



University of Dundee

MR-guided focused ultrasound application for moving target tumor ablation in abdominal area

Mihcin, Senay; Gagliardo, Cesare; Toia, Patrizia; Dennison, Andrew; Strehlow, Jan; Melzer, Andreas

Published in:
Acta Radiologica

DOI:
[10.1177/0284185120914059](https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185120914059)

Publication date:
2021

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Mihcin, S., Gagliardo, C., Toia, P., Dennison, A., Strehlow, J., & Melzer, A. (2021). MR-guided focused ultrasound application for moving target tumor ablation in abdominal area: coil selection. *Acta Radiologica*, 62(1), 3-11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185120914059>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

MR guided Focused Ultrasound Application for Moving Target Tumour Ablation in Abdominal Area: Coil Selection

Abstract

Background: Magnetic Resonance Image (MRI) guided Focused Ultrasound Surgery (MRgFUS) is a non-invasive thermal ablation method utilizing high intensity focused ultrasound (HI-FU) energy for tissue ablation under MRI with real-time thermal mapping. Ablating to a dynamic target as in the liver is very challenging, requiring approval. A novel quality assured liver tumour ablation system has been proposed for clinics. The paper reports the evaluation of conventional and new MR receiving coils.

Purpose To evaluate the suitability of MR coils as a part of MRgFUS treatment system for liver, while simulating breathing motion in pre-clinical settings.

Material and Methods: The novel software communicates with the MR scanner and the transducer. To monitor the temperature via proton resonance frequency (PRF) methodology Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) sequence was used while the algorithms of static, static and dynamic tracking were tested with sonications of 100-W for 30 seconds on tissue mimicking phantoms. Different coil sets were used to assess the performance of the system for fitness for dynamic thermometry. Finally, in vivo experiments were performed over a porcine model.

Results Single-loop 4-channel Duoflex and Gem coils provided adequate S/N ratio and contrast with consistent thermal readings. Body array coils showed severe loss of signal in dynamic cases since the integration of tracking algorithm causes low efficiency.

Conclusion Body array coils are unsuitable for MRgFUS of the liver due to signal loss. The dedicated coil set with a single loop around the FUS transducer combined with 4 channel arrays might be the best option for liver treatment using dynamic MRgFUS applications.

Key words: Coils, MR thermometry, tracking, Echo Planar Imaging, MR guided FUS, CE marking, validation, verification

Introduction Treatment of hepatocellular carcinomas is very stage dependent. Surgical resection is the gold standard solution only for stage 0 disease (PST 0, child –puh A, with small nodules) in clinical practice (1). However, surgical resection of tumours has the associated risk of liver failure, due to the insufficient volume of liver tissue after surgery. Out of 5 million cases of liver cancer in the world, only 15–30% are suitable for surgery (2). Hence, minimally invasive technologies are in demand for clinical applications. Magnetic Resonance guided Focused Ultrasound systems (MRgFUS/MRHiFU) have been CE (Conformité Européene) marked, and Food & Drug Administration (FDA) approved for the treatment of uterine fibroids, treatment of pain for bone metastasis and a few functional neurological disorders so far (3-6).

Currently, the use of MRgFUS in clinical settings is at a pilot trial level in oncological units (3-6). The technology allows only for treatment in apnoea. While the liver is maximally pushed out of the rib cage margin by a ventilator, under general anaesthesia, sonicating a stationary tumour location in the lower liver segments (Fig 1 a-d). The application is limited to the right lower lobe of the liver. Due to restrictions to position the ultrasound (US) beam and the tumour, the prolonged treatment time has inhibited the clinical translation. Only very limited number of patients could benefit from this method (5).

