



**University of Dundee**

## **Transferential Loss**

Ulus, Eda

*Published in:*  
Academy of Management Learning and Education

*DOI:*  
[10.5465/amle.2017.0404](https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0404)

*Publication date:*  
2019

*Document Version*  
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*  
Ulus, E. (2019). Transferential Loss: Unconscious Dynamics of Love, Learning, and Grieving. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 0, 1-44. Article 0. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amle.2017.0404>

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



**TRANSFERENTIAL LOSS: UNCONSCIOUS DYNAMICS OF LOVE, LEARNING, AND GRIEVING**

Journal:	<i>Academy of Management Learning &amp; Education</i>
Manuscript ID	AMLE-2017-0404-ESS.R3
Manuscript Type:	Essays
Submission Keywords:	Interpersonal dynamics, Learning, Politics of academic organizations, Reflective Practice, Role of the teacher, Individual learning, Management education, Critical Management Studies, Pedagogy
Abstract:	In this essay, I work with transference as a relational dynamic from psychoanalysis, to analyze love and loss experienced through learning relationships. Transference is the unconscious transfer of emotions from past relationships to present experiences. I explore transference in learning by disclosing my case study of dyadic learning, guided by Indian scholarship about a guru-shishya/teacher-student relationship of hierarchical, processual learning. I discuss the significance of transference for analyzing emotions of this superior-subordinate learning dyad, through my experience of transferential loss. I conceptualize transferential loss as emotions that accompany the loss of a formal, unequal, time-bound teacher-student transference relationship. I analyze this loss by scrutinizing shifting authority dynamics that I encountered with a loved academic guide, or guru. Through surfacing changes in transference and the pain of losing the teacher-student learning, this essay challenges neoliberal approaches to higher education which valorize instrumental and disembodied goals. Transferential loss connects Indian psychoanalysis about dyads and transference to management learning scholarship, including the importance of the unequal guru-shishya conceptualization for critical management education. This essay contributes to psychoanalysis in management scholarship, develops the concept of transference for learning contexts, and offers a case analysis to the management literature on grief, love, and academic self-disclosures.

1  
2  
3 **TRANSFERENTIAL LOSS:**  
4 **UNCONSCIOUS DYNAMICS OF LOVE, LEARNING, AND GRIEVING**  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16 **Eda Ulus**  
17

18 **Institutional Affiliation from 1 September 2019: University of Dundee**  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Peer Review Proof - Not Final Version

## **TRANSFERENTIAL LOSS: UNCONSCIOUS DYNAMICS OF LOVE, LEARNING, AND GRIEVING**

### **Abstract**

In this essay, I work with transference as a relational dynamic from psychoanalysis, to analyze love and loss experienced through learning relationships. Transference is the unconscious transfer of emotions from past relationships to present experiences. I explore transference in learning by disclosing my case study of dyadic learning, guided by Indian scholarship about a guru-shishya/teacher-student relationship of hierarchical, processual learning. I discuss the significance of transference for analyzing emotions of this superior-subordinate learning dyad, through my experience of transferential loss. I conceptualize transferential loss as emotions that accompany the loss of a formal, unequal, time-bound teacher-student transference relationship. I analyze this loss by scrutinizing shifting authority dynamics that I encountered with a loved academic guide, or guru. Through surfacing changes in transference and the pain of losing the teacher-student learning, this essay challenges neoliberal approaches to higher education which valorize instrumental and disembodied goals. Transferential loss connects Indian psychoanalysis about dyads and transference to management learning scholarship, including the importance of the unequal guru-shishya conceptualization for critical management education. This essay contributes to psychoanalysis in management scholarship, develops the concept of transference for learning contexts, and offers a case analysis to the management literature on grief, love, and academic self-disclosures.

**Keywords** Transference; psychoanalysis; learning; management education; neoliberal; guru; academic disclosure; reflexivity; teacher; grief; loss; love

1  
2  
3 *I walk with the new cup of tea,*  
4  
5  
6 *Back to my work space and think of you again,*  
7  
8 *With fondness,*  
9  
10 *And I'm swept suddenly into tears.*  
11  
12 *It hits me this way at times – unexpectedly, with painful intensity,*  
13  
14  
15 *In that way of grief -*  
16  
17 *The waves of grief.*  
18  
19 *You're still here. I can call you, email you. I sometimes see you.*  
20  
21  
22 *And, you're gone.*  
23  
24 *Our marked time of a learning relationship has passed.*  
25  
26 *I grieve.*  
27  
28 *No longer am I the shishya, the student,*  
29  
30 *Of the guru-shishya – the teacher-pupil relationship.*  
31  
32  
33 *I am unsettled in this space.*  
34  
35 *I miss my teacher.*  
36  
37 *And I often reflect about what this all means.*  
38  
39 *For learning relationships. For love of learning.*  
40  
41  
42 *For holding onto learning,*  
43  
44 *For higher education spaces where this love,*  
45  
46 *This importance of learning, for me seems ever-diminishing.*  
47  
48  
49 *Grasping, searching,*  
50  
51 *For love and learning,*  
52  
53 *And through this searching - grieving.*  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Learning is a process. To learn, one needs to open up to newness and challenge previous  
4 assumptions (a process discussed by some as unlearning, e.g. Rushmer & Davies, 2004).  
5  
6 Facing the prospects of not knowing is a vulnerable experience (e.g. French, 1997), and an  
7 unequal relationship to a teacher is important during these challenging uncertainties. Thus,  
8 learning is a *relational* process, shaped by anxieties and emotions, including love. However,  
9 emotions in learning relationships are ignored by neoliberal logics which intrude upon higher  
10 education environments. These logics elevate individualism and a customer satisfaction  
11 focus on evaluations, avoiding the vulnerability and dependency which are integral to  
12 learning.  
13  
14

15  
16 To interrogate the consequences of neoliberal logics for learning, I turn to  
17 psychoanalysis, and the Indian guru-shishya (teacher-student) relationship with unequal  
18 dynamics. Guided by these theoretical frameworks, I offer my own personal account of  
19 transference loss, to probe the importance of vulnerability and relationality in learning. I  
20 emphasize the importance of love and loss to impart the dangers of neoliberal logics, which  
21 damage the cultivation of relationships at the heart of learning.  
22  
23

### 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

**ORGANIZATION OF THIS ESSAY**

I opened this essay for the *Academy of Management Learning & Education* with my poem, to share my grief upon the formal ending of my learning in relation to my teacher, within the UK Higher Education landscape. Poetry about a learning relationship, disclosure of pain – I include these forms of expression here, to write in challenge of neoliberalized packaging of management education in marketized, instrumental terms. Neoliberal ideology has been wielding detrimental, wide-ranging effects on collective concerns and wellbeing (Harvey, 2005).

I therefore develop this essay, first with a section discussing the problems of neoliberal encroachment upon learning, to set the political scene for my autobiographical

1  
2  
3 analysis. Following this first section about neoliberal threats to learning, I proceed to  
4  
5 management research about the significance of emotions and relationships in learning, with a  
6  
7 focus on psychoanalysis. A psychoanalytic framework provides depth for analyzing love and  
8  
9 loss within the learning relationship, with the concept of *transference* (Gabriel, 1999), which  
10  
11 is defined in this way:  
12  
13

14  
15 Transference is a process where a person's emotions and expectations from a past relation(s)  
16 are unconsciously transferred to another in the present. Another way to think about  
17 transference is projecting our emotions, like fear and love experienced in childhood, to an  
18 important authority figure<sup>1</sup>.  
19

20 This relational dynamic of transference is critical for working through fears and  
21  
22 anxieties integral to learning. Guided by Freudian psychoanalysis and Indian scholarship, I  
23  
24 work with transference to conceptualize *transferential loss*:  
25

26  
27 Transferential loss refers to *emotional experiences*, such as periods of anxiety and sadness,  
28 that *accompany the loss* of a formal, unequal, time-bound teacher-student *transference*  
29 *relationship*.  
30

31 Following the section on emotions and relationships in learning, I then proceed with  
32  
33 discussion of the guru-shishya (teacher-learner) dyad and Indian psychoanalysis, with  
34  
35 contributions to critical management education and psychoanalysis debates. Next, I disclose  
36  
37 my emotions of struggle and vulnerability through my autobiographical case study of  
38  
39 transferential loss. After my case study analysis, I provide a summary of the essay's  
40  
41 contributions. I conclude with reflections on why I undertook this project, and I discuss the  
42  
43 implications of neoliberalized education for love and learning.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48

## 49 **NEOLIBERAL, CONSUMER APPROACHES TO** 50 **HIGHER EDUCATION AND LEARNING** 51

52  
53 Neoliberalism, as an approach to organizing society, involves a lionization of  
54  
55 individuality and the idea of "choice" (Fotaki, 2006; Gabriel, 2015). In this approach,  
56  
57 markets are viewed as rational, with dismissal of the need for collectivity and structures to  
58  
59 address social welfare (Fotaki & Prasad, 2015). Fotaki and Prasad (2015) analyze neoliberal  
60

1  
2  
3 capitalism as legitimizing and intensifying social inequalities, facilitated by business and  
4 management school training priorities. The authors recommend relationality as one of the  
5 ways to counter this ideology's damaging consequences (2015).  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 Vince (2011: 344) makes note of the “institutional forces at work in Business and  
11 Management Schools to discourage approaches to learning that might undermine provider  
12 and/or customer expectations and happiness (Herbert and Stenfors, 2007)”, and he depicts  
13 learning as marked by anxieties and defenses within emotional and political contexts.  
14 Implications of this work are that meaningful learning is not enclosed within readily  
15 measurable outcomes, favored by marketized, customer satisfaction-oriented university  
16 settings. Instead, learning is messy, social, and at times unconscious, a crucial point for  
17 psychoanalytic contributions to experiencing transformative learning (Vince, 2011).  
18  
19

20  
21 Antonacopoulou and Gabriel (2001) portray the significance of relationality for  
22 learning in a consumer context (2001: 447):  
23  
24

25  
26 In a culture where relations among producers and consumers replace other forms of human  
27 relations, the delicate and fragile relationship between teacher and learner threatens to be  
28 overwhelmed by the merchandising of alluring educational ‘packages’, suitable for self-  
29 promotion and marketing but offering few changes of genuine originality and learning  
30 (Sturdy and Gabriel, 2000).  
31  
32

33  
34 This threat to teacher-learner relations figures powerfully in contemporary  
35 neoliberalizing educational contexts, particularly UK Higher Education. Examples include  
36 the 2012 tripling of tuition fees in England and attendant valorization of customer discourses;  
37 ever-expanding metrics of UK academic staff's research and teaching; advice to “sell” one's  
38 self in academic interviews; and the push to entrepreneurial academic promotion criteria.  
39 French's astute analysis about the consequences of the “ideology of the market” (1997: 487)  
40 upon learning has striking resonance for these current dynamics:  
41  
42

