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Abstract

Bladder cancer is the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer in the European Union. Here, we evaluate the performance of a novel, commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay utilising MCM5 antibodies (ADXBLADDER; Arquer Diagnostics Ltd, Sunderland, UK) for the detection of bladder cancer, in a blinded, prospective study of 856 patients, across seven centres, presenting with haematuria. The results were compared with the patients’ clinical data and final diagnosis as defined by the results of the imaging and cystoscopy, with a prevalence of bladder cancer of 8.6%. ADXBLADDER detected bladder tumours in 54/74 cancers, giving overall sensitivity of 73.0% and an overall negative predictive value (NPV) of 96.4%. Sensitivity and NPV of ADXBLADDER were highest in muscle-invasive bladder cancer, both at 100%, and on analysis of non-pTa (pT1 and above) tumours, the sensitivity for detection was 97% with an NPV of 99.8%. A subset of 173 patients had matching cytology data; of these patients, 18 were positive for bladder cancer. ADXBLADDER detected 16/18 of these cancers, whilst cytology was positive in only four of 18, providing evidence that ADXBLADDER may be a more sensitive test for bladder cancer than standard urine cytology.

Patient summary: We conducted a large clinical study of a novel, simple urine test (ADXBLADDER), which measures a protein (MCM5) in urine and can be used to detect bladder cancer in patients. We recruited 856 patients and demonstrated that the new urine test can detect bladder cancer with a high degree of accuracy, performing better than the most commonly used urine test—urine cytology. In conclusion, this novel ADXBLADDER urine test can be used to help detect bladder cancers and it can replace the current, standard urine test.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of European Association of Urology. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Bladder cancer is the sixth most commonly diagnosed cancer in the European Union (EU), with up to 70% of bladder cancers recurring within the 1st year [1]. The prevalence of this condition, and the nature of its management and follow-up make it one of the most expensive cancers, costing the EU €4.9 billion in 2012 and representing 3% of all cancer costs in the EU [2]. Patients with a primary, single, small TaG1 tumour have a very low chance of progression (<1%), whilst the risk of progression is much higher in patients with stage T1 disease, ranging from 8% to 34%, depending on the depth of invasion of the lamina propria.[3,4]. Urine cytology is often used as an aid to cystoscopy in the diagnosis of bladder cancer; however, given the low sensitivity of cytology for low-grade tumours (16%) [3], a negative cytology result is not indicative of the absence of a tumour. Additionally, cytological interpretation is user-dependent, with evaluation hampered by low cellular yields, urinary tract infections, and stones, underlining the current importance of the use of diagnostic imaging in conjunction with cystoscopy [5].

MCM5 is an established biomarker in bladder cancer, with detection of MCM5 in the urine of patients with bladder cancer demonstrating excellent sensitivity as well as a very high negative predictive value (NPV) [6,7]; however, earlier research methods were complicated and not suitable for clinical use. Here, we evaluate for the first time the performance of a novel, commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) utilising MCM5 antibodies, (ADXBBLADDER; Arquer Diagnostics Ltd, Sunderland, UK) for the detection of bladder cancer, in a blinded, prospective study of patients presenting with haematuria. MCM5 is a protein marker of replicating cells or cells that have the capability to replicate. Fully differentiated cells lining the bladder, in contact with the urine, do not contain MCM5, so MCM5 is not detectable in urine from a healthy bladder. However, bladder cancer cells are capable of undergoing cell division and therefore contain MCM5. When a tumour is present within the bladder, these cells are shed into the urine stream, resulting in a positive MCM5 ELISA test [6].

Between September 2016 and November 2017, eligible patients presenting with visible or nonvisible haematuria were recruited at urology clinics across seven UK study centres. The patients had no previous diagnosis of bladder, prostate, or renal cancer. Ethical approval was received from the Office for Research Ethics Committee Northern Ireland (15/NI/0258), and informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to the collection of urine samples. A sample size estimate calculation was carried out to determine the performance of ADXBBLADDER, based upon the data obtained from feasibility studies and previously published studies [6]. Based upon 80% power, with a 95% confidence level, and assuming a prevalence of 7–10%, a total estimated sample size of 731–1043 patients was required. Patients with known calculi or active prostatitis were excluded from the study (previous studies have shown that these conditions may result in false positive MCM5 results due to damage to the epithelium exposing normal expressing MCM5 cells to the urine [6]). Patients with acute or chronic urinary tract infection and/or active haematuria (visible and nonvisible) were eligible and therefore included in the study. All patients underwent upper urinary tract imaging (either ultrasound or computed tomography) and flexible cystoscopy. A single, full-void urine sample was collected from patients prior to cystoscopy and processed within 4 h of collection. All urine samples >10 ml were included. Urine sediment was collected by centrifugation and was resuspended in ADXBBLADDER lysis buffer. Lyases were incubated for 30 min at room temperature to allow complete lysis before being stored at −20 °C or below, prior to testing with ADXBBLADDER as per the manufacturer’s instructions. The results of the ADXBBLADDER test were then compared with the clinical data and the final diagnosis as defined by the results of the imaging and cystoscopy.