INSERT FIGURE1 HERE

MR guided ablation of a moving target under free breathing motion a novel treatment system has been designed to be tested with the intention of obtaining clinical use. The novel system is configured to execute sonication under free breathing motion without any anaesthesia or any contrast agents. Motion tracking algorithms detect the motion of the liver using magnetic resonance imaging [7-8]. Active beam steering of the FUS phased array transducer allows to sonicate the targeted tumour area. Without tracking and beam steering, there is risk of ablation of healthy liver tissue (Figure 2a). Via beam steering and tracking, acoustic energy is delivery almost exclusively to the target to achieve the desired level of temperature increase (Figure 2b).. The trans-coastal beam steering methodology prevents sonication of the ribs (Figure2 c,d) (7-8). The skin burn problem due to sound reflection from the ribs during sonication is reduced so that correct dosimetry can be applied only to the tumour area. The regions which are normally covered under the ribcage during the free breathing motion can be reached, enabling for wider range targets in the liver. Since the treatment can continue during free breathing, the full treatment time is reduced. The transducer is totally external to the skin surface; hence the system is fully non-invasive. In PRF thermometry, the sensitivity to the temperature change is lower when using clinical scanners (3T) compared to the ultra-high field strength scanners (9). However, using a 1.5T scanner, temperature changes can be measured with a good accuracy in phantoms and homogeneous tissue with (0.6 C°) and (1.5 C°) respectively (10).

This novel motion-compensated FUS technique is designed for continuous sonication under free breathing motion. However, since the system delivers energy to the patient for ablation, the system's control software is classified as a class 3/C; high risk where serious injury or death could be possible based on the international standard IEC 62304. Currently, the control software has gone through a thorough design documentation and testing *in silico* (4,7-8,11-12) and also in pre-clinical settings for validation with the aim of obtaining CE (Conformité

Européene) marking for liver treatment at a component feature level. The treatment parameters were defined with specifications to evaluate energy delivery, sonication duration, temperature and positional accuracy (11-12). The test protocols demonstrated that the software met the required specs for safe use for power delivery and sonication duration (11-12).

INSERT FIGURE2 HERE

The system requires the best set of MR coils arranged in optimum configuration to minimize the interference of the dedicated liver transducer in the MR scanner and to provide highest possible contrasts and S/N ratio. Standard MR coils (GE 8 channel body and cardiac arrays) are used for static MRgFUS treatment. As there were no dedicated MR coils available to use during sonication under free breathing motion for motion compensated MRgFUS treatment liver, we developed a single loop coil together with MR instruments (Minetoka, MN, USA) that fits around the transducer to be combined with one or two 4 channel 24x24cm coil paddles. In this study, we evaluated the use of clinically approved set of coils to apply MRgFUS treatment over abdominal organs under free breathing motion through simulating one dimensional breathing motion first *in vitro*, then *in vivo* based on the results of *in vitro* studies for optimum outcome.

Material and Methods

The experiments were planned in two sections: *in vitro*; over tissue mimicking phantoms, and *in vivo*; animal experiments based on the evaluated results of the *in vitro* part.

Moreover, for usability and positioning of the coils with the transducers over human subjects, ethics committee permission was obtained. This was tested over 3 healthy volunteers as demonstrated in below images (Figure3)

INSERT FIGURE3

The novel software is installed on a dedicated workstation interacting with an MR scanner (GE Signa HDxt 1.5 T), in real time to collect images, plan and monitor the treatment. The motion compensated FUS works in a loop which starts with the acquisition of monitoring data. In the next stage, the imaging data is processed to extract the relevant information e.g. temperatures or motion data. In the final stage of the loop, the results of the image analysis are displayed to the clinician and/or used to control therapeutic devices automatically. To enable an efficient intervention, our treatment aims at compensating the respiration-induced motion continuously and in real time. The system latency was calculated as 409 ms previously (12), for compensation to take place to predict the state of the patient at the time of therapy sonication.

The CE marked transducer of the ExAblate 2100 (InSightec, Tirat Carmel, Israel), Conformal Bone System (CBS) was utilized in this study. The transducer is driven by the software to execute the real time ablations. For liver imaging, 3D Fast Imaging Employing Steady-state Acquisition (FIESTA), in Sagittal and Coronal plane (product PSD) is used (TR:600, TE:1, Matrix:192 by 192, FA: 40). The planning imaging requires the calibration scan with 3D FIESTA, in sagittal and coronal planes with TE: 1.3 ms, flip angle: 60°. Next, treatment-planning data is entered via keyboard; consisting of sonication location, sonication duration and sonication power information, for the control software. MR thermometry information based on proton-resonance frequency (PRF) is obtained by the use of single-shot EPI with partial parallel imaging (GE's array spatial sensitivity-encoding technique, ASSET) with the following imaging parameters: TR 145ms; TE min full (38.2ms); matrix 96 x 96; frequency FOV: 28cm; phase FOV: 1.0; slice thickness 3mm; delay after each acquisition 0.1s; ASSET: 1; ramp sampling: on; maximum monitoring time (512 phases per location with interleaved phase acquisition order) 125s.

