alharbi, Dania Baeasa, and Dr Mamoon Daghestani at King Abdulaziz Medical City; and Dr Manal Al-Malik, Dr Fawzia Sabbagh, Dr Mawahib Abuauf, and Mariam Malope at King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital. Dr. Wamda Helal, Dr. Ahmed Mustafa Hamdan, and Dr. Bassem Mohamad Gesrha at King Saud Medical City.

**References:**

- Abdulhameed FD, Sabbagh HJ, Hummida TI, *et al.* (2014). Epidemiology of non-syndromic orofacial cleft (NSOFC) in Medina, Saudi Arabia. *Exp Clin Cardiol* 20: 505-516.
- Beaty TH, Murray JC, Marazita ML, *et al.* (2010). A genome-wide association study of cleft lip with and without cleft palate identifies risk variants near MAFB and ABCA4. *Nat Genet* 42(6): 525-9.
- Butali A, Suzuki S, Cooper ME, *et al.* (2013). Replication of genome wide association identified candidate genes confirm the role of common and rare variants in PAX7 and VAX1 in the etiology of nonsyndromic CL(P). *Am J Med Genet A* 161A(5): 965-72.
- Duan SJ, Huang N, Zhang BH, *et al.* (2017). New insights from GWAS for the cleft palate among han Chinese population. *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 22(2): e219-e227.
- el-Hazmi MA, al-Swailem AR, Warsy AS, *et al.* (1995). Consanguinity among the Saudi Arabian population. *J Med Genet* 32(8): 623-6.
- Leslie EJ, Carlson JC, Shaffer JR, *et al.* (2017). Genome-wide meta-analyses of nonsyndromic orofacial clefts identify novel associations between FOXE1 and all orofacial clefts, and TP63 and cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Hum Genet* 136(3): 275-286.
- Lewonin RC. (2006). Confusion about human races: Race and genomics, social sciences research council. Available at <http://raceandgenomics.ssrc.org/Lewontin/>, accessed May 23, 2015,
- Mangold E, Ludwig KU, Birnbaum S, *et al.* (2010). Genome-wide association study identifies two susceptibility loci for nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Nat Genet* 42(1): 24-6.
- Mossey PA, Little J, Munger RG, *et al.* (2009). Cleft lip and palate. *Lancet* 374(9703): 1773-85.

- 1  
2  
3 Oliveira J, Pereira R, Santos R, *et al.* (2017). Homozygosity Mapping using Whole-Exome  
4 Sequencing: A Valuable Approach for Pathogenic Variant Identification in Genetic  
5 Diseases. The 10th International Joint Conference on Biomedical Engineering  
6 Systems and Technologies. Porto, Portugal, BIOINFORMATICS. 3: 210-216.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12 Pritchard DJ and Korf BR (2008). Medical genetics at a glance. Malden, MA, Oxford:  
13 Blackwell Publishing.  
14  
15  
16  
17 Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, *et al.* (2007). PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome  
18 association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* 81(3): 559-75.  
19  
20  
21 Risch N, Burchard E, Ziv E, *et al.* (2002). Categorization of humans in biomedical research:  
22 genes, race and disease. *Genome Biol* 3(7): comment2007.  
23  
24  
25  
26 Sabbagh HJ, Hassan MH, Innes NP, *et al.* (2014). Parental consanguinity and nonsyndromic  
27 orofacial clefts in children: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *Cleft Palate*  
28 *Craniofac J* 51(5): 501-13.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33 Slavotinek AM, Chao R, Vacik T, *et al.* (2012). VAX1 mutation associated with  
34 microphthalmia, corpus callosum agenesis, and orofacial clefting: the first description  
35 of a VAX1 phenotype in humans. *Hum Mutat* 33(2): 364-8.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40 Wigginton JE, Cutler DJ and Abecasis GR (2005). A note on exact tests of Hardy-Weinberg  
41 equilibrium. *Am J Hum Genet* 76(5): 887-93.  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Table 1** Transmission Disequilibrium Test (TDT) results for rs4752028 and rs7078160 variants among nsOFC infant parental triads and its phenotypes (CL±P and CP) using Family Based Association Test (FBAT) analysis.

