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Optimal management of sarcopenia

Louise A Burton
Deepa Sumukadas
Ageing and Health, Division of Medical Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland, United Kingdom

Abstract: Sarcopenia is the progressive generalized loss of skeletal muscle mass, strength, and function which occurs as a consequence of aging. With a growing older population, there has been great interest in developing approaches to counteract the effects of sarcopenia, and thereby reduce the age-related decline and disability. This paper reviews (1) the mechanisms of sarcopenia, (2) the diagnosis of sarcopenia, and (3) the potential interventions for sarcopenia. Multiple factors appear to be involved in the development of sarcopenia including the loss of muscle mass and muscle fibers, increased inflammation, altered hormonal levels, poor nutritional status, and altered renin–angiotensin system. The lack of diagnostic criteria to identify patients with sarcopenia hinders potential management options. To date, pharmacological interventions have shown limited efficacy in counteracting the effects of sarcopenia. Recent evidence has shown benefits with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors; however, further randomized controlled trials are required. Resistance training remains the most effective intervention for sarcopenia; however, older people may be unable or unwilling to embark on strenuous exercise training programs.
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Background

Maintaining muscle function is vital to maintain functional independence. In our growing older population, muscle mass and force reach their peak between the second and fourth decades of life and thereafter show a steady decline with age. Sarcopenia is a syndrome characterized by progressive generalized loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength. It is usually accompanied by physical inactivity, decreased mobility, slow gait, and poor physical endurance which are also common features of the frailty syndrome. Rockwood et al described the concept of frailty as “a multidimensional syndrome which involves loss of reserves (energy, physical activity, cognition, and health) which gives rise to increased vulnerability”. Frailty involves a cumulative decline in multiple physiological systems including a decline in the neuromuscular system which is linked to the development of sarcopenia in later life. The loss of muscle mass during the ageing process is clinically important as it leads to reduced strength and exercise capacity, both of which are required to undertake normal daily living activities. Moreover, loss of muscle mass is a strong predictor of mortality in later life.

It has been estimated that up to 15% of people older than 65 years and as many as 50% of people older than 80 years have sarcopenia. Sarcopenia has a major impact on public health and the cost in the United States alone was estimated at $18.5 billion in 2000. With the increasing number of older people worldwide, the cost is ever...
increasing. There has, therefore, been great interest in developing approaches to counteract the effects of sarcopenia and thereby help in reducing the age-related decline and disability.

**What causes sarcopenia?**

Understanding the mechanisms that have been implicated in the development of sarcopenia can help direct sarcopenia treatments. Research is still ongoing but as yet no primary cause of sarcopenia has been identified. Multiple factors appear to be involved in the development of sarcopenia (Figure 1). A reduction in muscle strength is primarily linked to a reduction in overall muscle mass. A reduction in muscle mass may occur due to a combination of the loss of muscle fibers as well as muscle fiber atrophy with a preferential atrophy of type 2 fast twitch fibers. Denervation of motor units which is then reinnervated with slow motor units can lead to increased muscle fatigability. Although the overall biological mechanism of sarcopenia is not fully understood, observational studies have shown that satellite cells which are involved in muscle regeneration are much lower in older people and, therefore, could play a role in sarcopenia.

Other factors including hormonal changes including growth hormone (GH) and insulin-like growth factor (IGF-1) and androgens which help regulate growth and development of skeletal muscle appear to decrease in old age. It has been suggested that the renin–angiotensin system may play a role in modulating muscle function. Circulating angiotensin 2 is associated with muscle wasting, reduced IGF-1 levels, and insulin resistance and could, therefore, contribute to sarcopenia. Sarcopenia is also associated with chronic inflammation, and observational studies have shown increased levels of proinflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-α, and interleukin-6 in aging muscle. Studies looking at treating sarcopenia have attempted to address some of the factors implicated in the development of sarcopenia and we discuss the evidence surrounding this in our review.