In this study, thermal readings were used to evaluate the ablations. These readings were assessed under three different conditions: firstly, sonicating to a static target with no tracking option, secondly to a static target with tracking algorithm enabled, and finally on dynamic targets with tracking algorithm activated. In addition to this, a code was written in MATLAB to calculate the signal to noise ratios (SNR) and (Peak SNR) by calculating the difference in signal intensity between the region of interest and the background image.

a) In Vitro Phantom Tests

A novel phantom, providing both temperature information and detecting sufficient amount of landmarks for tracking algorithm was developed. For measuring thermometry and observing coagulation procedure, the middle part of the phantom consisted of polyacrylamide (PAA) egg white material surrounded by 2% agar and samphire to replicate the vein structure of liver. Echo Planar Imaging (EPI) was used during sonication to provide real time thermometry information using 1.5 T GE Scanner.

Four different sets of coils were selected during the in vitro experiments: cardiac coils (8 Channel, GE Signa, USA), interventional DuoFlex coils (single loop and 2x4 channel arrays, MR Instruments, MN, USA), Gem Flex coils (8 channel, NeoCoil WI, USA), and torso coils (8 Channel, GE Signa, USA). The proposed coils could be aligned in different orientations during the application of the surgery. These are grouped as coils which are positioned A) Parallel to the axis of the FUS path, and B) Perpendicular to the axis of FUS path (Figure4). Due to their orientation during sonication, interventional Duoflex coils (single loop and 2x 4 channel arrays, MR Instruments, MN, USA) and Gem Flex coils (8 channel, NeoCoil WI, USA) are classified in group A. Torso coils and cardiac coils (8 Channel, GE Signa, USA) fall into the group B as they are positioned perpendicular to the FUS path.

To monitor thermometry, 100 W of sonication power for 30 seconds was applied. This procedure was repeated three times for each coil sets by giving half an hour breaks for cool down process.

INSERT FIGURE4

To simulate the breathing motion, phantom setup was moved within 20-30 mm range by using the INNOMOTION Robotic arm (IBSmm, Brno, CZ) (13). A special phantom holder was designed to provide the linear motion with the robotic arm while sonicating using the transducer of CBS. The phantom was placed on a custom-made phantom holder. The distance between the coils was designed to be 12 cm. The experiment setup is as shown in Figure5 for the coil sets in group A.

INSERT FIGURE 5 HERE

For the coil sets which are in group B, the motion was provided with using an air ventilator mechanism to push (inhale) and pull (exhale) the phantom to be able to perform the scan. An air ventilator was programmed to have the inhale for 2 seconds, the exhale for 4 seconds. The flow rate was set as 0.75 litre per second at 1 atm. The air ventilator inflated the air balloon (inhale-phase) which pushes the phantom block, then the water balloon produces a returning spring force at ventilator (exhale-phase) simulating respiratory motion at controllable rates. Below the 8 channel torso coils (GE, USA) assembled in configuration B with the respiratory motion simulator model, is shown in Figure6. The difference between the robotic arm and air ventilator mechanism is their capacity to allow for parallel and vertical positioning of the coils with respect to the sonication path in one dimensional breathing activity simulation.