Type of nsOFC	Allele	afreq	fam#	P-value	OR and 95% CI
<b>rs4752028</b>					
CL±P	C	0.233	53	0.651	1.1 (0.71 to 1.71)
CP	C	0.221	14	1.00	1 (0.4 to 2.3)
<b>rs7078160</b>					
CL±P	A	0.128	45	0.327	0.76 (0.44 to 1.32)

afreq: Estimating allele frequencies

The transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) for rs4752028 and rs7078160, using FBAT and PLINK tests *Table 1*

**Table 1** : Testing rs4752028 and rs7078160 for transmission disequilibrium using PLINK analysis for nsOFC infant-parental triads and cleft phenotypes (CL±P and CP).

nsOFC	Transmitted/ Untransmitted minor alleles	P-value	OR	A:U_PAR	P-value	Combined statistics P-value
<b>rs4752028</b>						
CL±P	41/37	0.651	1.11	01:01	1	0.655
CP	11/11	1	1	00:00	NA	1
<b>rs7078160</b>						
CL±P	22/29	0.327	0.759	02:01	0.564	0.414
CP	2/5	0.257	0.4	00:00	NA	0.257

A:U\_PAR: Parental discordance counts by counting the number of alleles in affected versus unaffected parents

OR: Odd ratio

CL±P: Cleft lip with or without cleft palate

CP: Cleft palate

transmission disequilibrium test (TDT) for rs4752028 and rs7078160, using FBAT and PLINK tests **Table 1**).

**Table 1** Distribution of rs4752028 and rs7078160 infant-parental triad genotypes according to nsOFC phenotypes (CL±P and CP) and compared to controls.

Genotype	CL±P	CP	Control
<b>rs4752028<sup>a</sup></b>			
<b>Paternal genotype (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	122	33	168
TT*	73 (59.8)	18 (54.5)	134 (79.8)
CT	44 (36.1)	15 (45.5)	29 (17.3)
CC	5 (4.1)	0	5 (2.9)
P-value	0.001**	0.001**	
<b>Maternal genotype (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	126	34	187
TT*	80 (63.5)	23 (67.6)	151 (80.7)
CT	36 (28.6)	9 (26.5)	32 (17.1)
CC	10 (7.9)	2 (5.9)	4 (2.1)
P-value	0.001**	0.180	
<b>Infant genotype (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	120	35	188
TT*	72 (60)	21 (60)	153 (81.4)
CT	39 (32.5)	13 (37.1)	32 (17)
CC	9 (7.5)	1 (2.9)	3 (1.6)
P-value	<0.001**	0.020**	
<b>rs7078160<sup>b</sup></b>			
<b>Paternal genotype (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	119	34	167
GG*	86 (72.3)	26 (76.5)	141 (84.4)
AG	28 (23.5)	5 (14.7)	19 (11.4)
AA	5 (4.2)	3 (8.8)	7 (4.2)
P-value	0.150	0.320	
<b>Maternal genotype (frequency (%))</b>			
GG*	90 (71.4)	29 (85.3)	164 (86.8)
AG	27 (21.4)	3 (8.8)	19 (10.1)
AA	9 (7.1)	2 (5.9)	6 (3.2)
Total	126	34	189
P-value	0.004**	0.730	
<b>Infant genotype (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	122	35	186

GG*	90 (73.8)	32 (91.4)	157 (84.4)
AG	20 (16.4)	3 (8.6)	26 (14)
AA	12 (9.8)	0	3 (1.6)
P-value	0.003**	0.490	

\* The homozygous common allele genotype

\*\*The P value is significant at the 0.05 level.

