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The Fenhe River basin is the main agricultural and industrial developed area in Shanxi province, China. In recent years, agricultural non-point source pollution in the Fenhe River basin intensified, threatening soil quality and safety in the area. Accumulation of eight heavy metals (HMs) including chromium (Cr), nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), arsenic (As), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb) and mercury (Hg) has been detected in soil samples from 50 agricultural sites (0–20 cm) from the middle reaches of the Fenhe River basin. The ecological and human health risk and potential sources of the eight HMs were investigated. In addition, the health and ecological risks imposed by the possible sources of the eight HMs were quantitatively apportioned. The enrichment factor (EF) values of Cr, Ni, Cu, Pb and Zn were lower than 2, indicating minimal enrichment, while values for As, Cd and Hg were between 2 and 5, exhibiting moderate enrichment. Temporal variation analysis suggested that most HMs in the study area exhibited low concentrations after 2015, except As. The potential ecological risk index was 174.09, indicating low ecological risk. The total hazard index and cancer risk values were 0.395 and 5.35 × 10⁻⁶ for adults and 2.75 and 3.63 × 10⁻⁶ for children, indicating the accepted standard levels were exceeded for non-carcinogenic risk and carcinogenic risk for both adults and children. Four potential sources were identified: (1) natural sources, (2) farming activities, (3) coal combustion, and (4) exhaust emissions. Natural sources represented the largest contributor to ecological risk, accounting for 57.42% of the total. Coal combustion was the major contributor to human health risks, accounting for 43.27% and 43.73% of the total non-carcinogenic risk and carcinogenic risk for adults, respectively, and 42.72% and 43.88% for children, respectively.

Introduction

In recent decades, large quantities of pollutants have been discharged into agricultural soil during rapid agricultural intensification, industrialization and urbanization. Among these pollutants, heavy metal (HM) pollution in agricultural soils has received increasing attention, not only because of the high toxicity of HMs to plants and microorganisms, but also because of the persistence of HMs in ecosystems. For example, HMs can destroy microorganism population structure even at low levels. HMs are harmful to metabolism and intelligence in humans if the amount of inhalation exceeds the values recommended by the World Health Organization.

Ecological and human health risk evaluation methods have been widely used to assess the potential risks of HMs to ecosystems and human beings. Ecological risk methods have been developed based on the toxicity variance and comprehensive effect of multiple contaminants, such as potential ecological risk index (RI), soil environment quality standard, geoaccumulation index, pollution load index and enrichment factor (EF). The health risk caused by HMs is usually evaluated by hazard quotient and cancer risk. A combination of these ecological and health risk assessment methods can be used to produce a suitably accurate and comprehensive evaluation for HMs in agricultural soils.

In addition to risk assessment, identification of HM sources is also important as the information can be used to effectively control HM pollution. In agricultural soil, natural and anthropogenic sources have been identified as the main two pathways of HM contamination. The main natural source of HMs is their release into the soil during rock weathering. Anthropogenic sources are the important aspect of soil HM pollution and may include mining, fossil fuel combustion, waste discharge into agricultural soil during rapid agricultural intensification, industrialization and urbanization.
disposal, sewage irrigation, urban effluent, vehicle exhausts, atmospheric deposition, pesticides and fertilizer application. For example, the long-term use of pesticides and chemical fertilizers has resulted in accumulation of several metals, such as nickel (Ni), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn) and cadmium (Cd). Quantitative apportioning of sources could help us to understand the contribution of each source and implement effective control measures to reduce further entry of HMs into soil. Different sources may have different risks and toxicities of released HMs to soil break because of the different composition of HMs in the source. Thus, the major contributor to HM concentration may not be the largest contributor to ecological and health risk, and thus analysis of the ecological and human health risk following exposure to each source is needed. Previous studies have only focused on the contribution of HM sources to health risk. However, exposure of both ecosystem and human beings to HMs can be harmful and thus evaluation of the contributions of different HM sources to ecological and human health risks is important but has rarely been explored in previous studies.

The Fenhe River basin covers a quarter of the total area of Shanxi province, and is the main agricultural and industrial developed area of the province. This area accounts for 64% of the agricultural output of the whole province. As an important heavy industrial area in China, the four basic industries in Shanxi province are those of machinery, metallurgy, coal and chemical. Currently, the problem of agricultural non-point pollution in Fenhe River basin has become serious, and threatens the soil quality of the Fenhe River basin and the safety of the surrounding environment. The pollution, especially the agricultural soil pollution, has attracted considerable attention.