43  
44 The dominant views today emphasize a linear conceptualization of learning, defining  
45 it as the mastery of predefined competencies or skills. This view is expressed in the  
46 omnipotent phrase, ‘by the end of this module, you will be able to...’, indicating that the  
47 envisaged learning is predetermined, restricted, and, above all, quantifiable...  
48 It is a *competence* view of learning that mirrors very closely the contemporary culture  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of *performativity* (French, 1997: 487, Original emphasis).  
4

5  
6 The intensification of these ideological approaches in the UK context, in moments  
7 such as 2012, works against providing healthy space for teacher-student emotional  
8 relationships. Without these meaningful relationships, support is dissolved for the  
9  
10 unpredictable, anxiety-provoking dynamics of long-term learning. Neoliberalized education  
11  
12 promotes instrumental transactions; *relationships* nurture learning.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

### 19 **THE SIGNIFICANCE OF EMOTIONS AND RELATIONSHIPS IN LEARNING**

20  
21 In this section, I will first highlight studies in management and organizational  
22  
23 behavior literature which have focused on the significance of emotions and relationships in  
24  
25 processes of learning. This section continues with a psychoanalytic perspective on emotions  
26  
27 and relationality of learning, with an emphasis on transference, leading into my discussion of  
28  
29 transference loss.  
30  
31  
32

33 Themes of *care* and *criticality* emerge in analyses of relational learning processes.  
34  
35 Corner and Pio (2017) studied postgraduate international students' research supervision in  
36  
37 New Zealand. The authors discussed the significance of supervisors' pastoral care for  
38  
39 students, and the centrality of critical reflexivity to address tensions in supervisor-student  
40  
41 relations (2017). The importance of a guru was revealed, as "students saw a supervisor as a  
42  
43 'guru' or revered teacher and themselves as disciples meant to faithfully implement the  
44  
45 guru's instructions. This guru-disciple relationship is part of India's culture and is how  
46  
47 knowledge has been traditionally transmitted (Pio, 2007)" (Corner & Pio, 2017: 28).  
48  
49

50  
51 Gabriel (2009) elucidates that "at the heart of the teacher-student relation" (2009:  
52  
53 384) is the intertwining of an ethic of care with an ethic of criticism. This dynamic means  
54  
55 that the cultivation of critical thinking will ultimately fail without care for the learner, and  
56  
57 care without any thoughtfully-considered critical feedback also impoverishes the process of  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 learning. Criticism may initially be uncomfortable, challenging one's narcissism and  
4  
5 stimulating defenses against the anxieties of not knowing (Antonacopoulou & Gabriel, 2001),  
6  
7 but criticism is crucial for developmental learning and effective when delivered with care.  
8  
9

10 Focusing on the '*developmental process of the business student*' (Hanson, Moore,  
11  
12 Bachleda, Canterbury, Franco, Marion, & Schreiber, 2017: 397, Original emphasis),  
13  
14 researchers studied the experiences of Brazilian, Moroccan, and North American  
15  
16 undergraduate students with regard to ethical development and relationships at University.  
17  
18 Students' interview responses revealed the significance of teacher-student relationality for  
19  
20 their felt support and moral development. This study illuminates the centrality of processual  
21  
22 aspects of relationships that are valued by students for their learning.  
23  
24  
25

26 Literature on emotions and relationships indicates that learning requires facing  
27  
28 uncertainty, difference, and vulnerability. Exploring group learning dynamics of  
29  
30 postgraduate international students, Gabriel and Griffiths (2008) explained that management  
31  
32 of anxieties was crucial for learning groups, comprised of individuals interacting across  
33  
34 cultural differences. Tomkins and Ulus (2016) demonstrate in an undergraduate setting the  
35  
36 importance of emotions in learning, including vulnerable emotions of the teacher, emotions  
37  
38 of student resistance, and happiness of learning created through teacher-student interactions.  
39  
40  
41

42 Learning is therefore difficult, emotionally-charged, shaped by social and political  
43  
44 contexts, interconnected with other learners, and supported by a teacher's care intertwined  
45  
46 with criticality. The ethic of care is communicated through relationality and emotions, by the  
47  
48 *process* of the developing teacher-student learning dyad. Psychoanalysis provides potent  
49  
50 concepts to explore *unconscious processes* of this learning.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

## 56 **A Psychoanalytic Approach to Emotions and Relationships in Learning**

57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 A psychoanalytic perspective has at its core the importance of the unconscious (Gabriel,  
4 1999). From its Freudian beginnings, psychoanalysis has explicitly acknowledged  
5 organizational and social dynamics and their effects on individuals (e.g. Freud, 1955). This  
6 framework provides critical possibilities for interrogating layers of meanings about self-other  
7 relationships in the context of wider dynamics (Gabriel, 1999; Kakar, 1995; Stein, 2007).  
8  
9

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15 Psychoanalytic resources to analyze relationships include defenses, also called  
16 defense mechanisms, which work in response to anxiety-provoking experiences. Defenses  
17 differ in when they arise developmentally, and they may help to address discomfort in the  
18 short-term. However, overuse of defense(s) may intensify rather than alleviate distress  
19 (Cramer, 2000). A helpful exploration of defense mechanisms is found in resources such as:  
20 Anna Freud's work, based on extensive analytic and research experience (Freud, 1966); a  
21 foundational study of social defenses (Menzies, 1960); and research analyzing defenses in  
22 varied organizational contexts (e.g. Fotaki & Hyde, 2015; Vince, 2006). Specific defenses  
23 will be analyzed below within the context of the case study.  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Psychoanalysis has contributed to analyses of higher education and learning, by illuminating *unconscious* relational dynamics like defenses that can facilitate or undermine learning. Vince (1998) demonstrates that a widely-used model of learning, Kolb's learning cycle, has assumptions which do not consider unconscious processes of fantasies, anxieties, and defenses. An experience of learning involves being confronted with something new. Far from generating an open and rational response from a learner, this encounter with newness may elicit anxiety and accompanying defenses (Vince, 1998). Therefore, it is violent when depersonalized rationality assumptions underpinning neoliberal capitalism are pushed into university environments (Fotaki & Prasad, 2015). These conditions damage critical prospects for confronting emotional dynamics such as anxieties, which are at the heart of learning, depicted vividly by Vince (e.g. 1998; 2011).

Vince (2011) theorized the innovative concept of spatial psychodynamics, to illuminate how different spatial arrangements generate political dynamics like gendered power relations, with accompanying fantasies and anxieties. Vince (2011) outlines how the unconscious expands our understanding of the concept of learning, such as learning as a dynamic, relational process. During this process, our emotions shape the interpretations that we make about ourselves and others.

Petriglieri, Wood, and Petriglieri (2011) worked with resources from psychoanalysis, such as a holding environment from psychoanalyst Winnicott, to analyze the benefits of personalized learning. Their context was a personal development elective in an international MBA program. Relational learning with peers, therapists, and coaches, as well as institutional holding dynamics such as space for self-reflection, helped students to work through the emotional difficulties of learning.

Vince and Gabriel (2011) highlight the importance of emotional experiences from one's developmental past. This past is a key source of unconscious patterns that may persist into adulthood, shaping how individuals respond to anxieties evoked by uncertainties of learning. Past experiences that may affect learning include painful emotions that accompanied learning failures and disappointments. A teacher, a facilitator of learning, becomes a vital presence for whether and in what directions the dynamics of learning occur (2011). In the context of a learner's personal history with unconscious dynamics, the teacher becomes a critical presence for the experience of *transference*.

### **Transference – A Core Relational Dynamic from Psychoanalysis**

In psychoanalysis, *transference* provides an explanatory framework for past relational experiences shaping current learning encounters. Transferred past relations may include emotional patterns of interactions in childhood, as well as prior adulthood relationships

1  
2  
3 (Fotaki, 2006). An example of transference is unconsciously expecting harshness, or  
4  
5 indulgent care, depending on one's previous relational patterns.  
6

7  
8 Transference may also manifest through seeking in another person an experience  
9  
10 which reinforces, or counters, what one has encountered emotionally in the past. For  
11  
12 instance, an unconscious motivation for seeking a specific relationship could be an effort  
13  
14 through transference to heal from previous relational wounds (Frosh, Phoenix, & Pattman,  
15  
16 2003; Kakar, 1991). To elaborate, an individual who has experienced relational  
17  
18 disappointment or trauma in a previous adult and/or parent-child relationship, may  
19  
20 unconsciously seek corrective healing experiences through subsequent dyadic encounters.  
21  
22  
23 The guru fantasy as analyzed by Kakar (1991) exemplifies transference, as this fantasy is an  
24  
25 unconscious search for a guru, or guide, one "who will heal the wounds suffered in the  
26  
27 original parent-child relationship" (50). A relationship to an authority figure provides fertile  
28  
29 ground for these transferred emotional yearnings (Freud, 1911-1913).  
30  
31  
32

33  
34 Countertransference refers to the emotional responses that an individual experiences,  
35  
36 in response to another person's transference (Gabriel, 1999; Theodosius, 2006). It is  
37  
38 important to address countertransference briefly, to reinforce that transference is a relational  
39  
40 process unfolding over time, in connection with another person's countertransference. The  
41  
42 countertransference responses from a teacher to a student's transferences, such as empathic  
43  
44 concern in response to transferred expectations of rejection, will shape the ongoing  
45  
46 interactions of the dyad and learning opportunities that may arise. Transference and  
47  
48 countertransference are thus interwoven together in delicate, mutually affecting, and crucially  
49  
50 *unconscious* ways.  
51  
52  
53

54  
55 Transference has been applied as an analytical tool in management and organizational  
56  
57 literature. Reflections on transference and countertransference provide pathways into making  
58  
59 sense of organizational encounters embedded in political contexts (Stein, 2004). An area of  
60

1  
2  
3 emphasis has been the roles of consultants and organizational researchers visiting  
4  
5 organizations, focusing on countertransference that outsiders experience in relation to  
6  
7 workers (Baum, 1994; Czander & Eisold, 2003; Diamond & Allcorn, 2003; Arnaud, 2012).  
8  
9

10 Fotaki (2006) illuminates the importance of transference for the patient-doctor  
11  
12 relationship, which can be affected by the introduction of patient choice agendas. This choice  
13  
14 agenda figures prominently in the neoliberal approach to organizing as discussed above. In a  
15  
16 higher education context, the valorization of “choice” attempts to equate students with  
17  
18 customers and education with an instrumental product. These impositions interfere with  
19  
20 important transference processes in higher education and thus disrupt potential for learning.  
21  
22