**Diagnosis of sarcopenia**

The first step in the management of sarcopenia is to diagnose the condition. Unfortunately, at present there are no standardized diagnostic criteria for sarcopenia. Although sarcopenia is considered as a dynamic process incorporating both changes in muscle mass and function, many observational studies have concentrated on assessing changes in muscle mass. Table 1 summarizes the measurement techniques, what they measure, and their limitations. Although magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is considered to be the most accurate measure of muscle mass, the currently preferred method is dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) as it measures both fat mass and bone mass and

---

**Figure 1** Mechanism of sarcopenia.

Abbreviation: RAAS, renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system.
is useful for assessing appendicular muscle mass. DXA closely correlates with measurements achieved via MRI scanning. The main limitation with DXA is that it may underestimate the extent of sarcopenia as it can overestimate skeletal muscle mass by as much as 8% due to difficulty in distinguishing muscle from water retention and muscle fat infiltration. Bioelectric Impedence Analysis is a quick noninvasive method for measuring body composition via tissue conductivity. However, its reliability has been called into question as measurements can vary depending on an individual’s hydration status, ethnicity, physical fitness, and age.

Baumgartner in 1998 proposed a method for diagnosing sarcopenia. The degree of sarcopenia was measured by taking the muscle mass relative to a person’s height. Appendicular skeletal mass (ASM) was measured in all four limbs with DXA. Individuals with ASM/height2 (kg/m2) of two standard deviations (SDs) below the mean for gender specific healthy younger adults were more likely to have sarcopenia. Janssen et al measured skeletal muscle mass using bioimpedence and defined sarcopenia as a skeletal muscle index (skeletal muscle mass/whole body mass \times 100) less than 1 SD below the mean for young adult values. These definitions fail to incorporate measures of disability and physical performance.

Since then attempts have been made to refine the definition of sarcopenia. More recently, a joint effort has been made between the European Society on Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism and the Special Interest Needs group on geriatric nutrition on cachexia – anorexia and chronic wasting diseases. A diagnosis of sarcopenia was based on two of the following:

i. A low muscle mass, ie, a percentage of muscle mass >2 SDs below the mean measured in groups of young adults of the same sex and ethnic background.

ii. Low gait speed, ie, walking speed below 0.8 m/s in the 4-meter walking test. However, this could be replaced with one well-established functional test utilized locally as part of a comprehensive geriatric assessment.

Although this definition includes measures of functional performance and helps to eliminate variance between ethnicity and sex, it fails to set age-specific populations. It has been suggested that a T-score system similar to that for osteoporosis is needed to include reference values for different populations. However, further refinement is required.

The main effect of loss of muscle mass is loss of strength. Low muscle strength is associated with increased mortality. Although complex measures of power and torque are available, hand-held dynamometers to measure hand grip and quadriceps strength have been commonly used with good reproducibility and validity and are simple to use and can be easily used in the clinical setting.

Physical performance measures can complement measures of muscle mass and strength in the diagnosis of sarcopenia. The Short Physical Performance Battery assesses muscle function and strength using measures which are reproducible to activities of daily living. The assessment involves balance tests, a timed 4-meter walk, and timed chair rise which can be easily performed in the clinical setting. It can predict the risk of future disability and, therefore, may be useful in identifying people in the preclinical stage of sarcopenia who may benefit from interventions.

Comorbidities and factors like pain from osteoarthritis which are unrelated to sarcopenia may limit performance and underestimate muscle strength. Fat mass may contribute to functional decline independent of muscle mass. Individuals with sarcopenic obesity (high fat mass and low muscle mass) are more susceptible to mobility problems and disability than those who are simply obese or sarcopenic. It is, therefore, imperative that sarcopenia is diagnosed under its paradigm as a dynamic process by assessing lean body mass and physical performance. As yet this may be more difficult to reproduce in a clinical setting.

The main difficulties in diagnosing sarcopenia are the lack of consensus in the definition of sarcopenia as well as the difficulty in measuring changes in muscle mass and

### Table 1 Measuring techniques for sarcopenia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measuring techniques</th>
<th>Measurements</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscle size</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT Scan</td>
<td>Muscle cross-sectional area</td>
<td>Radiation exposure, expensive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI Scan</td>
<td>Muscle cross-sectional area</td>
<td>Expensive, availability of MRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIA</td>
<td>Tissue conductivity</td>
<td>? reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscle circumferences</td>
<td>Mid arm and calf circumference</td>
<td>Measurements effected by subcutaneous fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DXA scan</td>
<td>Total skeletal muscle mass</td>
<td>Reliable, low radiation exposure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPPB</td>
<td>Lower extremity function</td>
<td>Validated tool for older people</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; BIA, bioelectric impedance analysis; DXA, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; SPPB, Short Physical Performance Battery.
function over time in older people. In clinical practice, the diagnosis is often missed as it is usually made in patients who appear to have “small muscle mass”. Simple measures of muscle strength and physical performance measures can supplement clinical diagnosis for the early recognition of people at risk of disability.