The objectives of the present study are as follows: (1) to investigate the accumulation and temporal variation of the eight HMs in agricultural soils from the middle reaches of the Fenhe River basin; (2) to assess ecological and human health risks of these HMs in the investigated area using RI and the carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risk assessment method; (3) to identify potential sources of the HMs by cluster analysis and principal component analysis; and (4) to analyse the ecological and human health risks caused by the possible sources using factor analysis-multiple linear regression (FA-MLR).

**Materials and methods**

**Sampling collection and analysis**

The study area is located in the middle reaches of Fenhe River basin (Taiyuan city to Huozhou county of Linfen district), Shanxi province, China (Fig. 1). Fifty agricultural soil samples (0–20 cm sampling depth) were collected in July 2017 during the crop-growing season. Each sample was bulked from five subsamples, which were randomly taken approximately 20 m apart. All the soil samples were packed into self-styled bags for return to the laboratory. Soil samples were air-dried prior to further analysis.

To measure the HM concentrations, samples were weighed and sieved through a 0.15 mm sieve. First, 0.10–0.15 g of the sieved soil samples was transferred into Teflon tubes, and then completely digested by HNO₃–HCl–HF in a microwave digestion meter. After digestion, the solutions cooled to ambient temperature and then the solution was moved to a volumetric flask and deionized water was added to dilute the solution to 50 ml. The mercury (Hg) content was analysed by atomic fluorescence spectrometry (F5, Edinburgh instruments, UK) and the chromium (Cr), Ni, Cu, Zn, arsenic (As), Cd and lead (Pb) contents were detected by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS iCAP QC, Thermo, USA). In order to determine the contents of elements of Mn, Fe and Al, another 0.10–0.15 g soil samples were digested by HNO₃–HClO₄–HF, after digestion, concentrations of Mn, Fe and Al were detected by ICP-MS (iCAP QC, Thermo, USA).

Standard substances (GBW07401) and reagent blanks were used for quality assurance and quality control after every tenth sample. Recoveries of the standard substances were 90–113% for eight metals and reference elements, which meet the experiment requirements. All digestions and metal analyses were performed in triplicate. The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) were defined as 3 times and 10 times the standard deviation of 15 blank measurements. The LODs for Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd, Hg and Pb were 0.040 mg kg⁻¹, 0.019 mg kg⁻¹, 0.022 mg kg⁻¹, 0.030 mg kg⁻¹, 0.012 mg kg⁻¹, 0.100 mg kg⁻¹, 0.040 µg kg⁻¹ and 0.020 mg kg⁻¹, respectively. The LOQs for Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd, Hg and Pb were 0.130 mg kg⁻¹, 0.061 mg kg⁻¹, 0.072 mg kg⁻¹, 0.100 mg kg⁻¹, 0.040 mg kg⁻¹.


Table 1 Concentrations of elements (g kg\(^{-1}\) for Fe and Al, mg kg\(^{-1}\) for other elements) in agricultural soils of the middle reaches of Fenhe River basin\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Hg</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>96.58</td>
<td>38.25</td>
<td>51.49</td>
<td>50.58</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>250.00</td>
<td>55.30</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>45.57</td>
<td>19.98</td>
<td>27.53</td>
<td>26.32</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>40.00</td>
<td>29.90</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>40.34</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>21.09</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>136.32</td>
<td>48.76</td>
<td>72.32</td>
<td>69.13</td>
<td>17.56</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td>200.00</td>
<td>63.50</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>68.00</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>14.13</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>9.10</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>37.33</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>22.14</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>14.70</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>684.37</td>
<td>428.93</td>
<td>428.93</td>
<td>393.39</td>
<td>115.98</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>432.86</td>
<td>428.93</td>
<td>532.00</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>15.27</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Range – the difference between the maximum and the minimum; S.D. – standard deviation; CV – coefficient of variation; BV – the background values of soils in Shanxi province.\(^b\) Standard values for heavy metals in agricultural soil in China, 1995.\(^c\)

Table 2 Classification of enrichment factor (EF) and potential ecological risk (RI) index

