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Abstract

Background: Hyperinsulinism results from inappropriate insulin secretion during hypoglycaemia. Down syndrome is causally linked to a number of endocrine disorders including Type 1 diabetes and neonatal diabetes. We noted a high number of individuals with Down syndrome referred for hyperinsulinism genetic testing, and therefore aimed to investigate whether the prevalence of Down syndrome was increased in our hyperinsulinism cohort compared to the population.

Methods: We identified individuals with Down syndrome referred for hyperinsulinism genetic testing to the Exeter Genomics Laboratory between 2008 and 2020. We sequenced the known hyperinsulinism genes in all individuals and investigated their clinical features.
We identified 11 individuals with Down syndrome in a cohort of 2011 patients referred for genetic testing for hyperinsulinism. This represents an increased prevalence compared to the population (2.5/2011 expected vs. 11/2011 observed, \( p = 6.8 \times 10^{-5} \)). A pathogenic \( ABCC8 \) mutation was identified in one of the 11 individuals. Of the remaining 10 individuals, five had non-genetic risk factors for hyperinsulinism resulting from the Down syndrome phenotype: intrauterine growth restriction, prematurity, gastric/oesophageal surgery, and asparaginase treatment for leukaemia. For five individuals no risk factors for hypoglycaemia were reported although two of these individuals had transient hyperinsulinism and one was lost to follow-up.

Conclusions: Down syndrome is more common in patients with hyperinsulinism than in the population. This is likely due to an increased burden of non-genetic risk factors resulting from the Down syndrome phenotype. Down syndrome should not preclude genetic testing as coincidental monogenic hyperinsulinism and Down syndrome is possible.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Hyperinsulinism (HI) is a disorder of the pancreatic beta-cell where inappropriately high levels of insulin are secreted leading to hypoglycaemia. Prolonged neonatal HI can be transient, often remitting within 6 months, with risk factors including male sex, low birth weight, and perinatal stress.\(^5\) In contrast, persistent HI is likely to be genetic with disease-causing mutations in single genes identified in 50%–70% of cases.\(^2,3\) HI has also been reported as a rare feature in patients with aneuploidies. For example, HI can present in females with Turner syndrome resulting from a complete or partial monosomy of the X chromosome and in children with Patau syndrome resulting from mosaic trisomy 13.\(^4,5\)

The most common aneuploidy is trisomy 21, causing Down syndrome, which affects 1 in 794 live births in the USA.\(^6\) Down syndrome is characterized by intellectual disability, microcephaly, congenital heart defects, gastrointestinal disorders, and endocrine disorders which include Type 1 diabetes or neonatal diabetes.\(^7,8\) Whilst HI has not been reported as a feature of Down syndrome, we noted a high number of individuals with the coexistence of these two conditions being referred to our laboratory for genetic testing. Our aim was to assess whether the prevalence of children with HI and Down syndrome was higher than expected in our cohort and if so to determine the reason(s) for this.

2 | METHODS

We studied 2011 individuals referred for HI genetic testing to the Exeter Genomics Laboratory between 2008 and 2020. Clinical information was provided at referral using a standardized request form. Follow-up data by case note review were requested for all individuals with HI and Down syndrome.

We performed targeted next-generation sequencing of 13 known HI genes including \( ABCC8, CACNA1D, CDKN1C, GCK, GLUD1, HADH, HNF1A, HNF4A, INS, KCNJ11, PMM2, SLC16A1, \) and \( TRMT10A \) in all individuals with HI and Down syndrome using previously described methods.\(^10\) We used Stata/SE v16.0 to perform a one-sample binomial test to assess if the prevalence of Down syndrome in our cohort was significantly higher than the population prevalence (Stata Corp, College Station, TX, USA).

Informed consent was obtained from the parents or guardians of all probands. This study was approved by the North Wales Research Ethics Committee (517/WA/0327).

3 | RESULTS

Within our international cohort of 2011 individuals, we identified 11 cases with Down syndrome ({\( n = 11/2011 \) (0.55%)}). This represents a minimal prevalence as we do not routinely screen for aneuploidies, and some clinicians may not have provided this information on the genetic request form. The number of children with Down syndrome was significantly higher than expected by chance given the population prevalence of Down syndrome of 12.6/10,000\(^6\) (2.5/2011 expected vs. 11/2011 observed, \( p = 6.8 \times 10^{-5} \)).