23  
24 French (1997) conveys the management of transference as crucial for supporting  
25  
26 learning. Specifically, the teacher’s containment of anxieties is an important process in  
27  
28 connection with students’ transference of emotions. Antonacopoulou and Gabriel (2001)  
29  
30 draw upon transference to discuss the unconscious, emotional dynamics “which bind together  
31  
32 student and teacher, practitioner and consultant, patient and analyst (Freud, 1912, 1986).  
33  
34 Through transference, early feelings and images are re-directed to new figures of authority”  
35  
36 (2001: 440). They illuminate the centrality of anxiety for learning, as well as the significance  
37  
38 of *love*, particularly in the “Love for one’s teacher...[as] a major stimulant for an individual’s  
39  
40 learning process” (Antonacopoulou & Gabriel, 2001: 440). I explore love and grief in this  
41  
42 vital transference relationship, conceptualized as transferential loss.  
43  
44  
45

### 46 **Transferential Loss**

47  
48 My focus with transferential loss is explicitly upon the *processual* experiences of *love* and  
49  
50 *loss* within an *unequal learning dyad*. By transferential loss, I do not mean that a loss of  
51  
52 transference altogether occurs. From a psychoanalytic perspective, experiences of  
53  
54 transference continue as long as individuals continue to meet. The loss is a *process* of  
55  
56 mourning, which occurs when a period of formal learning in relation to a teacher reaches an  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 inevitable end. In my case analysis, this loss is accompanied by a range of emotions over a  
4  
5 period of time. These emotions include deep sadness, along with the emergence of hope in  
6  
7 more advanced stages of mourning. The emotions of grief throughout this process have been  
8  
9 stitched to love.  
10

11  
12 I theorize transference loss drawing upon Indian literature about psychoanalysis and  
13  
14 the *guru-shishya* (or *guru-chela*) relationship (Neki, 1973). The *guru* refers to a teacher  
15  
16 occupying a specific role, elaborated below, and the *shishya* refers to the student learning  
17  
18 from this guru, in an explicitly unequal dyad (Kakar, 1995). The *guru-shishya* illuminates  
19  
20 crucial dynamics of the teacher-learning dyad for learning, including: an explicit emphasis on  
21  
22 *love*; the significance of an *unequal* learning dyad for *transformation*; and an *unconditional*  
23  
24 holding of space for the student to *fail as part of the learning process* (Neki, 1973). Indian  
25  
26 psychoanalysis illuminates the significance of this *guru-shishya* relationship, through an  
27  
28 emphasis upon *unconscious dynamics* in authority and learning, as probed further below.  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

### 35 **THE GURU-SHISHYA LEARNING DYAD: AN INDIAN CONTRIBUTION** 36 **TO THE EMOTIONS OF LEARNING RELATIONSHIPS** 37

38  
39 *At the heart of any learning process, be it academic excellence, spiritual attainment, or*  
40 *development of the inherent potentialities, it is the relationship that exists between the guru*  
41 *and the shishya that matters. It involves the dynamic interplay of personalities, the central*  
42 *one being that of the teacher and the taught (Raina, 2002: 191, Author emphasis).*  
43

44 I begin with this excerpt from Indian scholarship, to underscore the *guru-shishya*  
45  
46 emphasis upon an *unequal learning dyad*. This approach has been illuminating to make  
47  
48 sense of my own dyadic experience, growth, and grief upon the formal end of the learning  
49  
50 experience. I proceed with an overview of Indian psychoanalysis, which provides depth  
51  
52 about the *guru-shishya* dynamic. I continue with the importance of the *guru-shishya*  
53  
54 conceptualization for critical management education, and for psychoanalysis debates.  
55  
56  
57  
58

### 59 **Indian Psychoanalysis** 60

1  
2  
3 The application of psychoanalysis in India was initiated by Girindrasekhar Bose  
4 (Nandy, 1995), who in pre-Partition India established in 1921 the Indian Psychoanalytic  
5 Society, and Bose was in correspondence with Freud about psychoanalytic theorizing. Nandy  
6 (1995) analyzed the colonial tensions of psychoanalysis in Bengal, a historically significant  
7 region of the British Empire's presence in the Subcontinent. Hartnack (2001) discussed how  
8 a psychoanalytic framework has been used by Indian scholars to interrogate political  
9 complexities of colonial encounters.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18

19 Indian psychoanalysis has unearthed universalist assumptions in psychoanalytic  
20 scholarship as conceived and practiced in Western contexts. Examples include: culturally-  
21 diverse manifestations of castration anxiety (Sinha, 1966; Kakar, 2008) and the Oedipal  
22 complex (Sripada, 2005; Tang & Smith, 1996); childhood fantasies connected to country-  
23 specific patriarchal dynamics (Nagpal, 2000); and family honor as a source of resistance to  
24 anxiety-provoking experiences (Roland, 1991), bringing attention to how unconscious  
25 processes are shaped by familial-cultural conditioning. Defenses may occur in response to  
26 cultural prohibitions, such as restrictions against challenging superiors (Roland, 1982).  
27 Nandy (1982) demonstrates that Western psychoanalysis can learn from Indian  
28 psychoanalysis about the centrality of traumatic colonial history for understanding  
29 contemporary unconscious processes. Thus, Indian scholarship broadens the application of  
30 psychoanalysis, helping to globalize knowledge beyond Western and white discourses.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 Cultural shaping of unconscious dynamics does not negate possible shared  
48 unconscious processes across borders, as indicated by psychoanalyst Sudhir Kakar's analysis  
49 of a *guru fantasy*. This fantasy of being cared for by a guru, a guide or teacher, is not an  
50 unconscious desire limited to the borders of India. American and European individuals  
51 seeking counsel have demonstrated this guru desire (Kakar, 1995). This primarily  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 unconscious fantasy involves yearning for a guru to heal and strengthen the self (Kakar,  
4  
5  
6 1991). A guru fantasy may shape the interactions in a guru-shishya learning dyad.

7  
8 The precise roles and meanings of the guru and the shishya have varied across time  
9  
10 periods in the Indian Subcontinent (Kakar, 1991). A common theme across these periods is  
11  
12 learning from the guru through an intense *relationship* and experience of *transformation*,  
13  
14 which is interwoven in this essay's case study. The emphasis is on the guru as "still  
15  
16 recognizably human... demanding from the disciple the exercise of his [sic] reason rather  
17  
18 than exercises in submission and blind obedience" (Kakar, 1991: 42). This guru makes  
19  
20 transformation possible through nurturant criticism, which supports positive transference,  
21  
22 leading to enriching learning experiences.  
23  
24

25  
26 Ramaswami and Dreher (2010) discuss the historical significance of the guru-shishya  
27  
28 relationship in an interview study of Indian MBA students in the US, asking their views about  
29  
30 mentoring in India. Responses included the importance of protection, "Shielding the protégé  
31  
32 from untimely or potentially damaging contact with other senior officials" (2010: 512), and  
33  
34 "Psychosocial Functions" like role-modeling (2010: 512). Yet, explicit mention of a guru did  
35  
36 not arise in their study, and the authors question if mentors are distinguished from gurus –  
37  
38 whether "that term is reserved for more special, intense, and longer-term mentoring  
39  
40 relationships" (2010: 523). Their observation about the long-term is significant for the  
41  
42 political scene of my case study. Neoliberalized education with heightened short-termism  
43  
44 and precarity is violent to the nurturing of longer, deep relationships foundational to learning.  
45  
46 Transformational learning from a long-term relationship with the guru has significance for  
47  
48 critical management education, and for the application of transference from psychoanalysis to  
49  
50 management learning contexts.  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56  
57 **The Significance of the Guru-Shishya Dyad for Critical Management Education, and**  
58 **for Psychoanalysis Debates**  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 The guru-shishya conceptualization provides an important contribution to literature on  
4 authority relations and management learning. For instance, in critical management  
5 education<sup>2</sup>, there are arguments about the problems of power and hierarchical relations  
6 (Reynolds, 1999). Yet, with my case study, I contend that hierarchy, and critical  
7 transformations through learning, are not incompatible. The relationship with my guru was  
8 an unequal one, because of this person's senior organizational status and decades of scholarly  
9 expertise, and my status as a student facing newness in subjects and seeking to learn.

10  
11 *Transference* is central to this unequal relationship, as authority triggered unconscious  
12 relational dynamics, such as hope to be taught and cared for unconditionally by the one with  
13 greater hierarchical status. The guru-shishya model helped profoundly to make sense of my  
14 learning, because this unequal status did not inhibit learning, but rather enabled it to flourish.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
Kakar discusses this learning dyad as “one of perfect equality in self-realization, with  
radical insight as its goal. The relationship between the guru and disciple was of intimacy, not  
of merger. Both the guru and disciple were separate individuals, and potential equals, though  
striving for ever-greater closeness” (Kakar, 1991 : 42-43). There is deference to the guru, but  
the student “does not surrender his [sic] questioning spirit” (Neki, 1973: 758). The guru  
offers a dyadic space of healthy boundaries and *care*, to contend with anxieties of learning in  
the life path on which the student travels.

The guru therefore nurtures the conditions for learning, rather than imposing ideas  
and dictating ways of being. The unequal dyad is still important, as the guru's own expertise  
can provide safe, powerful conditions for self-directed learning. This guru-shishya long-term  
process may offer learning for social consciousness and change in ways that harmonize with  
critical management education aims (e.g. Perriton, 2014; Śliwa, Sørensen, & Cairns, 2015).