INSERT FIGURE 6 HERE

b) In Vivo Animal Tests

To evaluate the safety and the technical efficacy of generating predefined necrotic lesions in the healthy animal liver, a swine model was utilized. The ongoing animal trial (swine model) makes use of supine positioning, (feet first) and a coil set-up as in human trials. A set of DuoFlex coils was used for liver imaging, procedure planning and thermal monitoring. The DuoFlex (4 channels, 24x24cm) was placed on the right side of the animal and the single loop coil (1 channel, 23cm) concentrically around transducer, that is positioned on the animal's abdomen. Anatomical pre-procedural imaging included a fast three-dimensional fast spoiled gradient echo sequence (Liver Acquisition with Volume Acquisition, LAVA Sequence details; Figure 9) that was repeated, after the administration of i.v. contrast medium, at the end of the MRgFUS experimental procedure for liver lesion identification.

The procedure planning was performed using 3D Fast Imaging Employing Steady-state Acquisition (FIESTA), in Sagittal and Coronal plane (product PSD) is used (TR:600, TE:1, Matrix:192 by 192, FA: 40). The planning imaging requires the calibration scan with 3D FIESTA, in sagittal and coronal planes with TE: 1.3 ms, flip angle: 60° and related multiplanar reconstructions (MPRs) that enabled the expected anatomical details along the entire path of the HI-FU beam for procedure guidance. Thermal monitoring was based on 2D EPI imaging TR 145ms; TE min full (38.2ms); matrix 96 x 96; frequency FOV: 28cm; phase FOV: 1.0; slice thickness 3mm; delay after each acquisition 0.1s; ASSET: 1; ramp sampling: on; maximum monitoring time (512 phases per location with interleaved phase acquisition order) 125s; which allowed a proper spatial, contrast and temporal resolution for procedure monitoring.

Results

During the *in vitro* executions, thermometry readings from the images were collected in Excel sheets for each scan completed with each coil set. Average and standard deviation values were calculated as shown in Table1.

The results show that the readings from the scans of torso coils demonstrate very low temperature values when compared to other coil sets. Below image (Figure 7) is a reading collected while scanning with torso coils. The first image is a reading collected while in static mode, second reading is collected in static mode but with tracking algorithm on. The final reading is completed when there is a motion induced by air pump, simulating the breathing motion with tracking algorithm on. The difference in static readings and the dynamic reading show that Torso (GE, USA) coils result in low thermometry readings even if the thermal values are high. The remaining coil sets produced similar and consistent temperature ranges.

INSERT FIGURE 7 HERE

SNR depends on the field strength, coils and the subject under investigation in MR image. In our experiments, field strength was 1.5 T for MRgFUS applications. RF transmitter and receiver coils, available as a part of clinically available MR systems, were assessed for SNR *in vitro*. SNR values were calculated for each coil sets using the code written in MATLAB and listed in below (Table2). Since SNR values are sensitive to the distance between the coils, in vitro experiments, this distance was kept constant (17.5 cm) by using a custom made phantom holder during the experiments.

Discussions

To apply MRgFUS for the liver, a novel system is required. For this, the system controller has been validated. The coils and the FUS transducer are the sub-components of the system. For correct dosimetry and safe monitoring of the system, coil sets play a crucial role. It is

very important to test and provide evidence which coil sets and their spatial orientations are the best for correct monitoring and dosimetry for the safety of the patients. For this reason, available coil sets were investigated in connection with their alignment to the FUS transducer. The thermometry monitoring results show that it is best to avoid Torso (GE, USA) coil sets for the treatment of liver tumours via MRgFUS. The other three coil sets produce comparable results with each other. Cardiac coil (8 Channel, GE, USA), Gem Flex coil (NeoCoils, USA), DuoFlex coil sets (MR Instruments, USA) were evaluated to be suitable. It has been noted that with tracking algorithm enabled in static mode, there is a drop in the efficiency. However, this is part of another investigation which is not within the scope of this paper.

Field strength and SNR are directly proportional to each other. In this study, 1.5T was the field strength, it is expected that 3T, 7T scanners can provide higher SNR values. Results show that Duoflex coils showed the best SNR value at 1.5 T, which can produce better SNR values at higher field strengths like 3T or 7T. However, since scanners have fixed field strength, we favour for a better configuration and coil design which can produce more reliable images for the treatment of liver for MRgFUS applications.