We identified a mutation in a known HI gene in 1/11 (9%) patients. This individual had a pathogenic paternally inherited \( ABCC8 \) mutation.\(^11\) Of the 10 individuals without a mutation in a known gene, two were born with intrauterine growth retardation (IUGR) (birth weight Z-score \(< -2\)). The median age at diagnosis at HI of the 10 individuals was 101 days (IQR 1–581 days) with insulin detected at the time of hypoglycaemia (plasma glucose <2.8 mmol/L) in all cases. Persistent HI (defined here as requiring treatment for >6 months) was confirmed in four of the 10 genetically unsolved individuals. In the remaining six individuals the HI was transient (\( n = 5 \)) or follow-up...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
<th>Patient 5</th>
<th>Patient 6</th>
<th>Patient 7</th>
<th>Patient 8</th>
<th>Patient 9</th>
<th>Patient 10</th>
<th>Patient 11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Genetic results</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>No mutation detected</td>
<td>ABCC8 p.G25fs/N**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight SDS</td>
<td>(-2.55)</td>
<td>Not available</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>(-0.77)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>(-1.07)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>(-3.74)</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at HI diagnosis (weeks)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose (mmol/L)</td>
<td>2 (108)</td>
<td>1.5 (60.5)</td>
<td>1 (18.3)</td>
<td>0.4 (26)</td>
<td>1.6 (88)</td>
<td>1.9 (12.8)</td>
<td>2.0 (347)</td>
<td>1.8 (12.5)</td>
<td>2.8 (4.5)</td>
<td>2.4 (141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (pmol/L) at diagnosis</td>
<td>(108)</td>
<td>(60.5)</td>
<td>(18.3)</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>(88)</td>
<td>(12.8)</td>
<td>(347)</td>
<td>(12.5)</td>
<td>(4.5)</td>
<td>(141)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-prandial hypoglycaemia</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
<td>Not noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient/persistent HI</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
<td>Transient</td>
<td>Persistent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diazoxide treatment ongoing at 13 years</td>
<td>(3 mg/kg/day)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Octreotide (20ug/kg/day) ongoing at 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastric or oesophageal surgery (age)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, prior to HI diagnosis (36 weeks)</td>
<td>Yes, following HI diagnosis (3 years)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, prior to HI diagnosis (3t, 4 weeks, 2nd 1 year)</td>
<td>Yes, following HI diagnosis (&gt;0.14 weeks)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (age unknown)</td>
<td>Yes, following HI diagnosis (26 weeks)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional features</td>
<td>ASD</td>
<td>GORD, VSD</td>
<td>West syndrome, GORD, asthma</td>
<td>Mild hyperventilation, ASD</td>
<td>Tracheo-oesophageal fistula, GORD, VSD, jaundice, portosystemic shunt</td>
<td>Duodenal atresia, ASD, PDA</td>
<td>Prematurity(31/40), perinatal compromise (poor CTG, reduced movements, at birth: raised lactate, biochemical evidence of liver &amp; renal compromise)</td>
<td>Duodenal stenosis, haematuria</td>
<td>Tracheomalacia</td>
<td>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia treated with L-asparaginase at 3.9 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Gray-filled boxes represent risk factors for Hyperinsulinism (HI). ASD = atrial septal defect. VSD = ventricular septal defect. PDA = patent ductus arteriosus. GORD = gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. I.V. intravenous. For the purposes of this study persistent disease is defined as HI requiring treatment for >6 months and transient disease is defined as HI requiring treatment for <6 months. Patient 3 previously reported in.12 Patient 5 previously reported in.13 ** indicates that mutation was previously reported in.11
information was not available \( n = 1 \). One individual with persistent HI demonstrated side-effects to diazoxide and did not respond to octreotide, necessitating a near-total pancreatectomy. Consanguinity was reported in this individual.