Indeed, Neki (1973) depicts this dyadic relationship as providing:

encounters for *transformation*...for the release of the individual from certain forms of  
conditioning that have been imposed upon him [sic] by *social institutions*. If social

1  
2  
3 institutions may be considered as a form of communication – with as much validity as, say,  
4 the rules of grammar – we may say that... [guru-shishya] relationships tend to correct the  
5 idiom of this communication (1973: 759, Author emphasis).  
6

7 This focus resonates with core aspects of critical management education, such as questioning  
8 and reflective processes (Reynolds & Vince, 2004; Vince, 2010).  
9

10 The guru-shishya dynamic also offers perspectives for debates about transference  
11 outside of the clinical context (Frosh & Baraitser, 2008; Frosh, 2010). Neki (1973) bridges  
12 the guru-shishya relationship and the therapist-patient relationship, by indicating differences  
13 as well as core similarities, such as a “desire for change, and a desire to bring about  
14 change...a strongly affective relationship is the basis of all psychotherapy – and this is what  
15 the therapist calls ‘transference’. The guru has an avowed ‘enduring *love*’ for the disciple  
16 [shishya, student]. It is one kind of deep relationship that binds them” (Neki, 1973: 759-760,  
17 Author emphasis).  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 This importance of the guru’s “loving care” (Neki, 1973: 760) resonates with  
31 Antonacopoulou and Gabriel (2001), who indicate a parallel between the love for an analyst  
32 and the love for a teacher; a love of truth underpins both relationships. French (1997) depicts  
33 differences between teaching and psychoanalysis, as well as similarities, including the need  
34 for boundaries, the importance of recognizing transference, and the need for supportive  
35 learning space, with reference to psychoanalyst Winnicott.  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 In Freud’s writings, transference is a relational phenomenon, shaped by early  
45 childhood influences. One’s unfulfilled “need for love” could shape “approach[ing] every  
46 new person whom he [sic] meets” (Freud, 1911-1913: 100) with this emotional pattern,  
47 implying that the transference of unconscious emotional needs is not limited to clinical  
48 practice. As noted by French (1997: 490), Freud also made direct reference to transference in  
49 an education context, analyzing transference to schoolmasters. Acknowledging that care  
50 must be exercised in how transference is applied in different contexts, my position is to  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 emphasize the core emotional meanings of transference – the unconscious, emotionally-  
4 marked processes experienced in a dyad with authority dynamics.  
5  
6  
7  
8

### 9 **TRANSFERENTIAL LOSS: AUTOBIOGRAPHICAL CASE STUDY**

10 To embark upon my own personal account, in this section I first highlight key studies on  
11 grief, loss, love, and academic disclosures. I then disclose my own painful, immeasurably  
12 valuable long-term dyadic learning experience, drawing upon transference and the guru-  
13 shishya to make sense of my loss.  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

#### 20 **Contributing to Management Research about Loss and Love**

21  
22 In research about work and management, there has been crucial attention to the  
23 significance of grief and loss (Bell & Taylor, 2016; Fineman, 1993; Hirschhorn, 1988; Kanji  
24 & Cahusac, 2015), and to unacknowledged loss and trauma (Gabriel, 2012). Research about  
25 grief has not delved frequently into management academics' own experiences. As noted by  
26 Whiteman (2010: 331), "grief or heartbreak is rarely captured within our management texts.  
27 Emotions in organizations are clearly legitimate topics of study (Fineman, 1993; Hochschild,  
28 1983), but our own aches in the face of our research tend not to be." In this essay I disclose  
29 my heartache with a specific learning experience, similar in approach to Prasad's (2014)  
30 analysis of his emotionally charged field work.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44 The grief explored here is not a result of organizational loss, such as restructuring and  
45 redundancies, which can be experienced in profoundly unsettling ways (Stein, 1997; Gabriel,  
46 2012; Fraher & Gabriel, 2014). Nor is the experience loss from a superior's physical death,  
47 which can trigger grief and adjustment struggles (Hyde & Thomas, 2003). This case study  
48 explores love and loss of a learning relationship.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54

55 Advocating for scholarship about love in learning connects to Bell and Sinclair's  
56 work (2014: 273) "as a 'methodology of the heart' (Pelias 2004; Sparkes, 2007) located in the  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 researcher's body", and to an analysis advocating for negative capability, with multiple  
4  
5 powerful references to the heart (Saggurthi & Thakur, 2016). There have been striking  
6  
7 analyses of love, such as its potential for political transformation of organizations (Vachhani,  
8  
9 2015), and the importance of eros, of love, in work as academics (Bell & Sinclair, 2014). My  
10  
11 case study is research *about* love, and *from* the heart, a tribute of love for a teacher.  
12  
13

14  
15 By sharing an autobiographical analysis of transference loss, I am offering a  
16  
17 psychoanalytic contribution to researcher embodiment and reflexivity. The importance of an  
18  
19 academic's disclosure for our knowledge has been illuminated by scholars including Brewis  
20  
21 (e.g. 2005) and Baines (2010). Researcher disclosures in management scholarship have not  
22  
23 often incorporated psychoanalysis for making sense of academic experiences, notable  
24  
25 exceptions including Kenny (2012) and Prasad (2014) about their fieldwork experiences.  
26  
27 Drawing upon these and other reflexive self-disclosures in management literature (e.g.  
28  
29 Cunliffe, 2018; Gilmore & Kenny, 2015; Girei, 2017; McDonald, 2016; Prasad, 2013), I  
30  
31 advocate for working with psychoanalysis for self-disclosure, drawing out contributions to  
32  
33 the emotions, relationships, and politics of learning.  
34  
35  
36

37  
38 Through reflecting on my grieving process with transference loss, aided by the  
39  
40 Editor's and Reviewers' comments for improving this essay, I have grown in confidence to  
41  
42 take the risks of academic disclosure. As Bell and Sinclair (2014) and Prasad (2014) note,  
43  
44 there are indeed risks in this kind of writing. Reflecting on love and the pain of grief is a risk  
45  
46 worth taking, to probe micro processes in learning contexts, as a pathway to challenging  
47  
48 neoliberal discourses that attempt to silence love and learning.  
49  
50

### 51 **Case Study Context and Autobiographical Analysis of Transference Loss**

52  
53

54 This case study is a reflection upon transference to an academic guide, a guru, whose  
55  
56 presence enabled me to reach this place of writing for academic outlets and developing as a  
57  
58 scholar. I experienced changes in this relationship which needed time for me to make sense  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 of consciously. This unequal dyadic experience had slipped away, by necessity through the  
4  
5 end of the formal learning. The sadness and hurt that struck me led to interest in theorizing  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

of consciously. This unequal dyadic experience had slipped away, by necessity through the end of the formal learning. The sadness and hurt that struck me led to interest in theorizing transferential loss.

It is important to note, prompted by reviewer feedback, that transferential loss could be experienced during an ongoing specific superior-subordinate relationship, as when one anticipates the loss of the relationship. For the purpose of my case study, I will specify transferential loss as occurring when the teacher-student transference relationship formally ends, and there is an abrupt change in authority dynamics. This change occurs without a recognized space for mourning, triggering an intense range of *ambivalent* emotions and defenses. Ambivalence means the co-occurrence of positive and negative transferences (Freud, 1911-1913).

I will refer to the person who was my learning guide in a generalized way to protect confidentiality. It is crucial however to provide a few biographical and contextual details, to acknowledge the connection of superior-subordinate relationships with cultural and political dynamics. My context for application of the guru-shishya dyad is higher education in the UK. At a stage in my educational training, I needed to complete a piece of work that required appointment of an academic supervisor. I have been very lucky to have several supportive teachers, starting from a grade school teacher who challenged us as children with language learning, pushing the boundaries of what we thought we could achieve at that level.

The individual about whom I now write was (is) special in this line of teachers. I realized that this process of supervised learning was marked by a treasured transferential relationship, one which can be conceptualized as actualizing, in fact exceeding, the gifts of a guru-shishya relationship (Kakar, 1995). The pain of the learning process that I experienced, alongside the treasure of comfort in the guru's care, had potent implications for this supervised relationship coming to an end.

1  
2  
3 The realization of this ending generated grief, an embodied sense of being unsettled,  
4 stuck, grasping with anguish for a time irretrievably gone. Coming to an understanding that I  
5 was grieving did not occur immediately. I underwent a period of sustained self-questioning  
6 of my pain and confusion. I reached an awakening from changes in the transference with the  
7 beloved authority figure. This loss was the end of the teacher-student dyad and its associated  
8 transferences during structured learning. I was (am) experiencing a period of what I have  
9 come to understand as transference loss.

10  
11 It is important to reflect on what I may have transferred unconsciously during this  
12 dyadic period of supervised learning<sup>3</sup>. Through ongoing sustained reflections and the writing  
13 of this essay, I realized that I transferred hopes to the guru for being loved unconditionally. I  
14 transferred desires for the guru to help me improve myself, and for me to remain worthy of  
15 care even if I failed. My transferences also included fears, of being abandoned, of being  
16 rejected. These transferred fears eased over time, in contact with verbal and implicit  
17 expressions of care from the guru. I thus had ambivalent transferences – both positive  
18 transferences such as hopes, together with negative ones, such as fears and unconscious  
19 bracing for indifference or rejection.

20  
21 It is difficult to express in words how much I was challenged by this guide with a  
22 gentle strength. At times, just the inspiring academic presence, with no words needed, was a  
23 relational push charged enough to question myself and recognize my strengths. The guru  
24 gifted a critical space for me to confront weaknesses academically and relationally, and  
25 evolve as a student and writer. I experienced the sense that I mattered, not only as a student,  
26 but also as a human being, an experience that actualized my transferred hopes.

27  
28 My previous teacher training, in a non-UK context, was strict about the significance  
29 of teacher-student boundaries, shaping my transferred expectations about boundaries in this  
30 educational dyad. I was grateful for the teacher-student boundaries established and

1  
2  
3 maintained throughout by the guru, as these boundaries provided feelings of safety. The  
4 appropriate guru and shishya roles were clear, nurturing my intrapsychic spaces to develop  
5 and work with ideas, and to have the strength to fail, fall down, and pick myself up again.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 When I completed this piece of work, the formal teacher-student relationship ended.  
11 After this point, I continued to address the teacher with formal address, something that was  
12 an expectation of my upbringing and school teachings on respect to authority. Yet it was  
13 more than cultural conditioning. I realized that I had developed a pattern of relating that  
14 provided intrapsychic benefits. I transferred my hopes to the guru guide, and I settled into  
15 this transference which provided a sense of familiarity, cherished learning moments, and  
16 growth.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

26 The emotions that I transferred during this learning period did not involve  
27 transferred expectations of only easy protection and positive relating, for I learned that  
28 learning required struggle. I encountered direct criticism and sharp, difficult challenges from  
29 the guru to improve in my work. I came to understand, through the responses to my  
30 transferred emotions, that I would be accepted even when faltering and failing. I learned that  
31 these criticisms were with, and not contrary to, care. This aspect of the transference connects  
32 to the management learning literature on the importance of criticism with care (e.g. Vince &  
33 Gabriel, 2011). I was a fortunate student to experience a learning relationship in which both  
34 criticism and care were transmitted in balance so beautifully.  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46

47 Embodied moments during my learning struggles in this relationship varied, from fear  
48 on whether I could manage the work, to laughter on being asked to re-read a particularly  
49 badly constructed sentence. Tears of disappointment were shed about feedback on my work,  
50 along with tears of joy when exceeding expectations. These sensations occurred privately,  
51 but their source was relational. Throughout, this relational process was underpinned by a  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 growing love for a bearer of learning who cared for me as a learner and human being,  
4  
5 unconditionally pushing me to exceed myself, evoking my childhood teacher.  
6  
7

8 I share my learning experience with transferential loss to demonstrate in a dyadic,  
9  
10 embodied way the critical insights from research in this essay about emotions, relationships,  
11  
12 and learning (e.g. French, 1997; Vince, 1998).  
13