Moreover, during the *in vitro* experiments, MR images were observed to be highly sensitive to the mechanical vibrations produced by the FUS transducer during sonication, resulting in interferences with the image quality. To avoid any interference, we conclude that MR coils should not be resting on the transducer. Even if the base of the transducer (where electrical circuits are placed) is MR compatible, due to physical vibrations during the sonication, the MR images might contain noise and imaging artefacts. To avoid this problem, it is best to locate the transducer's base in the loop of the coil sets. This allows closest proximity of the

coil to the target region for best S/N. For this reason, a new set of dedicated MR coil sets seems to be the best solution for liver treatment while applying MRgFUS technique.

This coil set lies immediately around the base of the transducer fitting into the rim of the transducer to reduce the transfer of vibration and imaging artefacts. The configuration uses DuoFlex 24cm square on one side and the MR instruments interventional 23cm single loop around the transducer, without causing any imaging artifacts (Figure 8).

INSERT FIGURE 8 HERE

Based on this optimum configuration, *in vivo* trials on animals were completed with a CE approved coil setup but without the updated GE product key for using the single loop coil. Below images from *in vivo* experiments (Figure9 a,b,c) show the anatomical pre-procedural imaging, planning and treatment imaging as described. This configuration eliminated the mechanical vibrations, and provided improved image quality. The SNR value was calculated as 9.7 (± 0.2). The thermal readings were consistent with the other coil sets.

INSERT FIGURE 9 HERE

In conclusion, in this paper, we have provided a detailed investigation on the suitability of the MR coils for the application of MRgFUS for liver treatment. The analysis shows that the distance between the channels and the target depth is a very important parameter. Not all the coils are suitable for this treatment methodology. Although Cardiac coils (8 Channel, GE, USA), Gem Flex (NeoCoils, USA), DuoFlex (MR Coils, USA) provide reliable and comparable images and thermal readings, due to the problems such as MR interference, and image artefact risks, the proposed coil system and the configuration for the liver while applying MRgFUS is observed to improve the reliability of the application as a novel system to be used in clinics, eliminating interference related noise problems. The *in vivo* results with

the proposed dedicated coil set demonstrated to eliminate vibrational problems, related imaging artefacts and with high imaging quality.

Conflict of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

Funding

This work was supported (BLINDED)

References

1. Raza A, Sood GK. Hepatocellular carcinoma review: current treatment, and evidence-based medicine World J Gastroenterol 2014;20:4115–4127. doi:10.3748/wjg.v20.i15.4115
2. Schenk, A., Haemmerich, D. and Preusser, T. Planning of Image-Guided Interventions in the Liver IEEE Pulse 2011; 2: 48-55
3. Siedek F, Yeo S, Heijman E Grinstein O, et al. Magnetic Resonance-Guided High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound (MR-HIFU): Technical Background and Overview of Current Clinical Applications (Part 1) Fortschr Röntgenstr 2019; 191: 522-530.
4. Anonymous 2017. Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.
5. Anzidei M, Napoli A, Sandolo F, et al. Magnetic resonance-guided focused ultrasound ablation in abdominal moving organs: a feasibility study in selected cases of pancreatic and liver cancer. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2014; 37: 1611-7.
6. Anonymous 2018. Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.

7. Anonymous 2015. Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.

8. Anonymous 2017 Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.

9. Rieke V and Butts Pauly K. MR thermometry. *J Magn Reson Imag* 2008; 27: 376–390

10. Bertsch F, Mattner J, Stehling MK, et al. Non-invasive temperature mapping using MRI: comparison of two methods based on chemical shift and T1-relaxation. *Magn Reson Imag* 1998; 16: 393–404.

11. Anonymous 2017. Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.

12. Anonymous, 2019 under review. Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.

13. Anonymous, 2008. Details omitted for double-blind reviewing.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1 – a) Liver inside the ribcage during inhale posture of the lungs, b) liver maximum pushed out during exhale, only possible position to sonicate, c) first ablated liver with coagulated tumour area, d) liver with multiple number of sonication's to ablate the tumour fully (© Fraunhofer. MEVIS, Bremen, Germany).