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Risk level for EF</th>
<th>Risk level for RI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EF(^{45})</td>
<td>EF &lt; 2</td>
<td>Minimal enrichment</td>
<td>RI &lt; 150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 ≤ EF &lt; 5</td>
<td>Moderate enrichment</td>
<td>150 ≤ RI &lt; 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 ≤ EF &lt; 20</td>
<td>Significant enrichment</td>
<td>300 ≤ RI &lt; 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20 ≤ EF &lt; 40</td>
<td>Very high enrichment</td>
<td>RI ≥ 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EF &gt; 40</td>
<td>Extremely high enrichment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Reference dose (R\(_D\); mg per kg per day) and cancer slope factor (CSF\(_{6}\); kg day mg\(^{-1}\)) of HMs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals</th>
<th>Ingestion R(_D)(^{61-63})</th>
<th>Dermal R(_D)(^{61-63})</th>
<th>Inhalation R(_D)(^{61-63})</th>
<th>Ingestion CSF(_{6})(^{61-63})</th>
<th>Dermal CSF(_{6})(^{61-63})</th>
<th>Inhalation CSF(_{6})(^{61-63})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>NA(^a)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>NA(^a)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.000029</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>4.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.0054</td>
<td>0.0206</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
<td>0.000012</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.00003</td>
<td>0.0000057</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.0015 (adults)</td>
<td>0.000053</td>
<td>0.000035</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00005 (children)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>0.000096</td>
<td>0.000111</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) NA means not available.

**Enrichment factor (EF) method**

EF is a common parameter for assessing the HM enrichment level and evaluating the degree of anthropogenic influence on HMs.\(^26\) The EFs are calculated based on a reference element for normalization.\(^46,47\) Usually, stable elements that have relatively high contents in the crust (e.g., Mn, Ti, Al and Fe) are chosen as ref. 42 and 43. Because of the relatively high concentration and stability in the study area, Mn was selected as the reference element in the current study (Table 1). The EFs were determined using eqn (1):

\[
EF = \frac{C_{\text{sample}}}{C_{\text{background}}} \times \frac{C_{\text{Mn}}}{C_{\text{Mn}}},
\]

where, \(C_{\text{sample}}\) and \(C_{\text{Mn}}\) are the concentrations of the eight HMs and Mn in agricultural soil (Table 1), and \(C_{\text{background}}\) and \(C_{\text{Mn}}\) are the background values of the eight HMs and Mn in the soil of Shanxi province (Table 1). The EF values were classified into five grades, presented in Table 2.\(^45\)

**Ecological risk assessment method**

The RI is a comprehensive method to evaluate the multiple elements in soil because it considers both the background values and toxicity of the HMs.\(^48\) The RI was defined using eqn (2)-(4):\(^14\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Risk level for EF</th>
<th>Risk level for RI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RI(^{14})</td>
<td>(E_F &lt; 40)</td>
<td>Low risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(40 \leq E_F &lt; 80)</td>
<td>Moderate risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(80 \leq E_F &lt; 160)</td>
<td>Considerable risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(160 \leq E_F &lt; 320)</td>
<td>High risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(E_F \geq 320)</td>
<td>Very high risk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

kg\(^{-1}\), 0.130 μg kg\(^{-1}\), 0.020 μg kg\(^{-1}\) and 0.070 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. Heavy metals and Mn, Fe, Al standard solutions (Sinopharm Chemical Reagent, Beijing, China) were used to construct the standard curves, correlation coefficient of standard curve range from 0.9990–0.9999.
Table 4 Average concentrations of heavy metals in the agricultural soils in China (mg kg$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Hg</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shandong city, Tai River Delta</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong city, Pearl River Delta</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shandong city, Gansu province</td>
<td>49.52</td>
<td>33.61</td>
<td>59.64</td>
<td>279</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nan jing city, Jiangsu province</td>
<td>74.96</td>
<td>26.83</td>
<td>147.28</td>
<td>21.05</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>56.06</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tianjin city</td>
<td>91.21</td>
<td>64.19</td>
<td>276.41</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>41.56</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chongqing city</td>
<td>75.89</td>
<td>57.82</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexi Corridor</td>
<td>97.51</td>
<td>75.34</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nan jing city, Jiangsu province</td>
<td>74.10</td>
<td>29.74</td>
<td>54.16</td>
<td>10.76</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average of China</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Human health risk assessment method