<sup>a</sup> Eleven (6 cases and 5 controls) paternal samples, 5 (3 cases and two controls) maternal samples and 7 infant samples (6 cases and one control) did not produce genotyping values for rs4752028. The phenotype diagnosis for ten nsOFC cases are missing

<sup>b</sup> Fourteen (8 cases and 6 controls) paternal samples, one maternal sample and 7 infant samples (5 cases and 3 controls) did not produce genotyping values for rs7078160. The phenotype diagnoses for ten nsOFC cases are missing,

CL±P: Cleft lip with or without cleft palate, CP: Cleft palate

**Table 1** shows the distribution of rs4752028 and rs7078160 genotypes in case and control infant-parental triads. There were statistically significant differences between cases and controls in (rs4752028 and rs7078160) genotypes in infant-parental triads for CL±P and CP cases.



**Table 1** Distribution of infant rs4752028 alleles in cases and controls with consanguineous parents.

Allele type	CL±P	CP	Control
<b>rs4752028</b> ( $\chi^2=28.28$ , $df=2$ , $P<0.0001^{**}$ )			
Total	130	43	182
T*	101 (77.7)	34 (81.4)	166 (91.2)
C	29 (22.3)	8 (18.6)	16 (8.8)
P-value	0.001**	0.059	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	2.97 (1.54, 5.76)	2.44 (0.97, 6.16)	
<b>rs7078160</b> ( $\chi^2=6.11$ , $df=2$ , $P=0.047^{**}$ )			
Total	134	42	182
G*	112 (86.6)	41 (97.7)	168 (92.3)
A	18 (13.4)	1 (2.3)	14 (7.7)
P-value	0.081	0.290	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	1.93 (0.92, 4.04)	0.29 (0.04, 2.29)	

\*\*The P value is significant at the 0.05 level

\* Common allele

CL±P: Cleft lip with or without cleft palate

CP: Cleft palate

OR (CI): Odd ratio and 95% Confidence interval

See **Table 1**

**Table S1:** Demographic characteristics of included sample

Demographic variable	NSOFC/ N=171	Control / N=189	P value
<b>Location:</b>			
Riyadh	62 (36.2%)	68 (36%)	0.83
Jeddah	71 (41.5%)	82 (43.4%)	
Maddina	38 (22.2%)	39 (20.6%)	
<b>Child gender:</b>			
Male	105 (61.4%)	114 (60.3)	0.441
Female	66 (38.6%)	75 (39.7)	
<b>Age:</b>			
Child	Mean: 6.39months SD:5.485	Mean: 7.99 SD: 5.542	0.008*
Father	Mean: 35.83 years SD: 8.545	Mean 34.79 years SD: 6.870	0.211
Mother	Mean: 29.38 years SD: 6.02	Mean: 28.89 years SD: 5.6	0.442

N: total number of families

n: total number of children

Significant at 0.05

**Table S2:** Distribution of the sample according to location, parental age and consanguinity

Variable	NSOFC/ N=171	Control / N=189	P value
<b>Sample location:</b>			
Riyadh	62 (36.2%)	68 (36%)	0.83
Jeddah	71 (41.5%)	82 (43.4)%	
Maddina	38 (22.2%)	39 (20.6%)	
<b>Consanguinity</b>			
Yes	86 (50.3%)	92 (49.5%)	0.76
1 <sup>st</sup> cousins	55 (32.2%)	60 (31.7%)	0.93
<b>Mean parental Age:</b>			
Father	Mean: 35.83 years SD: 8.545	Mean 34.79 years SD: 6.870	0.211
Mother	Mean: 29.38 years SD: 6.02	Mean: 28.89 years SD: 5.6	0.442

N: total number of families

SD: Stander of deviation

**Table S3.** Polymorphism characteristics investigated

Gene Symbol	SNP ID	Chromosomal position	Variation	TaqMan Assay ID	[VIC/FAM]
VAX1	rs4752028	10:117075480	T>C	C__27883342_10	[C/T]
	rs7078160	10:117068049	G>A	C__31975118_10	[A/G]

**Table S4.** The observed frequency (OF) and expected frequency (EF) for rs4752028 and rs7078160 genotypes using the Hardy-Weinberg frequency calculation.

