



**University of Dundee**

## **The Controversies and Difficulties of Diagnosing Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia**

Shoemark, Amelia; Rubbo, Bruna; Haarman, Eric; Hirst, Robert A.; Hogg, Claire; Jackson, Claire L.

*Published in:*  
American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine

*DOI:*  
[10.1164/rccm.201907-1334LE](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201907-1334LE)

*Publication date:*  
2020

*Licence:*  
CC BY-NC-ND

*Document Version*  
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Shoemark, A., Rubbo, B., Haarman, E., Hirst, R. A., Hogg, C., Jackson, C. L., Nielsen, K. G., Papon, J. F., Robinson, P., Walker, W. T., & Lucas, J. S. (2020). The Controversies and Difficulties of Diagnosing Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, 201(1), 120-122.  
<https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201907-1334LE>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Jean Bourbeau, M.D., M.Sc.  
 McGill University Health Centre  
 Montreal, Quebec, Canada  
 and  
 McGill University  
 Montreal, Quebec, Canada

\*Corresponding author (e-mail: sebastien.gagnon3@mail.mcgill.ca).

## References

- Bhatt SP, Patel SB, Anderson EM, Baugh D, Givens T, Schumann C, *et al*. Video telehealth pulmonary rehabilitation intervention in COPD reduces 30-day readmissions. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* [online ahead of print] 12 Apr 2019; DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201902-0314LE. Published in final form as *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:511–513.
- Criner GJ, Bourbeau J, Diekemper RL, Ouellette DR, Goodridge D, Hernandez P, *et al*. Executive summary: prevention of acute exacerbation of COPD: American College of Chest Physicians and Canadian Thoracic Society Guideline. *Chest* 2015;147:883–893.
- Harrison SL, Robertson N, Graham CD, Williams J, Steiner MC, Morgan MD, *et al*. Can we identify patients with different illness schema following an acute exacerbation of COPD: a cluster analysis. *Respir Med* 2014;108:319–328.
- Man WD, Puhan MA, Harrison SL, Jordan RE, Quint JK, Singh SJ. Pulmonary rehabilitation and severe exacerbations of COPD: solution or white elephant? *ERJ Open Res* 2015;1:00050-2015.
- Czajkowski SM, Powell LH, Adler N, Naar-King S, Reynolds KD, Hunter CM, *et al*. From ideas to efficacy: the ORBIT model for developing behavioral treatments for chronic diseases. *Health Psychol* 2015;34:971–982.
- Wing RR, Bolin P, Brancati FL, Bray GA, Clark JM, Coday M, *et al*; Look AHEAD Research Group. Cardiovascular effects of intensive lifestyle intervention in type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:145–154.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society



## Reply to Gagnon *et al*.

From the Authors:

We thank Dr. Gagnon and colleagues for their interest and comments. Because of the constraints of a research letter, we were not able to provide all the details of the telehealth intervention. Briefly, each video session was designed to mimic the components of center-based pulmonary rehabilitation (PR) and lasted 45 to 60 minutes. The sessions included stretching and breathing exercises for approximately 10 minutes; aerobic exercises using a foot peddler or walking for 10 and 20 minutes in those with low and high baseline functional capacity, respectively; and strength training with stretch bands for 10 minutes. Educational sessions were interspersed between these exercise periods. We agree with Dr. Gagnon and colleagues that the interval between the initiation of PR and 30 days is short, and thus we may not see meaningful changes in functional capacity. The 30-day time point was chosen based on our primary outcome of hospital

Ⓓ This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern ([dgern@thoracic.org](mailto:dgern@thoracic.org)).