Human health risk was applied to evaluate the possible risk of the HMs, including non-carcinogenic risk and carcinogenic risk. According to the model, average daily intake (ADI) caused through exposure pathways for Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Cd and Pb: direct soil ingestion, inhalation through soil vapour and dermal contact. ADI were determined through eqn (5)-(7):

$$\text{ADI}_{\text{ingestion}} = C_{\text{surface}} \times \frac{R_{\text{ingestion}} \times ExF \times ED}{BW \times AT} \times 10^{-6}, \quad (5)$$

$$\text{ADI}_{\text{inhalation}} = C_{\text{surface}} \times \frac{R_{\text{inhalation}} \times ExF \times ED}{BW \times AT \times PEF}, \quad (6)$$

$$\text{ADI}_{\text{dermal}} = C_{\text{surface}} \times \frac{SA \times AF \times ABF \times ExF \times ED}{BW \times AT}, \quad (7)$$

where $R_{\text{ingestion}}$ is the ingestion rate (50 mg per day for adults and 87 mg per day for children); $BW$ is the average body weight (64 kg for adults and 22.3 kg for children); ED is the exposure duration (24 years for adults and 2 years for children); ExF is the exposure frequency (350 days year$^{-1}$); AT average life span (ED $\times$ 365 days); $R_{\text{inhalation}}$ is the inhalation rate (16.1 m$^3$ per day for adults and 8.5 m$^3$ per day for children); PEF is the particle emission factor (1.32 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$); SA is the skin area available for soil contact (5700 cm$^2$ per day for adults and 2800 cm$^2$ per day for children); AF is the skin adherence factor (2 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ kg cm$^{-2}$ for adults and 10$^{-6}$ kg cm$^{-2}$ for children); and ABF is the dermal adsorption factor (0.1). Mercury is appreciably volatile even at room temperature and health risk exposure to soil Hg can occur not only through the three pathways mentioned above, but also through inhalation of Hg vapor (ADI$\text{vapor}$), which can be calculated as eqn (8):$^{54,55}$

$$\text{ADI}_{\text{vapor}} = C_{\text{surface}} \times \frac{R_{\text{inhalation}} \times ExF \times ED}{WF \times BW \times AT}, \quad (8)$$

where WF is the volatilization factor (32.6756 m$^3$ kg$^{-1}$). The non-carcinogenic risk (HI) was then calculated via eqn (9) and (10):$^{54}$

$$\text{HQ}_i = \frac{\text{ADI}_i}{R_i D_i} \quad (9)$$

$$\text{HI} = \sum \text{HQ}_i \quad (10)$$

Table 5 HMs concentrations in agricultural soils of the study area from 2003 to 2017 (mg kg$^{-1}$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>As</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Hg</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>26.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>57.84</td>
<td>31.35</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>0.087</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>74.19</td>
<td>31.35</td>
<td>26.38</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>11.64</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>20.30</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>75 and 76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>75.4</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>29.74</td>
<td>32.11</td>
<td>90.76</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>27.87</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>31.57</td>
<td>26.22</td>
<td>30.91</td>
<td>71.33</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>34.46</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>80.88</td>
<td>39.65</td>
<td>28.54</td>
<td>101.7</td>
<td>10.35</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1099</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>80,81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>51.45</td>
<td>27.53</td>
<td>21.53</td>
<td>72.32</td>
<td>15.81</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>22.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The carcinogenic risk (CR) was defined using eqn (10) and (11):

\[ CR_i = \frac{ADI_i}{C_{2,CSF0}}, \]  
\[ CR = \sum CR_i, \]

where CSF\(_0\) is the carcinogenic slope factor for each metal (Table 3). A CR higher than \(10^{-4}\) indicates a potential carcinogenic risk, a CR value between \(10^{-6}\) and \(10^{-4}\) means an acceptable or tolerable carcinogenic risk, and a CR value lower than \(10^{-6}\) indicates no carcinogenic risk.

Source apportionment methods

Principal component analysis (PCA) and cluster analysis (CA), two traditional statistical methods, were used to determine potential sources of the eight HMs. The two models for source identification have proven to be useful tools and are used widely for source apportionment of pollutants in sediments, soils, dusts and air. Correlation between HMs in factors can be obtained by factor analysis. The rotation of principal components was implemented following to the varimax method. The principal components were chosen based on the standard of cumulative variance greater than 80%, with eigenvalues greater than 1.0. CA involves grouping sets of objects into multiple classes composed of similar objects. Each group is likely to represent a single source type. To ensure that the data conformed to the normal distribution, a logarithmic transformation was carried out before PCA and CA.