14 Learning is painful.

15 Learning is messy.

16 Learning involves unconscious processes.

17 Learning requires confronting anxieties.

18 Learning is relational.

19 Learning needs love.

20 Learning phases end – do we talk about what happens emotionally when they do?  
21

22 After the close of this formal learning period, I persisted in using formal address, and  
23  
24 the teacher started gently to request addressing her/him by first name, as the student goals  
25  
26 were achieved, and we were now colleagues. This individual offered less hierarchical  
27  
28 relating, and even the possibility of becoming friends in the future, something one would  
29  
30 expect to generate joy. Instead, I felt wounded in response to these generous gestures. I was  
31  
32 (am) mourning, for the end of the student status in relation to the teacher, for the end of the  
33  
34 unconditional emotional space of the teacher-student transferential relationship. This offer of  
35  
36 informality was a reminder that my teacher was no longer my formal instructor, stimulating  
37  
38 waves of pain in my grief.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

50 This encouragement to relate on colleague terms was experienced as acute loss. What  
51  
52 exactly was lost? Reflecting upon this question, I have considered a range of experiences in  
53  
54 the teacher-student transference which ceased and triggered grief of transferential loss:  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 How could I contend with the disappearance of the safe guru space, by one who I  
4 appreciated as being in a higher hierarchical position? How do I accept the slipping away of  
5 the guru who watched over while providing ongoing developmental criticism?  
6  
7

8  
9  
10 How was my learning and growth to continue? This experience was irreplaceable – I  
11 still had (have) so much to learn, specific to this dyad.  
12  
13

14  
15 To whom would I now transfer my hopes and emotional expectations and not be  
16 shamed, or ignored?  
17  
18

19  
20 Who would hold my transferences with healthy boundaries of learning and provide  
21 critical care, to improve my potential contributions to socially just endeavors?  
22  
23

24  
25 My loss prompts me to question the intrapsychic consequences of this loss, when a  
26 formal relationship to another in authority has suddenly ceased and transformed into a  
27 different dynamic of relating. What happens emotionally in transition?  
28  
29

30  
31 The offer of being worthy enough to have the status of friend should appear to  
32 generate happiness, and a developmentally more mature way of interacting. This gesture on  
33 the surface was a pleasant act, by the teacher whom I admired (and sometimes feared! – not  
34 because of any harsh nature, but due to fear of letting her/him down). Yet, psychoanalysis  
35 offers us interpretations for responses that seem bewildering upon first inspection. The  
36 prospect of this opportunity for less formal relating had a deeply unsettling, rather than  
37 uplifting, effect.  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

46  
47 Upon these new exchanges with the guru with kind offers for less hierarchical  
48 exchanges, it was not contentment that was experienced but something else – some sense of  
49 being suspended, feeling sad, for the teacher-student transference could no longer continue. I  
50 could not consciously understand these emotions accompanying this loss, until a period of  
51 upheaval prompting self-questioning and extended reflection – a process ongoing with  
52 feedback provided for this essay.  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 I was (am) (still) grieving.  
4

5 Raina (2002) notes that if the period of guru-shishya learning is progressing well, the  
6 imbalance in status and roles begins to disappear. The guru “helps the disciple to find  
7 himself [sic] and in the process, as Neki (1973) puts it, the ‘unequals’ end up as ‘co-equals’ ”  
8 (2002: 182). I experienced this similar movement of role disappearance and changed  
9 relating.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15

16 With my experience, I offer a perspective on the shishya’s progression in learning,  
17 and corresponding changing relation to the guru, emphasizing the *potential resistance* of the  
18 shishya/student to this move to equality. What happens when the student, the subordinate,  
19 *resists the movement away from the unequal dyad toward relating on more equal footing?*  
20 What are emotional and political meanings of *resisting closure* of this formal, hierarchical  
21 relationship, marked by transferences supporting learning?  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29

30 This resistance may be experienced unconsciously, and manifest externally in  
31 perplexing ways, such as sadness in response to the teacher’s generosity.  
32  
33  
34

35 What is lost, and what does the loss trigger, when the guru who provides a safety net  
36 function (Raina, 2002), with critical feedback and care, disappears into a colleague or friend  
37 on same-status terms?  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 These questions raise the possibility that ostensibly positive developments, like  
43 successful completion of a piece of work, can overshadow losses when the guru is shed of  
44 formal teaching roles. We have rituals of graduation to mark successes. Do we need rituals  
45 of mourning on the losses, to give voice to what has been experienced with teacher expertise,  
46 as part of the fight back against neoliberal violence to education and critical learning?  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53

54 During this time of transference loss, I found myself reacting privately to my grief in  
55 some childish ways, which can be analyzed with the defense mechanism of *regression*.  
56  
57

58 Regression (Freud, 1966; Midgley, 2013) is a defensive process of moving backward to  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 behavior in earlier developmental stages, to ease anxiety and seek comfort. For instance, at a  
4  
5 large seminar, I met my former teacher and felt a qualitatively distinct shift in the  
6  
7 transferential relationship. At a period of time after the seminar, I realized that I was no  
8  
9 longer the student. I was just another colleague in the crowd! I felt childlike - where is my  
10  
11 place now? How do I let the guru go? I spoke with the guru briefly after the seminar, and  
12  
13 her/his response was collegial and informal, a movement forward to an ostensibly more  
14  
15 mature encounter. Yet I was crestfallen, privately longing to continue developing myself in a  
16  
17 structured period of critical care.  
18  
19  
20

21 I have been lucky that this guru has continued to support and look out for me in my  
22  
23 career development, ask after my health and other acts of care, but there was a deep, abrupt  
24  
25 change in relating soon after the formal learning period ended. To share another incident, I  
26  
27 saw the guru at an academic talk and addressed her/him by formal title. The response was  
28  
29 raised eyebrows, a nonverbal reminder of previous emails that I must address her/him by first  
30  
31 name, not by formal address. I responded to this nonverbal disapproval by saying that I  
32  
33 couldn't help lapsing into defenses and use titles, as the talk was at the University at which  
34  
35 she/he trained me. It became a humorous exchange on the surface, but this nonverbal  
36  
37 response, reminding me that our unequal dyad was over, hurt.  
38  
39  
40  
41

42 I have continued to search unconsciously for this relationship that I lost. After a  
43  
44 conference, I realized that something was missing following my presentation. When I was a  
45  
46 student, the guru provided critical feedback on conference talks in a manner fitting the guru-  
47  
48 shishya dynamic. Yet at this conference, the former guru was now listening and relating as  
49  
50 an interested conference peer. From Indian psychoanalytic understanding (e.g. Neki, 1973),  
51  
52 this peer relating is not the same as guidance within a superior/subordinate dyad, in which the  
53  
54 guru serves a process of supervising, critically improving, and developing the learner.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 At a time after the end of this guru-shishya formal learning period, I underwent an  
4 extended period of workplace trauma at a previous institution, and I could have reached out  
5 to the former guru in the spirit of friendship. However, I was longing to keep the student  
6 transference experience, not ready to let go. Unconsciously I was clinging to the  
7 subordinate role rather than connecting on the status of friends, which for me was a weakened  
8 relation compared to the special student place. An alternative explanation, prompted by a  
9 reviewer's comments, is that my resistance to disclosing my trauma and suffering was deeply  
10 ambivalent. I longed to hold on to the guru-shishya transference, yet I also wished to  
11 demonstrate that I could take care of professional troubles on my own, without the authority  
12 figure of care. This contradictory emotional experience was largely unconscious. In Neki's  
13 depiction of the guru-shishya, the student discloses "inner struggles to the guru who, aware of  
14 the pitfalls, directs and enables the disciple to steer clear" (Neki, 1973: 758). Having found  
15 myself so enveloped in a trauma at a previous institution, not "steering clear" on this  
16 occasion, did I ultimately fail as a student in learning from the privileged guru-shishya  
17 experience of training and care?  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36

37 Upon reflection, I surfaced emotional dynamics of this ambivalent period, wishing to  
38 reach out, but also worried that doing so would present myself as a disappointment to the  
39 guru. Perhaps my fears were expressing unconscious needs, for some form of unequal  
40 learning to endure, and for the unconditional receiver of my transferences to return.  
41  
42 Alongside longing for the guru to be proud of my professional independence, I yearned for  
43 the trusted teacher to hold the pieces and help when shattering from trauma.  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

51 I have continued to experience intense emotions of sorrow in this transference loss.  
52 Hope struggled to be felt among these emotions. When recognized, hope signified in this  
53 period of loss an important opportunity of growth and new ways of moving forward, by  
54 renegotiating relationships, which create *new transference dynamics*.  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 After extended reflection I realized that one way in which I've contended with this  
4 loss and its emotions is *introjection* of the guru and the teacher-student transference  
5 dynamics. Introjection, a defense mechanism elaborated upon by Anna Freud (Freud, 1966;  
6 Midgley, 2006) is applied here as internalizing the external experiences of the other in a  
7 dyad. Even when concrete experiences cease, the meanings of these relational patterns  
8 persist internally (Gabriel, 1999). As introjection is a defense, it is important to emphasize  
9 that it is mobilized in response to anxiety. For me, I felt the anxieties of loss and its range of  
10 meanings, including loss of the loved guru experience.  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

21 The guru, guide, and opener of so many experiences, became introjected, a part of  
22 self, guiding by taking on an intrapsychic life of its own. It may be that this introjection  
23 process begins *during* the guru-shishya transference of the formal dyadic learning period. In  
24 my conceptualization, this introjection takes on increasing importance *after* the end of the  
25 learning period, as a defense against the painful emotions of grief, by incorporating the lost  
26 experience of the guru – the lost object, in Freudian terms.  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

35 Yet, I realized that this introjection was not a full closing of my grief. The  
36 transference longing for protection and learning from the guru is something that I continued  
37 to seek privately, and perhaps unconsciously part of me will endure in doing so.  
38 Interestingly, consciously I realized that there are limitations or emotional windfalls to  
39 holding on to a junior or subordinate status, as I was inclined to do following the end of this  
40 particular guru-shishya relationship. Unconsciously, however, psychoanalysis has a lot to say  
41 about the endurance of specific patterns of transference in unequal relations and how they  
42 shape our wishes and longings (Freud, 1911-1913).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53 The physical death of a superior in a hierarchical system is materially different from  
54 the loss of a specific relationship, but there are striking similarities to examine in my  
55 transference loss case study. Hyde and Thomas (2003) analyze, in a health services context,  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 varied responses to a leader's death. They illustrate how death can surface powerful  
4  
5 ambivalence about the lost leader, as well as the uniqueness of mourning responses which  
6  
7 shed light on the relationship that has died<sup>4</sup>. Similarly, my loss triggered ambivalent  
8  
9 emotions, such as moving feelings of love for realizing how much I have learned through the  
10  
11 beauty of holding with caring criticism, together with anger and hurt for encouragement to  
12  
13 move towards "equal" standing. My transference loss was the loss of a relationship, a death,  
14  
15 for it could not be retrieved, relived, or re-experienced in any other learning dyad or  
16  
17 structured learning experience.  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 The leader's death (Hyde & Thomas, 2003) also triggered withdrawal patterns and  
23  
24 defensive routines, analyzed by drawing upon Freud's *Mourning and Melancholia*. My  
25  
26 persistence in addressing the guru formally may have constituted a defense of *denial*, a  
27  
28 developmentally regressive defense (Freud, 1966), not wishing to acknowledge the death of  
29  
30 this training period. Although I have now forced myself to address the guru in email by first  
31  
32 name, it is still by putting the first name with ... at the end. I indicated to the guru that I  
33  
34 could not just address with one name as if she/he/they were any other colleague or  
35  
36 acquaintance. The ... held space for the formal titles I once included, symbolic of the  
37  
38 disappeared relationship for which I still had longing.  
39  
40  
41