The demographics of enrolled patients are summarised in Supplementary Table 1. In total, 856 eligible patients were enrolled with a median age of 64 yr (interquartile [IQ] range 54–73 yr), of whom 74 were found to have a bladder tumour (8.6%). Of the patients with bladder tumours, the majority were males (74.3%) with a median age of 75 yr (IQ range 66–80 yr).

ADXBBLADDER demonstrated very high sensitivity of 97.0% (95% confidence interval [CI] 84.0–100%) and an NPV of 99.8% (95% CI 98.7–100%) for the detection of bladder tumours of stage pT1 and above. For muscle-invasive bladder cancer, both sensitivity and NPV were 100% (16/16 tumours). In total, ADXBBLADDER detected 54/74 cancers, giving overall sensitivity of 73.0% (95% CI 61.40–82.60%), an overall NPV of 96.4% (95% CI 94.50–97.80%), and an overall specificity of 68.4% (95% CI 65.00–71.70%), with an area under the receiver operator characteristic (ROC) curve of 0.75 (95% CI 0.69–0.81; Supplementary Fig. 2A). Of the 20/74 tumours undetected by ADXBBLADDER, 19/20 were pTa tumours and 14/20 were found to have low-grade disease. Reassuringly, in high-grade non-muscle-invasive disease, sensitivity was high at 86% (95% CI 72–95%) with an NPV of 99.8% (95% CI 97.6–99.6%; Fig. 1A–C).

A subset of 173 patients had available cytology data; of these patients, 18 were positive for bladder cancer. ADXBBLADDER detected 16/18 of these cancers, whilst cytology was positive in only four of these 18 cancers, with six of these 18 having atypical/equivocal results, suggesting that ADXBBLADDER may be a more sensitive test for bladder cancer than standard urine cytology (Fig. 1D and Supplementary Fig. 2B). Patients recruited to the study included those with both visible and nonvisible haematuria. The performance of ADXBBLADDER was consistent between both types of haematuria (Fig. 2B) and with the overall population, with no significant difference between the areas under the ROC curves (Fig. 2C). This suggests a role for ADXBBLADDER in both visible and nonvisible haematuria. Similarly, there were no significant differences in the performance of ADXBBLADDER, as assessed by the area under the ROC curve, due to age (<75 vs ≥75 yr) or gender (Fig. 2C).

An ideal diagnostic test for bladder cancer should be easy to perform, with results promptly available to the clinic, providing additional information to better manage the
disease, as well as being cost-effective. Furthermore, such a non-invasive test should not be influenced by benign conditions. In the past, urine-based biomarker tests for bladder cancer have not gained widespread acceptance due to their failure to meet or effectively demonstrate such criteria [8]. The results presented here demonstrate that the performance of ADXBLADDER is not affected by benign conditions, such as urinary tract infections or the presence of haematuria. It has shown a high level of diagnostic sensitivity, in particular for stage pT1 and above (sensitivity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bladder cancer</th>
<th>ADXBLADDER</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 – (A) A 2 × 2 table of ADXBLADDER performance. (B) Sensitivity of ADXBLADDER associated with tumour stage. (C) Sensitivity and predictive values of ADXBLADDER in patients by grade and stage. (D) ADXBLADDER comparisons with cytology. CI = confidence interval; MIBC = muscle-invasive bladder cancer; NMIBC = non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer.
of 97.0% and an NPV of 99.8%). A favourable comparison to urine cytology demonstrates that ADXBLLADER has the potential to replace cytology as an adjunctive test in bladder cancer diagnosis; however, the authors acknowledge that the number of available cytology comparisons was small and this study population should be extended to demonstrate a statistically significant increase in the performance of ADXBLLADER when compared with cytology.

The ADXBLLADER non-invasive urine test is an easy-to-perform ELISA test, compatible with general laboratory
equipment available in most hospital laboratories, and can provide results within 3 h, without the need for a pathologist, making it a potential low-cost alternative to cytology. Owing to the prospective nature of this study and the failure to collect cytology data for all patients, a full cost analysis could not be carried out on the potential cost savings. However, further studies, including cost-effectiveness analyses to include cytology as a comparator, are currently underway to define the optimal place where ADXBLADDER could be utilised to strengthen the current diagnostic pathway. Additionally, we postulate that ADXBLADDER also has a role in the surveillance of patients with known, non-muscle-invasive bladder cancer, with a negative test perhaps allowing a greater time period between check cystoscopies. This hypothesis requires a specific study to confirm or deny it; such a study is currently recruiting.
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