Multiple linear regression method with factor analysis (FA-MLR) based on PCA was used to quantify the source contributions to ecological and human risks. The extracted principal components were taken as variables and RI, HI and HQ values were taken as independent variables for regression analysis. The contribution of each principal component to RI, HI and HQ was then calculated. SPSS software v.23.0 was used for the PCA, CA and FA-MLR.

Results and discussion

Accumulation of HMs in agricultural soils

The HM concentrations in agricultural soils from the investigated area are presented in Table 1. The average concentrations of Zn, Cr, Ni, Pb, Cu, As, Cd and Hg were 72.32, 51.45, 27.53, 22.09, 21.53, 15.81, 0.19 and 0.05 mg kg\(^{-1}\), respectively. Zinc, Cd, Pb, Hg and As showed mean values greater than their corresponding background values for Shanxi province (Table 1), especially for Cd and Hg, which were 2 and 2.5 times greater than their corresponding background values. However, concentrations of Cr exceeded the background values for Shanxi province at several sampling sites (Table 1). Fortunately, the average concentrations of the eight HMs were all lower than the Chinese soil quality standard (Grade II), which could be employed to protect agricultural production and human health.

Comparison with studies from other regions in China as presented in Table 4 revealed that HMs in our study area had low levels. These findings indicate that long-term industrialization and agricultural intensification have resulted in the enrichment of HMs in agricultural soils. For example, developed regions in China (Nanjing, Shenzhen, Tianjin and Hunan provinces) had high levels of Cr, Zn, Cd, Pb, Hg and As. Table 5 presents the temporal variation of concentrations for eight HMs from 2003 to 2017 in the middle reaches of the Fenhe River basin.
According to the enrichment categories recognized based on the EF values, As, Cd and Hg showed moderate enrichment, with EF values of 2.37, 2.56 and 3.23, respectively (Table 6). However, Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn and Pb exhibited minimal enrichment with EF values lower than 2 (Table 6). In addition, EF values can be used in the assessment of HM sources. An EF value < 1.5 suggests that the HM may be from natural sources, whereas EF values > 1.5 suggest HM contamination from anthropogenic sources.44 In our study, EF values for Zn, As, Cd, Hg and Pb exceeded 1.5, suggesting that these HM were mainly from anthropogenic activities. Although the mean EF values were lower than 1.5, EF values of 30% of all sampling sites for Cr exceeded 1.5 (Table 6), and thus Cr in soil of these sampling sites was probably influenced by human beings.
Ecological and health risk assessment

The mean $E_i^n$ values for the eight HMs decreased in the following order (Fig. 2): Hg (80.87) > Cd (56.03) > As (17.37) > Pb (7.51) > Cu (4.70) > Ni (4.60) > Cr (1.86) > Zn (1.14) (Fig. 2). According to Table 2, As, Pb, Cu, Ni, Cr and Zn exhibited a low ecological risk to the environment, with $E_i^n$ values lower than 40. However, the $E_i^n$ values for Cd exceeded 40 and Hg exceeded 80, indicating Cd and Hg had moderate and considerable ecological risks. According to Fig. 2, Cd and Hg were the two main HMs causing ecological risk in agricultural soils from the middle reaches of Fenhe River basin. The RI value ranged from 88.22 to 387.70, with an average value of 174.09, representing moderate ecological risks. The $E_i^n$ values of Cd and Hg contributed substantially to the RI value, which was consistent with previous studies for agricultural soil in other areas of China.

The potential human health risk for adults (aged >18) and children (aged 1–18) for the eight HMs through the three pathways (ingestion, inhalation and dermal) are shown in Table 7. The total HI values were 0.395 and 2.75 for adults and children, respectively. Values for children greater than 1 indicate a non-carcinogenic risk for exposure to HMs in the study area. The HQ values presented a decreasing trend for both adults and children as follows: As > Pb > Cr > Cd > Ni > Hg > Cu > Zn. Arsenic and Pb were the main metals associated with human non-carcinogenic risks in the study area. Except for Hg, direct dermal contact was the main exposure pathways for most HMs, representing about 68.8–98.7% of the corresponding total HI values for adults and 76.1–99.1% for children. Dermal contact was also the primary exposure pathway of Hg for children, whereas the non-carcinogenic risk posed to adults was dominated by inhalation of Hg vapor. It should be noted that inhalation of Hg vapor has not been considered in most studies, which may cause the non-carcinogenic risk to have been underestimated. The order of contributions of the three pathways was the same as in previous studies.