42  
43 This attention to physical death also prompted me to reflect on the centrality to  
44  
45 classical Freudian thought of love and death drives – the duality of Eros and Thanatos<sup>4</sup>. In  
46  
47 Fotaki's (2006) work about transference in a health context, Thanatos, the death drive, is  
48  
49 depicted as an outward force that may be re-directed against the self in harmful ways. The  
50  
51 guru-shishya transference, with healthy boundaries as I experienced, may also have the  
52  
53 function of protecting the shishya from annihilating feelings of shame. A neoliberal invasion  
54  
55 of education undermines this guru-shishya function. These feelings of shame may become  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 directed aggressively toward the self, causing death to the possibilities of growth through  
4  
5 learning.  
6

7  
8 Neoliberal logics in education do not celebrate unique teacher expertise and  
9  
10 relationships that nurture learning. Loss creates pain, and the struggles of this pain provide  
11  
12 insights about transformative dynamics needed for learning. The consequences of  
13  
14 neoliberalism eclipsing relationality and transformation bear examining, and I analyzed  
15  
16 transference loss as one path to do so.  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

### 22 **SUMMARY OF CONTRIBUTIONS**

23  
24 In this essay, I have offered my personal account of learning, drawing upon  
25  
26 psychoanalysis and the guru-shishya relationship. I contribute to scholarship on the learning  
27  
28 relationship from the perspective of the shishya's processual experiences of mourning. I  
29  
30 conceptualize transference loss to emphasize my resistance to separation from the guru, to  
31  
32 "equality" through disappearance of hierarchical roles. This inevitable detachment surfaces  
33  
34 in Kakar (1995: 272-3):  
35  
36

37  
38 The "evolved beings" in India, including the most respected gurus, have always held  
39  
40 that the guru, too, is only seemingly a person but is actually a function, a transitional  
41  
42 object in modern parlance, as are all the various gods who are also only aspects of  
43  
44 the self.

45  
46 An implication of this analysis is that the shishya, the student-learner, at some point needs to  
47  
48 find her/his/their own way. The guru is not to dominate, a potent insight to counter the  
49  
50 misuse of the guru role for exploitation (e.g. Raina, 2002). With my account, I analyze the  
51  
52 losses of this process and its meanings for neoliberalizing educational contexts.

53  
54 My case study draws together Indian scholarship (Kakar, 2008), Freudian foundations  
55  
56 (e.g. Freud, A. 1966; Freud, S. 1911-1913), and psychoanalytic work in management on  
57  
58 holding spaces and containment (e.g. French, 1997; Petriglieri, Wood, & Petriglieri, 2011), to  
59  
60 demonstrate the centrality of the guru's transitional function for me to learn. Kakar's (1995)

1  
2  
3 mention of a transitional object indicates the work of Winnicott, who conceived of the  
4 transitional object as “an intermediate area of *experiencing*... a resting-place” (Winnicott,  
5 1953: 90, original emphasis), to help with anxiety. This place evokes the *safety net* provided  
6 by the guru (Raina, 2002). My case disclosure indicates that this transitional place, as  
7 experienced through the relations of transference to a guru during learning, is not one of  
8 pampering and spoiling. Rather, a transitional place for learning provides safety to fail,  
9 through a balance of care with criticism (Vince & Gabriel, 2011). This relational experience  
10 supports readiness for anxieties of newness (Vince, 1998), and actualizes learning beyond  
11 University content and institutional objectives.  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

23  
24 The figure of a guru who does not impose ideas, and provides space for working  
25 through anxieties and vulnerability central to learning, speaks to critical management  
26 education concerns about power and hierarchy in teaching. I contribute my account to argue  
27 that an unequal relationship, as I theorize with transference and the guru-shishya, may  
28 cultivate learning through *transformation*. My essay thus offers points of reflection for  
29 critical management education (e.g. Reynolds, 1999; Reynolds & Vince, 2004; Perriton,  
30 2014; Śliwa, Sørensen, & Cairns, 2015; Vince, 2010). This transformation through love  
31 challenges “standardized and often meaningless evaluation exercises”<sup>5</sup> formalized by  
32 commercial logics in educational settings.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

44  
45 Theorizing transference loss, I contribute to psychoanalytic work which has analyzed  
46 the importance of unconscious processes for management and organizational learning  
47 (Petriglieri, Wood, & Petriglieri, 2011; Vince, 2011), including a focus in psychoanalysis on  
48 *transference* for relational learning (Antonacopoulou & Gabriel, 2001; French, 1997).  
49 Transference loss also offers a contribution to psychoanalytic debates about the use of  
50 transference outside of a clinical context (Frosh & Baraitser, 2008; Frosh, 2010). With my  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 case study, I advocate for the richness of transference for analyzing emotions of learning and  
4  
5 teacher-student encounters (French, 1997; Neki, 1973).  
6

7  
8 With this autobiographical analysis, I contribute to the application of transference  
9  
10 research in management and organization scholarship. Fotaki (2006) illuminates the  
11  
12 problematic introduction of the presumed ideal of patient choice for transference-  
13  
14 countertransference processes in doctor-patient relationships. I offer my analysis as an  
15  
16 appeal for such transference analyses to be extended to higher education and other learning  
17  
18 contexts affected by neoliberal logics.  
19  
20

21  
22 Management research with transference has emphasized the role of researchers as  
23  
24 experts, centering the analysis on researchers' countertransference, receiving others'  
25  
26 transferences (Baum, 1994; Czander & Eisold, 2003; Diamond & Allcorn, 2003; Arnaud,  
27  
28 2012). With this case disclosure, I analyze my own transferences with love and loss, as a  
29  
30 *subordinate in relation to a person in authority*, rather than as a researcher with formal status.  
31  
32 I also offer a different transference approach by focusing on the changes of a learning  
33  
34 relationship with one person over an extended period of time, in contrast to reflections by  
35  
36 experts upon time-bounded organizational visits.  
37  
38

39  
40 Offering this case study, I emphasize the need to think about our own vulnerabilities.  
41  
42 My disclosure stresses the *unconscious* aspects of relationships in unequal dyads. My  
43  
44 account supports scholarship about academics' experiences and reflexivity (e.g. Brewis,  
45  
46 2005; Kenny, 2012; Prasad, 2013). When researchers share their experiences in formalized  
47  
48 academic outlets, scholarship is humanized by challenging illusions of objectivity,  
49  
50 demonstrating that we are not greater or other than what we teach and research.  
51  
52

53  
54 This essay provides an autobiographical case study of transference within a guru-  
55  
56 shishya dyad, to enrich emotional understandings of learning. My personal account connects  
57  
58 emotional processes in dyads such as transferences and anxieties to wider political changes in  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 higher education and learning. It is possible that transference loss may occur in other  
4 relationships, such as unexpected interruptions to relational learning. Instances of such  
5 disruptions include departure of a teacher to another institution, staff or student illness, non-  
6 renewal of temporary contracts, or job loss through compulsory redundancies. These dyadic  
7 breakages in contemporary educational contexts bear further examining in management  
8 literature, for their effects upon our learning potential and communities in which we are  
9 embedded.  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21

22 **CONCLUDING:**  
23 **SUMMARIZING ESSAY PURPOSE,**  
24 **REFLECTING ON IMPLICATIONS FOR LOVE AND LEARNING**  
25

26  
27 In writing from the heart, and about heartbreak, I offered this essay with the hope for  
28 discussions of love and loss in management scholarship to continue, and to generate new  
29 beginnings. This essay is a project of writing against mainstream conventions, in order to  
30 offer a tribute of love to a treasured teacher, and to make sense of my loss and its  
31 ramifications. As I began to feel grief for the learning relationship, I started connecting to  
32 transference to analyze my loss. I realized that I could offer an autobiographical case study  
33 demonstrating the importance of transference in a learning context.  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

43 My purpose in this essay has been to draw upon transference, to theorize transference  
44 loss, working with my own account to scrutinize how neoliberalized education violently  
45 excludes relationality and love. Carrying this micro-level focus forward, I question *what we*  
46 *may be losing* when learning contexts do not nurture opportunities for teacher-student  
47 processual learning. These processes include the challenges of beginnings and endings,  
48 themselves crucial sources of learning.  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55

56 Conveying the liberating potential of psychoanalysis has been another aim of my  
57 project. This writing about transference loss has been emancipatory, offering the ability to  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 share my love for the guru and work through pain. Progressing through the mourning process  
4  
5 helped me to realize that movement toward “equal” relating does not need to undermine the  
6  
7 guru-shishya cherished training, for the unconscious continues to carry these experiences.  
8  
9  
10 Transferential loss helped me to understand how struggles of mourning can clear new paths  
11  
12 of understanding into contemporary dynamics, and can build resistance against trends violent  
13  
14 to love. In these ways, psychoanalysis is not something to avoid, dismiss, or approach  
15  
16 negatively (as has been viewed in some negative responses), but rather is a source of healing,  
17  
18 strength, and meaning.  
19  
20

21 Letting go of this essay by ending this writing project also constitutes loss, and  
22  
23 psychoanalysis offers healing resources to process this loss. This writing project and its  
24  
25 ending have been accompanied by the *gift* of furthering my scholarly understanding, and my  
26  
27 self-understanding about loss, in the reviewing process and offerings from the Editor and the  
28  
29 Reviewers.  
30  
31

32  
33 This essay is not advocating that one should look for guru–shishya dynamics in all  
34  
35 authority relations, or that one must try to induce or change a particular type of transference.  
36  
37 This is not the meaning and purpose of applying a psychoanalytic approach, which invites us  
38  
39 to examine how transference and countertransference shape relationships. I also emphasize  
40  
41 that this work is not a call for teachers in University education to overstretch themselves even  
42  
43 further in a context of increasing stress, increasing rates of resigning from the pains and  
44  
45 violence of academia, and so on. Instead, this case study is disclosed to argue for the urgency  
46  
47 of structural transformations, so that energy of staff is not trapped in audit culture, in  
48  
49 precarious contracts, in often punishing working conditions, liberated instead for unique,  
50  
51 critically caring relationships with students. These relationships cannot be nurtured where  
52  
53 neoliberal ideology imposes transactional logics, short-term contracts and goal capture as  
54  
55 “learning”, bereft of love.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 In neoliberalized education, teachers and learners are disembodied, exchangeable  
4 economic pieces. I disclosed my experiences to write in challenge of these logics, advocating  
5 for appreciating the unique person of the teacher and of the learner. I found inspiration about  
6 this uniqueness from the guru-shishya dyad, in which “there is a symbolic cremation of  
7 earlier relationships – a rebirth with a forging of a new relationship in which the guru comes  
8 to establish not a proxied kinship with the disciple but a relation *sui generis*” (Neki, 1973:  
9 761).