**Potential interventions for sarcopenia**

**Exercise and physical activity**

Physical activity refers to the body movement that is produced by skeletal muscle contractions and that increases energy expenditure. Evidence has shown that older adults who are less physically active are more likely to have lower skeletal muscle mass and strength and are at increased risk of developing sarcopenia.

In aerobic exercise, the larger muscles in the body move in a rhythmic manner for a prolonged period of time, whereas resistance exercise involves muscles working hard against an applied force or weight such as in weight-lifting. Both aerobic and resistance-type exercise training have shown to improve the rate of decline in muscle mass and strength with age (Table 2).

Aerobic activity (swimming, running, and walking) has long been linked to improvements in cardiovascular fitness and endurance capacity. Although aerobic exercise is less likely to contribute to muscle hypertrophy, it can increase the cross-sectional area (CSA) of muscle fibers. Mitochondrial volume and enzyme activity increase after aerobic exercise demonstrate that muscle protein synthesis and muscle quality improve irrespective of age. Aerobic exercise can also reduce body fat including intramuscular fat which in turn improves the functional role of muscle relative to body weight.

In contrast to aerobic exercise training, resistance exercise training appears to have a larger effect on augmenting muscle mass and strength and attenuates the development of sarcopenia. Improvements in muscle strength can be achieved with as little as one resistance exercise training session per week. Frontera et al demonstrated improvements in muscle CSA by 11% as well as improvement in muscle strength (>100%) after a 12-week period of high intensity resistance exercise training in older men. Similar improvements were seen in muscle strength even in the people aged >90 years with as little as 10–12 weeks of training.

Muscle hypertrophy occurs when muscle protein synthesis outweighs protein breakdown. Older people performing resistance exercise show a marked increase in skeletal muscle protein synthesis without an increase in whole body muscle breakdown. Resistance training in older people increases both mixed-muscle protein synthesis and specific major histocompatibility complex

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic</td>
<td>Increased cardiovascular fitness with increased endurance</td>
<td>Pros: overall beneficial effects of exercise to individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resistance</td>
<td>Increased mitochondrial volume and activity</td>
<td>Cons: motivation to exercise remains low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutritional supplement</td>
<td>Varying evidence of increased muscle mass and strength</td>
<td>Pros: ensures good protein intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hormone therapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testosterone</td>
<td>Varying evidence of increased muscle mass and strength</td>
<td>Cons: may reduce natural food intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen</td>
<td>Poor evidence of increased muscle mass but not function</td>
<td>Cons: masculinization of women; increased risk of prostatic cancer in men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth hormone</td>
<td>Some evidence for increased muscle mass. Varying evidence for increased muscle strength</td>
<td>Cons: side effects including fluid retention, orthostatic hypotension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D</td>
<td>Variable evidence for increased muscle strength</td>
<td>Pros: fracture reduction; possible cardiovascular benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACE inhibitors</td>
<td>Reduced falls in nursing home residents</td>
<td>Pros: other cardiovascular benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatine</td>
<td>Some evidence for increased exercise capacity</td>
<td>Cons: renal function needs monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential new treatments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myostatin antagonists</td>
<td>No trials in older people</td>
<td>Cons: reports of nephritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPAR δ agonist</td>
<td>No human trials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AICAR</td>
<td>No human trials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Summary of treatment options**

**Abbreviations:** PPAR-δ, peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor-δ; AICAR, 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-beta-4-ribofuranoside; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme.
synthesis to the same levels as younger adults. Evidence points to increases in size of both type 1 and type 2 muscle fibers which could explain the improvements in muscle strength and endurance. More recently, it has been reported that when using moderate levels of resistance exercise training, improvements in muscle strength and size in healthy older people were comparable to muscle strength seen in younger individuals. Roth et al demonstrated that 6 months of whole body resistance training in older people (65–75 years) produced gains in muscle CSA similar to those achieved in younger individuals aged 20–30 years.