Supported by NIH grant K23HL133438 (S.P.B.).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201907-1486LE on August 6, 2019

readmission (1). We disagree that the higher proportion of patients on domiciliary oxygen in the group exposed to PR may have contributed to improved outcomes, as this suggests more severe and perhaps less-responsive disease. We acknowledge that the study was not randomized and that we did not collect data on the number of patients approached and reasons for patient refusal to participate. These limitations in part underlie our call for well-conducted randomized trials to test the efficacy of our intervention. They also make a case that behavioral changes could have had a significant impact. Although we did not systematically study this in both groups, the emotional guardedness domain of the psychosocial risk factor survey did improve with telehealth PR (2). ■

**Author disclosures** are available with the text of this letter at [www.atsjournals.org](http://www.atsjournals.org).

Surya P. Bhatt, M.D., M.S.P.H.\*  
 Mark T. Dransfield, M.D.  
 University of Alabama at Birmingham  
 Birmingham, Alabama

ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8418-4497 (S.P.B.).

\*Corresponding author (e-mail: sbhatt@uabmc.edu).

## References

- Bhatt SP, Patel SB, Anderson EM, Baugh D, Givens T, Schumann C, *et al*. Video telehealth pulmonary rehabilitation intervention in COPD reduces 30-day readmissions. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:511–513.
- Eichenauer K, Feltz G, Wilson J, Brookings J. Measuring psychosocial risk factors in cardiac rehabilitation: validation of the psychosocial risk factor survey. *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev* 2010;30:309–318.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society



## The Controversies and Difficulties of Diagnosing Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia

To the Editor:

We welcome the correspondence from Lavie and Amirav (1), highlighting the difficulties diagnosing primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) and the role of high-speed video analysis (HSVA). As members of the European Respiratory Society (ERS) PCD Diagnostic Task Force (2) and/or large PCD Centres, we agree that HSVA has an important role that is not recognized by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) PCD Diagnostic Guideline (3). This risks a large

Ⓓ This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern ([dgern@thoracic.org](mailto:dgern@thoracic.org)).

Author Contributions: A.S. and J.S.L. provided the concept and drafted the correspondence; all authors commented and approved the manuscript.

The authors are members of European Respiratory Society Task Force (TF-2014-04) and BEAT-PCD network (COST Action BM 1407).

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201907-1334LE on August 21, 2019

proportion of false-negative “missed” diagnoses and a sizable number of false-positive cases; we make additional important observations.

We agree with Lavie and Amirav that nasal nitric oxide (nNO) should not be used in isolation to make a diagnosis or to exclude PCD. The risk for false-negatives is clearly described in the literature (reviewed in Reference 2). The ERS Guidelines therefore suggest that both nNO and HSVA should be entirely normal before deciding that further investigation is not warranted (2). We all have patients who proceeded to further testing because clinical history was strong or HSVA was abnormal despite normal nNO, and then had a diagnosis confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) or genetics (e.g., *CCDC103*, *DNAH9*, or *RSPH1* mutations). Contrary to Lavie and Amirav, neither ATS nor ERS guidelines would exclude the diagnosis of PCD in patients with a compatible history and diagnostically low nNO despite normal HSVA, without proceeding to further tests including TEM and genetics.

Similarly to Lavie and Amirav, we were surprised that the ATS guideline specifically suggests not assessing ciliary beat pattern. Dyskinesia is a key feature of the condition and can be accurately detected by HSVA (4). According to the ERS Guidelines, repeatedly dyskinetic cilia or abnormal beat pattern following reanalysis after culture, with normal genetics and TEM, indicates PCD is “highly likely” (2), and patients should follow a PCD treatment plan (2). This recognizes that TEM and genetics will each be normal in 20–30% (2) of patients who truly have PCD (false negative), and that HSVA will detect most of these patients who require specialist PCD care. Until *HYDIN*, *DNAH11*, and *GAS8* were discovered as PCD genes, the patients were recognized by abnormal HSVA, and until all genetic causes are identified, HSVA is needed. It also acknowledges that even repeatedly abnormal HSVA may be falsely positive, and therefore the ERS Guidelines recommend that patients are not labeled as definitely having PCD based on HSVA alone (2, 4). Importantly, HSVA provides an accurate result on the day of testing that can be used to counsel patients and commence treatment while awaiting confirmatory TEM and genetics (4). HSVA also has an important research value, assessing the ability of novel treatments to restore function.