Figure 2 - a) Sonication under free breathing motion without beam steering demonstrating sonicating to a healthy tissue, 2b) Sonication under controlled beam steering during free

breathing motion, 2c) Sonication through ribcage resulting in undesired sonication of ribs and not efficient delivery of energy into the tumour area, 2d) Sonication by trans-costal beam steering by switching off selected elements of the transducer to avoid direct sonication to the rib-cage (© Fraunhofer. MEVIS, Bremen, Germany)..

Figure 3 The new single loop coil fitting around the Focused Ultrasound transducer. It can be combined with one or two 24x24cm 4 channel arrays (© IMSaT, Dundee, Scotland)..

Figure 4. Classification of MR coils during MRgFUS according to their orientation during the application of MRgFUS a) in parallel configuration to the axis of the beam b) in perpendicular configuration to the axis of the beam (© IMSaT, Dundee, Scotland).

Figure 5 Experiment set up showing the phantom which includes vein mimicking samphire structure on the left image inside the custom made phantom holder, and the robotic arm while using 4 channel Duo flex coil arrays (© IMSaT, Dundee, Scotland)..

Figure 6 a) Experiment set up showing the phantom which includes vein mimicking samphire structure on the left image inside the custom-made phantom holder, including a water balloon and air bag which is connected to the air ventilator to push the phantom to simulate the breathing motion b) and c) shows the images of the 8 Channel Torso Coils including the CBS transducer inside the assemble for sonication side and orthogonal views respectively (© IMSaT, Dundee, Scotland)..

Figure 7 Thermal images collected using Torso (GE, USA) coil sets, in static, static tracking and dynamic tracking algorithms (© IMSaT, Dundee, Scotland).

Figure 8 Schematic view of the MR instruments interventional 23cm single loop resting around the FUS transducer and DuoFlex 24cm square coil system to be used in MRgFUS applications(© IMSaT, Dundee, Scotland).

Figure 9 a) Animal experimental session (65Kg female swine in supine feet first position): breath-hold LAVA axial scan acquired after 2ml/Kg of gadobenate dimeglumine. The superimposed dotted square indicates the position of the HI-FU transducer while the dotted dome refers to the water filled membraned that ensure an optimal transducer-to-animal coupling. Using the optimal coil setup (DuoFlex 24cm square on the right flank on the right side of the animal and the 23cm single loop on the abdomen around the transducer) the imaging quality of the region of interest is very high, b) Animal experimental session (76Kg female swine in supine feet first position): breath-hold 3D FIESTA sagittal scan (GE 1.5 Tesla HDx). The superimposed dotted dome refers to transducer's water filled membrane, enabling a very high imaging quality of the region of the liver and surrounding tissues, c) animal experimental session (same animal and coil setup): EPI sagittal scan used for real-time thermal monitoring and motion compensation algorithm (© University of Palermo, Palermo, Sicily).

TABLES

Table1 Coil sets and collected temperature values in vitro experiments.

| Coil Sets | Estimated Temperature Values in °C with Each Coil Sets | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | Experiment conditions | | |
| | Static mode | Static with Tracking Algorithm | Dynamic with Tracking algorithm |
| Torso (GE, USA) | 8.4 (± 1.0) | 7.7 (± 0.5) | 2.6 (± 0.3) |
| DuoFlex Coil (MRInstruments, USA) | 15.6 (± 0.3) | 13.4 (± 0.6) | 15 (± 0.2) |
| Gem Flex (NeoCoils, USA) | 16.5 (± 0.4) | 15 (± 0.5) | 15.4 (± 0.3) |
| Cardiac Coils (GE, USA) | 16.3 (± 0.3) | 15.6 (± 0.4) | 15.4 (± 0.2) |

Table2. Torso coil SNR values as calculated from the treatment monitoring images.

| Coil Sets | Calculated SNR Values with Each Coil Sets in Vitro |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| Torso (GE, USA) | 4.15 (± 0.6) |
| DuoFlex Coil (MRInstruments, USA) | 8.15 (± 0.4) |
| Gem Flex (NeoCoils, USA) | 7.9 (± 0.2) |
| Cardiac Coils (GE, USA) | 5.5 (± 0.3) |