Progressive resistance training (PRT) is the most commonly used resistance therapy in older people. A Cochrane review of 121 randomized controlled trials of PRT in older people showed that doing PRT 2–3 times per week improved physical function, gait speed, timed get-up and go, climbing stairs and balance, and more importantly had a significant effect on muscle strength especially in the high intensity training groups. The majority of studies have shown that resistance exercise training must be carried out at a high intensity in order to show substantial improvements in muscle strength. In contrast, Vincent et al performed a 26-week study in older healthy adults at both low and high intensity resistance exercise training programs and found only a modest improvement in thigh muscle strength in the high intensity resistance exercise training group.

Resistance exercise training appears to be relatively safe to perform even in participants with multiple comorbidities and can help in prevention of falls. Resistance exercise increases muscle CSA as well as type 2 (fast twitch) muscle fibers, which leads to overall improvement in muscle power and the ability to improve physical functioning. As a result, this can lead to enhanced ability to perform activities of daily living, preventing in functional decline and disability. Even in very old nursing home residents, resistance exercise training showed substantial improvements in muscle fiber CSA (3%–9%), muscle strength (>100%) as well as improvements in physical performance such as gait speed and stair climbing. However, participation in regular exercise training requires motivation by the individual which may be difficult for some older individuals; therefore, nonexercise interventions may offer a useful alternative.

Nutrition
Many older adults do not consume sufficient amounts of dietary protein which leads to a reduction in lean body mass and increased functional impairment. The current recommended dietary allowance (RDA) of protein is 0.8 g/kg/day, almost 40% of people >70 years do not meet this RDA. Taking a low protein diet below the RDA leads to a significant decline in muscle strength and muscle mass in older women. However, even older people who take the recommended RDA for protein continue to have a negative nitrogen balance and may require a diet containing a higher protein content than the RDA to maintain their skeletal muscle. Protein and energy supplementation may increase muscle strength even in very old people in the short term, but a Cochrane review has found no definite functional benefit of nutritional supplementation.

Although older people who exercise have increased protein requirements, studies investigating whether nutritional supplementation in combination with resistance training can augment muscle strength gains in older people have yielded inconsistent results. One randomized controlled trial in nursing home residents undergoing resistance training over 10 weeks found that an additional 360 calories supplement increased leg muscle strength. Another study investigating the effect of dietary protein supplementation in combination with a 12-week resistance training period found that protein supplementation increased muscle mass but not muscle strength. Nutritional supplementation may also result in an overall decrease in voluntary food intake and adherence to the supplements can be a problem.

Testosterone
Testosterone is secreted by the Leydig cells in men and ovarian thecal cells in women. Testosterone appears to increase muscle mass and increase muscle protein synthesis. It also increases the number of satellite cells in both animals and humans which are essential for muscle cell function.

A substantial number of older men are hypogonadal. Hypogonadism has been defined as a total testosterone concentration of <9.26 nmol/L (2 SD below the mean for healthy young men). As a result, approximately 20% of men >60 years and 50% men >80 years are categorized as hypogonadal. Circulating testosterone is highly bound to sex hormone binding globulin (SHBG) and as SHBG increases with age, the total amount of bioavailable testosterone decreases. This phenomenon has been termed the “male menopause” or “andropause” in older men. Testosterone decreases gradually at a rate of 1% per year and bioavailable testosterone by 2% per year in males from the age of 30 years. The overall reduction of testosterone is associated with loss of muscle strength, muscle mass, a reduction in bone mineral density, and increased risk of fracture risk following falls.