10  
11  
12 With my account, I take my stance explicitly and urgently for love: the need to have  
13 love for learning, love within learning, love in offering our learning. Discourses on satisfying  
14 students, on serving the needs of customers, on enhancing employability, are lacking in  
15 concern for the relationality of learning. These discourses exclude cultivating criticality in  
16 learning relationships through *love*, which is needed to work through learning anxieties, and  
17 strive to socially-transformative learning in support of communities.

18  
19  
20 The emotional impoverishment of market-based learning manifests the inhumanity of  
21 neoliberal logics, draining love. “Learning, as the Greeks realized, is ultimately a labour of  
22 love, for one’s teacher, for one’s community, for oneself and for truth; yet, love itself must be  
23 cultivated and developed through learning” (Antonacopoulou & Gabriel, 2001: 444-445).  
24 My autobiographical reflections stimulate questions about the consequences of neoliberalized  
25 education for love and learning:

26  
27  
28 If the teacher is not valued as one who prepares the student for complex questions,  
29 constructed instead as a bearer of student satisfaction, stripped of any guru ideals, where is  
30 the transference source of learning?

31  
32  
33 Where is the core of the learning relationship, shaped by conscious and unconscious  
34 relational processes?

1  
2  
3 Without the figure of a guru to guide students through vulnerability of learning,  
4  
5 without structural conditions that support this relational training, with the space to fail, and  
6  
7 critical care –  
8  
9

10 What is actually left in higher education?  
11

12 Where is love?  
13

14 And without love – where is learning?  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to *Academy of Management Learning & Education* Editorial Team and Reviewers for giving this writing project a chance. Thank you to Associate Editor Professor Russ Vince for extensive critical guidance and encouragement throughout this process. I am grateful to three anonymous Reviewers, whose investment, care, and rigorous feedback helped me improve in scholarship, and provided reflective considerations about my guru-shishya experience, helping to make sense of my ongoing grief – this is a gift. I thank Professor Ajnesh Prasad and Professor Amy Fraher for their enthusiasm and feedback about earlier drafts of this project.

My thanks to the inspiration for this project and its outreach – my teacher, a guru.

Review Proof – Not Final Version

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

<sup>1</sup>I would like to thank two reviewers who helped me to simplify the definition of transference here.

<sup>2</sup>I would like to thank a reviewer for highlighting this reference and these important points about critical management education, among many detailed, valuable, supportive comments. The reviewer noted that a contribution can be made to these critical management debates by discussing how unequal dyads, through long-term dyadic interactions with emotional holding, can in fact “stimulate reflection and learning” [phrase quoted directly from the reviewer’s comments.]

<sup>3</sup>I would like to thank a reviewer for noting the importance of articulating what I was transferring in this process in relation to the guru, among many detailed, valuable, supportive comments. Elaborating on these transferred emotions have helped me to think through and improve a number of points throughout the essay and to sharpen my contributions.

<sup>4</sup>I would like to thank a reviewer for sharing this article and explaining the importance of writing about love together with death, central to classical Freudian psychoanalysis, among many detailed, valuable, supportive comments. Thinking through the significance of Eros with Thanatos has powerful implications for further application of transference in educational contexts.

<sup>5</sup>This phrase is directly quoted from a reviewer comment.