Seven individuals, including the child with an ABCC8 mutation, had undergone gastric or oesophageal surgery for duodenal atresia, duodenal stenosis, tracheomalacia, or gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD). In two cases surgery had been performed prior to the onset of HI. One of these cases had also undergone surgery to repair a portosystemic shunt. A further individual had been diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and had received L-asparaginase treatment prior to the onset of HI. An overview of the clinical features of the cohort are provided in Table 1.

4 DISCUSSION

We identified 11 individuals with HI and Down syndrome. Given that Down syndrome has an approximate incidence of one in 794 live births, we would have expected two or three individuals with Down syndrome in our cohort of 2011 individuals. The statistically significant enrichment and higher prevalence therefore suggest that the two conditions are related.

The prevalence of mutations in the known genes was low in the Down syndrome and HI cohort \( n = 1/11, 9\% \) although this increased to 20\% in those with confirmed persistent HI \( n = 1/5 \). This pick-up rate is lower than anticipated given previous studies have reported mutations in the known genes in 50\%-70\% of HI cases. While this may reflect the small sample size, it is also possible that the Down syndrome is increasing the risk of the child developing HI.

We identified risk factors for developing HI in five of the 10 individuals without a mutation in a known gene. Two children had surgery to correct a gastrointestinal (GI) disorder prior to the onset of HI (Table 1). GI disorders are common in individuals with Down syndrome and surgical management of this can lead to iatrogenic hypoglycaemia as a result of dumping syndrome. Furthermore, one of these individuals had confirmed post-prandial hypoglycaemia following surgery lending further support to this diagnosis. This patient also had a portosystemic shunt, with surgical closure resulting in a resolution of the hypoglycaemia. In four further cases, gastric surgery was performed but this occurred after the onset of HI in three cases suggesting that the HI was unlikely to be due to gastric surgery induced post-prandial hypoglycaemia. The age at gastric surgery in the remaining patient was unknown.

IUGR or biochemical evidence of perinatal and postnatal stress associated with prematurity, was reported in two individuals. These are well-recognized risk factors for prolonged neonatal hypoglycaemia. IUGR was reported in a second individual however the HI was ongoing at the age of 13 years suggesting it was not causative of the hypoglycaemia.

One individual had been diagnosed with acute lymphoblastic leukaemia that had been treated with an L-asparaginase based chemotherapy prior to the onset of HI at 4 years. Children with Down syndrome are at increased risk of developing acute lymphoblastic leukaemia and previous studies have shown that treatment with L-asparaginase can cause hypoglycaemia in younger patients. This could explain the transitory hypoglycaemia observed in this child.

Of the five individuals without an identifiable risk factor for HI, two had persistent HI, two had transient HI and one case was lost to follow-up which might suggest that the HI was transient and not severe. It is also possible that in this patient risk factors for HI were present but not reported at referral for genetic testing. The finding of two individuals with Down syndrome and persistent HI within our cohort is expected based on the population prevalence of Down syndrome. Interestingly, consanguinity was reported in one of these individuals, supporting the possibility of a recessively inherited monogenic etiology.

Recently, a study of HI in Finland identified five cases with Down syndrome in a cohort of 238 individuals. The authors noted that this was a statistically significant increase compared to the population prevalence of Down syndrome. In keeping with our findings, screening of the known genes identified an ABCC8 mutation in a single individual whilst the four mutation negative individuals had non-genetic risk factors for HI which could be attributed to the Down syndrome phenotype: extreme prematurity and cardiac insufficiency, IUGR, gastric surgery/fundoplication, and stress due to congenital heart defects (personal communication Huopio and Männistö). In two individuals the HI remitted before the age of 4 months.

Genetic testing identified an ABCC8 mutation in one individual with Down syndrome in our cohort and this, together with the finding of an ABCC8 mutation in an individual within the Finnish cohort, highlights the need to perform genetic testing in all individuals with persistent HI. Whilst a diagnosis of Down syndrome does not preclude co-incidental monogenic HI, our study suggests HI in Down syndrome is most likely to be due to non-genetic risk factors.

In conclusion, we have identified an increased referral rate for HI genetic testing for individuals with Down syndrome. Our findings suggest that HI is not a feature of Trisomy 21 but a consequence of the high burden of non-genetic risk factors resulting from the Down syndrome phenotype.
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