It is worth noting that children were 6.96 times more likely to experience non-carcinogenic effects than adults, which is probably because of the direct hand-to-mouth contact and higher exposure per unit of body weight in children.

The CR exposures for adults and children to Cr, Ni, As and Cd were assessed and the results are presented in Table 7. The values for Cu, Zn, Pb and Hg were excluded because of the lack of CSF$_i$ values. The total CR values for adults and children were $5.35 \times 10^{-5}$ and $3.63 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively, which were both higher than the maximum tolerable acceptable risk ($1 \times 10^{-4}$). Similar to the non-carcinogenic risk, children had a higher carcinogenic risk than adults. The CR values for adults and children for the four metals decreased in the order Ni > Cr > As > Cd. Nickel had the highest CR value and Cd had the lowest, which is different from the trend of HI values. The single CR value for each of Ni, Cr and As exceeded $1 \times 10^{-4}$, indicating an unacceptable carcinogenic risk. However, Cd showed no carcinogenic risk to the public, because its CR value was less than $1 \times 10^{-6}$. Although Cr and Ni had mean concentrations less than their corresponding background values and low ecological risks, they could still cause carcinogenic risks. This suggests that specific metals with low concentration should also receive more attention because the health risk posed by them cannot be ignored.

Source identification of heavy metals in agricultural soils

There were no obvious point pollution sources in the investigated region, thus HMs may originate from sources such as chemical fertilizers and pesticides, atmospheric deposition and sewage irrigation.

Table 8 shows the PCA results for the HM concentrations. Four main components with eigenvalue $> 1.0$ were selected, which explained 81.42% of the total variance, indicating that the eight HMs can be presented by four factors. Factor 1 was highly correlated with Cr, Ni, Cu and Zn, and presented 42.06% of the total variance; Factor 2, representing 15.50% of the total variance, was positively correlated with Cr and Zn; Factor 3, explaining 12.37% of the total variance, had high loadings of As; and Factor 4, explaining for 10.17% of the total variance, and was highly associated with Cd, Pb and Hg.

CA was carried out to confirm the sources of HMs and shown as a dendrogram in Fig. 3. Fig. 3 showed that the eight HMs were clustered into four groups, which was consistent with the PCA results: (1) Cd, Hg and Pb; (2) As; (3) Cr, Ni, Cu and Zn; (4) Cr and Zn.
According to the PCA and CA results, four main factors contributed to the accumulation of the eight HMs. The first factor grouped Cr, Ni, Cu and Zn, which can be called the natural factor because considerable research has reported that Cr and Zn derived from weathering of parent materials. The Cr, Ni and Cu concentrations were lower than the background values for Shanxi province.

The second factor was highly associated with Cu, Zn, Cd and Hg. In China, irrigation sewage consistently contains high levels of Cr and Zn. The sewage, including domestic sewage and industrial sewage (such as effluents from thermal power plants, chemical plants and paper mills) is discharged into Fenhe River, which is used to irrigate farmland. According to statistical data from the government, Fenhe River accepted 335.41 million tons of sewage every year, including 117.96 million tons of industrial sewage, 81.17 million tons of domestic sewage and 136.28 million tons of mixed sewage. Thus, sewage irrigation can be considered as the major source of HM pollution in Cr and Zn in agricultural soil. Usually, fertilizers contain high levels of Cr and Zn, especially animal manures. The Cr and Zn contents in fowl manure were 1.18–314.28 mg kg$^{-1}$ and 56.38–981.56 mg kg$^{-1}$, respectively. Therefore, another important source presented in Factor 2 is likely to be the application of livestock and poultry manure. According to the China Statistical Yearbook 2017, the amount of chemical fertilizers applied in Shanxi province in 2015 was as high as 112.00 million tons, and has exhibited a growing trend between 2003 and 2017. Long-term and excessive use of fertilizers has resulted in the accumulation of Cr and Zn in agricultural soils. Although the overall average Cr concentration in soil of the studied area was lower than the background value, concentrations of Cr in 12 of the sampling sites were higher than the background values. In addition, EF values of Cr exceeded 1.5 in 30% of the total sampling sites (Table 6), indicating Cr in some sampling sites comes from anthropogenic sources. Thus, farming activities including sewage irrigation and chemical fertilizers were represented by the second factor.