There are a large number of PCD genes, and because of their size, variants are common; not infrequently, patients without PCD have biallelic variants of unknown significance in PCD-related genes. The specificity of genetic testing is severely reduced, and many individuals could be incorrectly diagnosed with PCD (false positive) unless the mutations are confirmed pathogenic. It is therefore essential to ensure that the genotype is compatible with the ciliary phenotype using HSVA, TEM, and/or immunofluorescence labeling, as well as with the clinical phenotype (2).

Importantly, there is no perfect way to identify patients for diagnostic testing based on clinical assessment. Lavie and Amirav outline the approach proposed by the ATS Guideline, using a four-point clinical symptoms score. Having two of four clinical features provides specificity

(0.72), ensuring that the diagnostic service only sees the most likely cases, but we suggest it has insufficient sensitivity for screening (0.8), meaning that 20% of patients with PCD are not tested and will therefore never be correctly diagnosed (5). The ERS Guideline provides a flexible approach (“patients with several typical features” [2]), or suggests a clinical predictive score called Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia Rule (PICADAR), which has good sensitivity and specificity (cutoff, 4; 0.97, specificity, 0.48) (6). Therefore, PICADAR may correctly identify 97% of patients who require further testing, while not inappropriately overwhelming diagnostic services, as approximately 50% of patients will turn out to have PCD. Both scores need validating in primary care settings. ■

---

**Author disclosures** are available with the text of this letter at [www.atsjournals.org](http://www.atsjournals.org).

Amelia Shoemark, Ph.D.  
Royal Brompton Hospital  
London, United Kingdom  
and  
University of Dundee  
Dundee, United Kingdom

Bruna Rubbo, M.Sc.  
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust  
Southampton, United Kingdom  
and  
University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine  
Southampton, United Kingdom

Eric Haarman, M.D.  
VU University Medical Center  
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Robert A. Hirst, Ph.D.  
University of Leicester, RKCSB  
Leicester, United Kingdom

Claire Hogg, M.D.  
Royal Brompton Hospital  
London, United Kingdom  
and  
Imperial College London  
London, United Kingdom

Claire L. Jackson, Ph.D.  
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust  
Southampton, United Kingdom  
and  
University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine  
Southampton, United Kingdom

Kim G. Nielsen, M.D.  
Copenhagen University Hospital  
Rigshospitalet, Denmark

Jean-Francois Papon, M.D.  
Universite Paris-Sud, Faculté de Médecine  
Paris, France

Philip Robinson, M.D.  
Royal Children's Hospital  
Melbourne, Australia

Woolf T. Walker, M.D., Ph.D.  
Jane S. Lucas, M.D., Ph.D.\*  
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust  
Southampton, United Kingdom  
and

University of Southampton Faculty of Medicine  
Southampton, United Kingdom

ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-1629-8601 (B.R.); 0000-0003-1989-1790 (R.A.H.);  
0000-0002-8368-5994 (C.H.); 0000-0002-1200-0935 (C.L.J.);  
0000-0001-5906-9449 (K.G.N.); 0000-0001-6129-9075 (P.R.);  
0000-0001-8701-9975 (J.S.L.).

\*Corresponding author (e-mail: jlucas1@soton.ac.uk).

## References

1. Lavie M, Amirav I. In defense of high-speed video microscopy in evaluating patients with suspected primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2019;200:1181–1183.
2. Lucas JS, Barbato A, Collins SA, Goutaki M, Behan L, Caudri D, et al. European Respiratory Society guidelines for the diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Eur Respir J* 2017;49:1601090.
3. Shapiro AJ, Davis SD, Polineni D, Manion M, Rosenfeld M, Dell SD, et al.; American Thoracic Society Assembly on Pediatrics. Diagnosis of primary ciliary dyskinesia. An official American Thoracic Society clinical practice guideline. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2018;197:e24–e39.
4. Rubbo B, Shoemark A, Jackson CL, Hirst R, Thompson J, Hayes J, et al.; National PCD Service, UK. Accuracy of high-speed video analysis to diagnose primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Chest* 2019;155:1008–1017.
5. Leigh MW, Ferkol TW, Davis SD, Lee HS, Rosenfeld M, Dell SD, et al. Clinical features and associated likelihood of primary ciliary dyskinesia in children and adolescents. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2016;13:1305–1313.
6. Behan L, Dimitrov BD, Kuehni CE, Hogg C, Carroll M, Evans HJ, et al. PICADAR: a diagnostic predictive tool for primary ciliary dyskinesia. *Eur Respir J* 2016;47:1103–1112.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society