Groups	Cases			Controls		
	Common homozygous	Heterozygous	Rare homozygous	Common homozygous	Heterozygous	Rare homozygous
<b>Paternal rs4752028 cases=165, controls=168</b>						
Observed	95	65	5	134	29	5
Expected	98.5	58	8.5	131.3	34.47	2.26
$\chi^2$ , P value	2.44, 0.12			4.23, 0.039**		
<b>Maternal rs4752028 cases=168, controls=187</b>						
Observed	104	52	12	151	32	4
Expected	100	58.8	8.6	149	35.7	2.14
$\chi^2$ , P value	2.25, 0.133			2.03, 0.154		
<b>Paternal rs7078160 cases=163, controls=165</b>						
Observed	120	35	8	141	18	6
Expected	116	43	4	135.6	29.7	1.63
$\chi^2$ , P value	5.666, 0.017**			19.07, 0.000013**		
<b>Maternal rs7078160 cases=170, controls=189</b>						
Observed	127	31	12	164	19	6
Expected	119.45	46.1	4.45	159.27	28.46	1.27
$\chi^2$ (df), P value	18.24, 0.000019**			20.9, 0.000005**		

\*\*The Chi-square statistic was considered significant at the 0.05 level

**Table S5.** Testing rs4752028 and rs7078160 for parent of origin using PLINK analysis for CL/P infant-parental triads and -phenotypes (CL±P and CP).

	T:U_PAT	Paternal P-value	T:U_MAT	Maternal P-value	POO Z test	POO P-value
<b>rs4752028</b>						
CL±P	22.5:20.5	0.760	18.5:16.5	0.735	-0.047	0.962
CP	07:07	1	04:04	1	0	1
<b>rs7078160</b>						
CL±P	10:15	0.317	12:14	0.695	-0.443	0.658
CP	1.5:2.5	0.617	0.5:2.5	1	0.59	0.555

POO: Parents of Origin

T:U PAT: Paternal transmitted: untransmitted counts

T:U MAT: Maternal transmitted: untransmitted counts

OR: Odd ratio

CL±P: Cleft lip with or without cleft palate

CP: Cleft palate

**Table S6.** Distribution of rs4752028 and rs7078160 infant-parental triad allele frequencies in nsOFC, CL±P and CP cases compared to controls.

Allele type	CL±P	CP	Control
<b>rs4752028</b>			
<b>Paternal allele (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	244	66	336
T*	190 (77.9)	51 (77.3)	297 (87.9)
C	54 (22.1)	15 (22.7)	39 (2.9)
P-value	0.001**	0.015**	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	2.16 (1.38, 3.40)	2.24 (1.15, 4.36)	
<b>Maternal allele (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	252	68	374
T*	196 (77.7)	55 (80.8)	334 (89.3)
C	56 (22.2)	13 (19.1)	40 (10.7)
P-value	<0.001**	0.049**	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	2.39 (1.53, 3.71)	1.97 (0.99, 3.93)	
<b>Infant allele (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	240	70	376
T*	183 (68.8)	55 (78.6)	338 (89.9)
C	57 (31.2)	15 (21.4)	38 (10.1)
P-value	<0.001**	0.009**	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	2.77 (1.77, 4.34)	2.43 (1.25, 4.7)	
<b>rs7078160</b>			
<b>Paternal allele (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	238	68	334
G*	200 (84)	57 (83.8)	301 (90.1)
A	38 (16)	11 (16.2)	33 (9.9)
P-value	0.030**	0.129	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	1.73 (1.05, 2.86)	1.76 (0.84, 3.68)	
<b>Maternal allele (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	252	68	378
G	207 (82.1)	61 (89.7)	347 (87.8)
A	45 (17.9)	7 (10.3)	31 (8.2)
P-value	<0.001**	0.569	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	2.43 (1.49, 3.97)	1.28 (0.54, 3.05)	
<b>Infants allele (frequency (%))</b>			
Total	244	70	372
G	200 (82)	67 (95.7)	340 (91.4)
A	44 (18)	3 (8.6)	32 (1.6)
P-value	<0.001**	0.230	
<b>OR (CI)</b>	2.34 (1.44, 3.81)	0.48 (0.14, 1.6)	

\* The homozygous common allele genotype

\*\*The P value is significant at the 0.05 level.