The third factor was mainly related to As concentrations. Arsenic is easily generated during coal combustion. As China’s top province for coal production, coal is also the main fuel in Shanxi province. The amount of coal consumed in 2016 was 2016 was 356.21 million tons according to the China Statistical Yearbook 2016. Fly ash containing As could be produced by coal combustion, introduced into the atmosphere, and deposited onto agricultural soils. Therefore, coal combustion source is likely to be represented by the third factor.

The last factor mainly included Cd, Pb and Hg. Cd, Pb and Hg are the dominant HM components of vehicle tail gas emissions. The study area is within an area of rapid agricultural development, which involved rapid agricultural mechanization in which agricultural machinery powered by gasoline and diesel were used to improve agricultural productivity. Exhaust emissions from agricultural machinery entering the agricultural soil through atmospheric deposition could result in soil Pb and Hg accumulation. It is also worth mentioning that vehicle exhaust also contributed to the increase of Pb and Hg contents in agricultural soil. Therefore, the final factor was related to exhaust emissions.

Source apportionment of ecological and human health risks

Because the eight HMs derived from various sources that are likely to exhibit different ecological and health risks, the source apportionment to ecological and health risk is important for environment management. After identifying the possible sources of the eight HMs, source contributions for HM ecological and human health risks were computed using FA-MLR. FA-MLR is a practical method for quantifying contributions from different sources. The multiple correlation coefficients $R^2$ for RI, HQ and CR were between 0.83 and 0.99 and the ratio between the estimated and observed values (E/O) was around 1.0 for RI, HQ and CR, indicating that results gathered from FA-MLR are acceptable.

Estimated values and contribution source apportionment of ecological and health risks are exhibited in Table 9 and Fig. 4. For ecological risk assessment, Factors 1–4 accounted for 57.42%, 5.57%, 9.01% and 28.00% of the RI, respectively. Source apportionment of health risk assessment showed that for adults, Factors 1–4 accounted for 13.65%, 15.47%, 43.27% and 27.61% of the non-carcinogenic risks, respectively, and 22.82%, 26.44%, 43.73% and 7.01% of the CR, respectively. For children, Factors 1–4 accounted for 13.86%, 13.80%, 42.72% and 29.6% of the HQ, respectively, and 22.48%, 26.51%, 43.88% and 7.13% of the carcinogenic risks, respectively. Farming activities, which also represented the main factor explaining the total variance (42.06%) caused the greatest RI. Factor 3, coal combustion, which was only third in interpretation of the total variance (12.37%), was the major contributor to CR and HQ. Thus, human health and the ecological environment are unlikely to be protected if the pollution source emissions cannot be controlled. Fortunately, the method for heating in some regions of Shanxi province has changed from coal combustion to gas heating, which should reduce the emission of HMs. In addition, some biological control measures have been taken in agriculture in the Fenhe River basin to reduce the use of fertilizers and pesticides.

Conclusions

The Zn, Cd, Pb, Hg and As in agricultural soil from the middle reaches of Fenhe River basin showed enriched values that exceeded their corresponding background values in soil of Shanxi province. Temporal variation indicated that HM contents (except for As) have tended to decrease over the last few years. On the basis of EF values, HMs from the study area exhibited mineral and moderate enrichment. Chromium and Hg were the main metals causing ecological risk, with $E_f$ values higher than other metals. The RI value was 174.09, indicating that HMs in agricultural soil pose a moderate ecological risk to the ecosystem. The CR and HQ for exposure of both adults and children to HMs exceeded the accepted standard levels. Four different sources (farming activities, natural sources, coal combustion and exhaust emissions) for HMs in agricultural soils were identified via PCA and CA. Source apportionment through FA-MLR suggested that natural sources were the largest contributor to ecological risk, whereas coal combustion was the

RI: Risk Index; HQ: Health Quotient; CR: Carcinogenic Risk.
main source causing human non-carcinogenic and cancer risks. The results of this study can provide some information on environmental management and evaluation of HM pollution in developed areas of agriculture and industry.
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