## High-Speed Videomicroscopy Analysis Presents Limitations in Diagnosis of Primary Ciliary Dyskinesia

To the Editor:

In response to the letter by Dr. Lavie and Dr. Amirav highlighting the use of high-speed videomicroscopy analysis (HSVA) in a patient with suspected primary ciliary dyskinesia (PCD) (1), we stand by the American Thoracic Society (ATS) PCD diagnostic guideline recommendation. This recommendation specifically states that clinicians should avoid using HSVA as a replacement diagnostic test for transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and/or extended genetic panel testing (2). Although we appreciate the authors' opinion and argument for the use of HSVA as a diagnostic tool in

Ⓜ This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

Author Contribution: All authors created and edited the manuscript.

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.201907-1366LE on August 21, 2019

PCD, we have concerns about their anecdotal evidence and reference to publications with methodologic bias.

First, they reference a publication reporting near-perfect sensitivity and specificity of HSVA testing for PCD (3). In this article, randomly selected HSVA case interpretations from blinded experts, at three separate centers in England, are retrospectively analyzed for diagnostic accuracy. This publication has numerous methodologic biases (explained in a recently published letter [4]) that affect data interpretation and likely inflate the diagnostic accuracy. No other publication has examined the diagnostic accuracy of HSVA against PCD genetic testing. Thus, the true diagnostic accuracy of HSVA in the era of PCD genomics remains unclear, but it is likely lower than the values described in that article.

No single diagnostic test can exclude PCD. TEM and genetic testing individually miss approximately 30% of PCD diagnoses. The authors claim that in one case, normal HSVA “helped to determine a diagnosis of PCD in this patient as being highly unlikely,” even though the patient had a strong PCD phenotype and repeatedly low nasal nitric oxide (nNO) values. Defects in at least six known PCD-associated genes (*HYDIN*, *CCDC164*, *DNAH9*, *GAS8*, *CCNO*, and *MCIDAS*) result in normal or nondiagnostic HSVA, and more common genes (*DYX1C1*, *RSPH1*, and *RSPH4A*) have unexpected beat patterns for their corresponding axonemal defects, making HSVA nondiagnostic in these cases as well. Despite the well-recognized possibility of PCD with normal HSVA, the authors do not present any TEM or genetic testing results in their case and dismiss this patient from further PCD therapies. Their decision to ignore the repeatedly low nNO values as a consequence of sinus surgeries is concerning, as nNO levels typically increase in non-PCD patients after sinus surgery (5). The ATS PCD guidelines were prioritized to avoid this scenario, in which patients with PCD are dismissed because of false-negative results on a single diagnostic test.

Finally, the authors claim the “simplicity of use and expeditious results” of HSVA should prompt the ATS to reconsider its PCD diagnostic guidelines. However, there is nothing simple about HSVA studies, as they remain nonstandardized in both sample preparation and beat pattern interpretation. Moreover, to avoid secondary causes of dyskinesia giving false-positive results, the European Respiratory Society PCD guidelines also strongly recommend regrowth of ciliary samples at the air–liquid interface before HSVA analysis (6). This arduous, weeks-long regrowth process requires highly specialized laboratory expertise and refutes the claim of “expeditious results,” leading to an immediate PCD diagnosis. Most important, no studies have shown that HSVA can be reliably and accurately performed outside of a few expert centers (2).

The ATS PCD diagnostic guidelines are rooted in science with rigorous methodology. Although not perfect, they represent the most rigorous review and analysis of scientific publications on PCD diagnosis and prioritize limiting false-negative diagnoses in which patients will suffer without proper, long-term PCD therapies. Until prospective, well-designed, multicenter studies are completed, the ATS guideline committee cannot recommend HSVA as a clinical diagnostic test for PCD. ■