CL±P: Cleft lip with or without cleft palate, CP: Cleft palate, OR (CI): Odd ratio and 95% Confidence interval

**Table S7.** Distribution of infant rs4752028 and rs7078160 genotypes in cases and controls according to parental consanguinity.

Consanguinity	CL/P			CP			Control		
<b>rs4752028</b>									
Total infants	118 <sup>C</sup>			33 <sup>C</sup>			167 <sup>C</sup>		
Genotype	TT*	CC	CT	TT*	CC	CT	TT*	CC	CT
Total	70	9	39	20	1	12	138	3	26 %
Yes%	60	66.7	43.6	70	100	50	55.8	100	46.2
No %	40	33.3	56.4	30	0	50	54.2	0	55.8
OR (95%CI)		1.3 (0.31, 5.8)	0.52 (0.23, 1.14)		a	0.43 (0.10, 1.89)		a	0.68(0.3, 1.57)
$\chi^2$ (df), P-value	4.88 (2), 0.087			1.89 (2), 0.390			3.31 (2), 0.190		
<b>rs7078160</b>									
Total infants	116 <sup>C</sup>			33 <sup>C</sup>			166 <sup>C</sup>		
Genotype	GG*	AA	AG	GG*	AA	AG	GG*	AA	AG
Total N	87	11	18	30	0	3	140	2	24
Yes %	60.9	54.4	33.3	66.7	0	33.3	56.4	100	41.7
No %	39.1	45.5	66.7	33.3	0	66.7	43.6	0	58.3
OR (CI)		0.77 (0.22, 2.72)	0.32(0.11, 0.94)*		a	0.25 (0.02 to 3.1)		a	0.64 (0.26,1.59)
$\chi^2$ (df), P-value	4.62 (2), 0.099			1.31 (1), 0.252			2.55 (2), 0.279		

\* Homozygous common allele genotype

\*\*Significant level at  $P \leq 0.05$

a. Not possible to analyze because the groups contain zero values

<sup>C</sup> Among rs4752028: two CL/P, two CP and 22 controls did not have their genotyping and/or paternal consanguinity information completed. Among rs7078160 <sup>C</sup> 10 nsOFC, 3 CL/P, one CP, and one control did not have their genotype and/or their paternal consanguinity information completed.

d Among rs4752028: there were two undiagnosed phenotypes. Among rs7078160: there were four undiagnosed nsOFC cases

**Table S8.** Comparison between case and control infant rs4752028 genotypes and their relationship to parental consanguinity.

Consanguinity	TT*			CC			CT		
	CL/P	CP	Control	CL/P	CP	Control	CL/P	CP	Control
<b>rs4752028</b>									
Total N	70	22	138	9	1	3	39	12	26
Yes %	60	63.6	55.8	66.7	100	100	43.6	50	46.2
No %	40	36.4	54.2	33.3	0	0	56.4	50	55.8
OR (95% CI)	1.9 (0.66- 2.13)	1.3 (0.5- 3.5)		a	a		0.9 (0.33- 2.44)	1.17 (0.3- 4.6)	
$\chi^2$ (df), P-value	1.17 (3), 0.770		1.33 (1), 0.514			0.41 (2), 0.813			
<b>rs7078160</b>									
Total N	87	30	138	11	0	2	18	3	24
Yes %	60.9	66.7	56.4	54.4	0	100	33.3	33.3	41.7
No %	39.1	33.3	43.6	45.5	0	0	66.7	66.7	58.3
OR (95% CI)	1.2 (0.7- 2.08)	1.29 (0.5- 2.84)		a	a		0.6 (0.16- 2.18)	0.6 (0.04- 7.63)	
$\chi^2$ (df), P-value	1.24 (2), 0.537		1.48 (1), 0.224			0.66 (2), 0.719			

\* Homozygous common allele genotype

a. Not possible to analyze because the groups contain zero values

\*\*Significant level at  $P \leq 0.05$