## REFERENCES

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 Antonacopoulou, E. P., & Gabriel, Y. 2001. Emotion, learning and organizational change -  
7 Towards an integration of psychoanalytic and other perspectives. *Journal of*  
8 *Organizational Change Management*, 14(5): 435-451.  
9
- 10 Arnaud, G. 2012. The contribution of psychoanalysis to organization studies and  
11 management: An overview. *Organization Studies*, 33(9): 1121-1135.  
12
- 13 Baines, D. 2010. Gender mainstreaming in a development project: Intersectionality in a post-  
14 colonial un-doing? *Gender, Work & Organization*, 17(2): 119-149.  
15
- 16 Baum, H. S. 1994. Transference in organizational research. *Administration & Society*, 26(2):  
17 135-157.  
18
- 19 Bell, E., & Sinclair, A. 2014. Reclaiming eroticism in the academy. *Organization*, 21(2):  
20 268-280.  
21
- 22 Bell, E., & Taylor, S. 2016. Vernacular mourning and corporate memorialization in framing  
23 the death of Steve Jobs. *Organization*, 23(1): 114-132.  
24
- 25 Brewis, J. 2005. Signing my life away? Researching sex and organization. *Organization*,  
26 12(4): 493-510.  
27
- 28 Corner, P. D., & Pio, E. 2017. Supervising international students' theses and dissertations.  
29 *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 16(1): 23-38.  
30
- 31 Cramer, P. 2000. Defense mechanisms in psychology today: Further processes for adaptation.  
32 *American Psychologist*, 55(6): 637-646.  
33
- 34 Cunliffe, A. L. (2018). Alterity: The passion, politics, and ethics of self and scholarship.  
35 *Management Learning*, 49(1), 8-22.  
36
- 37 Czander, W., & Eisold, K. 2003. Psychoanalytic perspectives on organizational consulting:  
38 Transference and counter-transference. *Human Relations*, 56(4): 475-490.  
39
- 40 Diamond, M., & Allcorn, S. 2003. The cornerstone of psychoanalytic organizational analysis:  
41 Psychological reality, transference and counter-transference in the workplace. *Human*  
42 *Relations*, 56(4): 491-514.  
43
- 44 Fineman, S. 1993. *Emotion in organizations*. London Sage Publications.  
45
- 46 Fotaki, M. 2006. Choice is yours: A psychodynamic exploration of health policymaking and  
47 its consequences for the English National Health Service. *Human Relations*, 59(12):  
48 1711-1744.  
49
- 50 Fotaki, M., & Hyde, P. 2015. Organizational blind spots: Splitting, blame and idealization in  
51 the National Health Service. *Human Relations*, 68(3): 441-462.  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Fotaki, M., & Prasad, A. 2015. Questioning neoliberal capitalism and economic inequality in  
4 business schools. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 14(4): 556-575.  
5  
6  
7 Fraher, A. L., & Gabriel, Y. 2014. Dreaming of flying when grounded: Occupational identity  
8 and occupational fantasies of furloughed airline pilots. *Journal of Management*  
9 *Studies*, 51(6): 926-951.  
10  
11 French, R. B. 1997. The teacher as container of anxiety: Psychoanalysis and the role of  
12 teacher. *Journal of Management Education*, 21(4): 483-495.  
13  
14 Freud, A. 1966. *The ego and the mechanisms of defense* (Revised ed.). New York:  
15 International Universities Press, Inc.  
16  
17  
18 Freud, S. 1911-1913. The dynamics of transference. In J. Strachey (Ed.), *The standard*  
19 *edition of the complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud*: Vol. XII: 99-108.  
20 London: Vintage Books.  
21  
22  
23 Freud, S. 1955. Group psychology and the analysis of the ego. In J. Strachey (Ed.), *The*  
24 *Standard Edition of the Complete Psychological Works of Sigmund Freud*: Vol. 18:  
25 65-143. London: The Hogarth Press.  
26  
27  
28 Frosh, S. 2010. *Psychoanalysis outside the clinic: Interventions in psychosocial studies*.  
29 Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.  
30  
31 Frosh, S., & Baraitser, L. 2008. Psychoanalysis and psychosocial studies. *Psychoanalysis,*  
32 *Culture & Society*, 13(4): 346-365.  
33  
34 Frosh, S., Phoenix, A., & Pattman, R. 2003. Taking a stand: Using psychoanalysis to explore  
35 the positioning of subjects in discourse. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 42:  
36 39-53.  
37  
38  
39 Gabriel, Y. 1999. *Organizations in depth: The psychoanalysis of organizations*. London:  
40 Sage Publications.  
41  
42 Gabriel, Y. 2009. Reconciling an ethic of care with critical management pedagogy.  
43 *Management Learning*, 40(4): 379-385.  
44  
45  
46 Gabriel, Y. 2012. Organizations in a state of darkness: Towards a theory of organizational  
47 miasma. *Organization Studies*, 33(9): 1137-1152.  
48  
49 Gabriel, Y. 2015. Identity, choice and consumer freedom—the new opiates? A psychoanalytic  
50 interrogation. *Marketing Theory*, 15(1): 25-30.  
51  
52  
53 Gabriel, Y., & Griffiths, D. S. 2008. International learning groups: Synergies and  
54 dysfunctions. *Management Learning*, 39(5): 503-518.  
55  
56  
57 Gilmore, S., & Kenny, K. 2015. Work-worlds colliding: Self-reflexivity, power and emotion  
58 in organizational ethnography. *Human Relations*, 68(1): 55-78.  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 Girei, E. 2017. Decolonising management knowledge: A reflexive journey as practitioner and  
4 researcher in Uganda. *Management Learning*, 48(4): 453-470.  
5  
6  
7 Hanson, W. R., Moore, J. R., Bachleda, C., Canterbury, A., Franco, C., Marion, A., &  
8 Schreiber, C. 2017. Theory of moral development of business students: Case studies  
9 in Brazil, North America, and Morocco. *Academy of Management Learning &*  
10 *Education*, 16(3): 393-414.  
11  
12 Hartnack, C. 2001. *Psychoanalysis in colonial India*. New Delhi: Oxford University Press.  
13  
14 Harvey, D. 2005. *A brief history of neoliberalism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.  
15  
16  
17 Hirschhorn, L. 1988. *The workplace within: Psychodynamics of organizational life*.  
18 Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.  
19  
20  
21 Hyde, P., & Thomas, A. B. 2003. When a leader dies. *Human Relations*, 56(8): 1005-1024.  
22  
23 Kakar, S. 1991. The guru as healer. *The analyst and the mystic: Psychoanalytic reflections*  
24 *on religion and mysticism*: 41-63. New Delhi, India: Penguin Books.  
25  
26  
27 Kakar, S. 1995. Clinical work and cultural imagination. *Psychoanalytic Quarterly*, 64(2):  
28 265-281.  
29  
30  
31 Kakar, S. 2008. *Culture and psyche: Selected essays* (2nd ed.). New Delhi: Oxford  
32 University Press.  
33  
34  
35 Kanji, S., & Cahusac, E. 2015. Who am I? Mothers' shifting identities, loss and sensemaking  
36 after workplace exit. *Human Relations*, 68(9): 1415-1436.  
37  
38  
39 Kenny, K. 2012. 'Someone big and important': Identification and affect in an international  
40 development organization. *Organization Studies*, 33(9): 1175-1193.  
41  
42  
43 McDonald J. 2016. Expanding queer reflexivity: The closet as a guiding metaphor for  
44 reflexive practice. *Management Learning*, 47: 391-406.  
45  
46  
47  
48 Menzies, I. E. P. 1960. A case-study in the functioning of social systems as a defence against  
49 anxiety. *Human Relations*, 13: 95-121.  
50  
51  
52  
53 Midgley, N. 2006. Psychoanalysis and qualitative psychology: Complementary or  
54 contradictory paradigms? *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(3): 213-231.  
55  
56  
57  
58 Midgley, N. 2013. *Reading Anna Freud*. London: Routledge.  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- 1  
2  
3 Nandy, A. 1995. The savage Freud: The first non-western psychoanalyst and the politics of  
4 secret selves in colonial India, *The savage Freud and other essays on possible and*  
5 *retrievable selves*: 81-144. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.  
6  
7  
8 Neki, J. S. 1973. Guru-chela relationship - The possibility of a therapeutic paradigm.  
9 *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 43(5): 755-766.  
10  
11 Petriglieri, G., Wood, J. D., & Petriglieri, J. L. 2011. Up close and personal: Building  
12 foundations for leaders' development through the personalization of management  
13 learning. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 10(3): 430-450.  
14  
15  
16 Perriton, L. (2014). The (re)negotiation of the critical warrant in critical management  
17 education: A research agenda. *Human Resource Development International*, 17(4),  
18 384-399.  
19  
20  
21 Prasad, A. 2013. Playing the game and trying not to lose myself: A doctoral student's  
22 perspective on the institutional pressures for research output. *Organization*, 20(6):  
23 936-948.  
24  
25  
26 Prasad, A. 2014. You can't go home again: And other psychoanalytic lessons from crossing a  
27 neo-colonial border. *Human Relations*, 67(2): 233-257.  
28  
29  
30 Raina, M. K. 2002. Guru-shishya relationship in Indian culture: The possibility of a creative  
31 resilient framework. *Psychology & Developing Societies*, 14(1): 167-198.  
32  
33  
34 Ramaswami, A., & Dreher, G. F. 2010. Dynamics of mentoring relationships in India: A  
35 qualitative, exploratory study. *Human Resource Management*, 49(3): 501-530.  
36  
37  
38 Reynolds, M. 1999. Critical reflection and management education: Rehabilitating less  
39 hierarchical approaches. *Journal of Management Education*, 23(5): 537-553.  
40  
41  
42 Reynolds, M., & Vince, R. 2004. Critical management education and action-based learning:  
43 Synergies and contradictions. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*,  
44 3(4): 442-456.  
45  
46  
47 Roland, A. 1982. Toward a psychoanalytical psychology of hierarchical relationships in  
48 Hindu India. *Ethos*, 10(3): 232-253.  
49  
50  
51 Roland, A. 1991. Psychoanalysis in India and Japan: Toward a comparative psychoanalysis.  
52 *The American Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 51(1): 1-10.  
53  
54  
55 Rushmer, R., & Davies, HTO. 2004. Unlearning in health care. *Quality and Safety in Health*  
56 *Care*, 13: ii10-ii15.  
57  
58  
59 Saggurthi, S., & Thakur, M. 2016. Usefulness of uselessness: A case for negative capability  
60 in management. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 15(1): 180-193.  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88  
89  
90  
91  
92  
93  
94  
95  
96  
97  
98  
99  
100  
101  
102  
103  
104  
105  
106  
107  
108  
109  
110  
111  
112  
113  
114  
115  
116  
117  
118  
119  
120  
121  
122  
123  
124  
125  
126  
127  
128  
129  
130  
131  
132  
133  
134  
135  
136  
137  
138  
139  
140  
141  
142  
143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152  
153  
154  
155  
156  
157  
158  
159  
160  
161  
162  
163  
164  
165  
166  
167  
168  
169  
170  
171  
172  
173  
174  
175  
176  
177  
178  
179  
180  
181  
182  
183  
184  
185  
186  
187  
188  
189  
190  
191  
192  
193  
194  
195  
196  
197  
198  
199  
200  
201  
202  
203  
204  
205  
206  
207  
208  
209  
210  
211  
212  
213  
214  
215  
216  
217  
218  
219  
220  
221  
222  
223  
224  
225  
226  
227  
228  
229  
230  
231  
232  
233  
234  
235  
236  
237  
238  
239  
240  
241  
242  
243  
244  
245  
246  
247  
248  
249  
250  
251  
252  
253  
254  
255  
256  
257  
258  
259  
260  
261  
262  
263  
264  
265  
266  
267  
268  
269  
270  
271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385  
386  
387  
388  
389  
390  
391  
392  
393  
394  
395  
396  
397  
398  
399  
400  
401  
402  
403  
404  
405  
406  
407  
408  
409  
410  
411  
412  
413  
414  
415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420  
421  
422  
423  
424  
425  
426  
427  
428  
429  
430  
431  
432  
433  
434  
435  
436  
437  
438  
439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493  
494  
495  
496  
497  
498  
499  
500  
501  
502  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508  
509  
510  
511  
512  
513  
514  
515  
516  
517  
518  
519  
520  
521  
522  
523  
524  
525  
526  
527  
528  
529  
530  
531  
532  
533  
534  
535  
536  
537  
538  
539  
540  
541  
542  
543  
544  
545  
546  
547  
548  
549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600  
601  
602  
603  
604  
605  
606  
607  
608  
609  
610  
611  
612  
613  
614  
615  
616  
617  
618  
619  
620  
621  
622  
623  
624  
625  
626  
627  
628  
629  
630  
631  
632  
633  
634  
635  
636  
637  
638  
639  
640  
641  
642  
643  
644  
645  
646  
647  
648  
649  
650  
651  
652  
653  
654  
655  
656  
657  
658  
659  
660  
661  
662  
663  
664  
665  
666  
667  
668  
669  
670  
671  
672  
673  
674  
675  
676  
677  
678  
679  
680  
681  
682  
683  
684  
685  
686  
687  
688  
689  
690  
691  
692  
693  
694  
695  
696  
697  
698  
699  
700  
701  
702  
703  
704  
705  
706  
707  
708  
709  
710  
711  
712  
713  
714  
715  
716  
717  
718  
719  
720  
721  
722  
723  
724  
725  
726  
727  
728  
729  
730  
731  
732  
733  
734  
735  
736  
737  
738  
739  
740  
741  
742  
743  
744  
745  
746  
747  
748  
749  
750  
751  
752  
753  
754  
755  
756  
757  
758  
759  
760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810  
811  
812  
813  
814  
815  
816  
817  
818  
819  
820  
821  
822  
823  
824  
825  
826  
827  
828  
829  
830  
831  
832  
833  
834  
835  
836  
837  
838  
839  
840  
841  
842  
843  
844  
845  
846  
847  
848  
849  
850  
851  
852  
853  
854  
855  
856  
857  
858  
859  
860  
861  
862  
863  
864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918  
919  
920  
921  
922  
923  
924  
925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941  
942  
943  
944  
945  
946  
947  
948  
949  
950  
951  
952  
953  
954  
955  
956  
957  
958  
959  
960  
961  
962  
963  
964  
965  
966  
967  
968  
969  
970  
971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000

- 1  
2  
3 Śliwa, M., Sørensen, B. M., & Cairns, G. (2015). 'You have to choose a novel': The  
4 biopolitics of critical management education. *Management Learning*, 46(3), 243-259.  
5  
6 Sripada, B. 2005. Psychic bisexuality, male homosexuality, plural Oedipus complex, and  
7 Hinduism. In S. Akhtar (Ed.), *Freud along the Ganges: Psychoanalytic reflections*  
8 *on the people and culture of India*: 235-268. New York: Other Press.  
9  
10  
11 Stein, H. F. 1997. Death imagery and the experience of organizational downsizing - Or, is  
12 your name on Schindler's List? *Administration & Society*, 29(2): 222-247.  
13  
14 Stein, H. F. 2004. Countertransference and organizational knowing: New frontiers and old  
15 truths. *Free Associations*, 11(3): 325-337.  
16  
17  
18 Stein, H. F. 2007. *Insight and imagination: A study in knowing and not-knowing in*  
19 *organizational life*. Lanham, Md.: University Press of America.  
20  
21  
22 Tang, N. M., & Smith, B. L. 1996. The eternal triangle across cultures. Oedipus, Hsueh, and  
23 Ganesa. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*, 51: 562-579.  
24  
25 Theodosius, C. 2006. Recovering emotion from emotion management. *Sociology-the*  
26 *Journal of the British Sociological Association*, 40(5): 893-910.  
27  
28 Tomkins, L., & Ulus, E. 2016. 'Oh, was that "experiential learning"?!' Spaces, synergies and  
29 surprises with Kolb's learning cycle. *Management Learning*, 47: 158-178.  
30  
31  
32 Vachhani, S. J. 2015. Organizing love - Thoughts on the transformative and activist potential  
33 of feminine writing. *Gender, Work & Organization*, 22(2): 148-162.  
34  
35 Vince, R. 1998. Behind and beyond Kolb's learning cycle. *Journal of Management*  
36 *Education*, 22(3): 304-319.  
37  
38 Vince, R. 2006. Being taken over: Managers' emotions and rationalizations during a  
39 company takeover. *Journal of Management Studies*, 43(2): 343-365.  
40  
41  
42 Vince, R. 2010. Anxiety, politics and critical management education. *British Journal of*  
43 *Management*, 21: s26-s39.  
44  
45 Vince, R. 2011. The spatial psychodynamics of management learning. *Management*  
46 *Learning*, 42(3): 333-347.  
47  
48 Vince, R., & Gabriel, Y. 2011. Organizations, learning, and emotion. In M. Easterby-Smith,  
49 & M. A. Lyles (Eds.), *Handbook of organizational learning & knowledge*  
50 *management*, 2nd ed.: 331-348. Chichester, West Sussex, U.K. Hoboken, N.J.:  
51 Wiley.  
52  
53  
54 Whiteman, G. 2010. Management studies that break your heart. *Journal of Management*  
55 *Inquiry*, 19(4): 328-337.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Winnicott, D. W. 1953. Transitional objects and transitional phenomena. *The International*  
4 *Journal of Psycho-analysis*, 34: 89.  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Peer Review Proof - Not Final Version