Evidence to support testosterone supplementation is variable. Gruenewald and Matsumoto analyzed 29 randomized controlled trials investigating the effects of testosterone supplementation in men with low testosterone levels. They found that testosterone supplementation increased muscle strength and mass, but the effects were variable. Further research is needed to determine the optimal dose and duration of testosterone supplementation in older men.
replacement in older men. Some studies found an increase in lean body mass and hand grip strength but no effect on knee extension and flexion strength.56 Other studies have shown up to 25% increase in leg strength in as little as 4 weeks of therapy.57 Some studies have found no increase in muscle strength or function but an improvement in lean body mass.58 Testosterone supplementation has been shown to increase the size of the prostate gland in men.59 This could be detrimental to men older than 60 years in which the prevalence of early stage prostate cancer is already high.60 The Baltimore Longitudinal Study on Aging involving 781 men showed a positive correlation between prostate cancer and the blood concentration of free testosterone levels. The likelihood of acquiring a high risk prostate cancer in men >65 years doubled for every 0.1 unit increase in free testosterone.61 This along with other potential side effects of testosterone therapy like fluid retention, gynecomastia, polycythemia, and sleep apnea limit its usefulness as a treatment for sarcopenia.62,63

**Estrogens**

The menopause is linked to reduced concentrations of circulating estradiol in middle aged and older women. There appears to be impaired muscle performance during the postmenopausal period when ovarian hormone production has decreased.64 It is easy to hypothesize that estrogens may play a role in sarcopenia in older women.

The effect of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) in women is controversial. HRT may attenuate the loss of muscle mass which occurs in the perimenopausal period.65 Estrogen replacement therapy has only modest benefits on muscle composition and this may not translate to improved physical functioning.66 HRT combined with resistance training may have a role in improving lower extremity function; however, more evidence is needed.67 HRT has been implicated as a risk factor for breast cancer and is, therefore, not recommended for sarcopenia.68

**Growth hormones**

GH is required for maintenance of muscle and bone. GH exerts most of its anabolic actions through IGF-1 which is synthesized in the liver for systemic release. IGF-1 helps improve muscle function by increasing production of muscle satellite cells as well as stimulating production of muscle contractile proteins.69 Not only do GH and IGF-1 levels decline with age, the amplitude and frequency of pulsatile GH release is also significantly reduced.70 Despite a number of studies which have assessed the administration of GH supplementation, there is still an ongoing debate as to the use of GH supplementation on muscle mass, strength, and physical performance. The strongest evidence for the use of GH supplementation appears to be in states of reduced GH secretion. In younger GH deficient adults, GH supplementation for 3 years increased thigh muscle mass, strength, and improved exercise capacity.71 However, in healthy non-GH deficient older people results are more controversial. Some studies have shown an increase in muscle mass but no improvement in muscle strength, whereas others have shown an increase in both muscle mass and strength after administration of GH supplementation.72–74 The failure of exogenous GH to mimic the pulsatile pattern of normal GH secretion has been blamed for the negative results. Alternative potential hormonal interventions include the use of GH releasing hormone which was found to have only a small improvement in muscle strength in older men.75

It is well known that muscle strength increases as a result of resistance exercise training in older adults.30,31 It was hypothesized that the combination of GH replacement and exercise training may have a synergistic effect on muscle function in older people. However, results proved disappointing and the addition of GH supplementation does not augment the improvements in skeletal muscle brought about by exercise alone.76,77 Low GH levels alone, therefore, may not be responsible for the leveling off of muscle strength seen in older exercising people and that other pathways may be involved.

As it currently stands the evidence for the use of GH supplementation to counter the effects of sarcopenia in older people is weak. Moreover, the majority of trials involving GH replacement therapy in older people have reported a high incidence of side effects, including increased fluid retention, gynecomastia, orthostatic hypotension, and carpel tunnel syndrome.72,78

**Vitamin D**

Vitamin D levels decline with age and cutaneous vitamin D levels are up to four times lower in older compared with younger individuals.79 It is known that vitamin D plays an important role in bone and muscle metabolism. Several mechanisms have been suggested for the role of vitamin D in muscle function. Vitamin D binding to the vitamin D receptor found in skeletal muscle promotes muscle protein synthesis and enhances calcium uptake across the cell membrane.80 Low vitamin D levels result in atrophy predominantly of the type 2 (fast twitch) muscle fibers in common with sarcopenia.81 Low levels of vitamin D have been found to be associated with an increase in sarcopenia.82 A myopathy has been reported in severe vitamin D deficiency.83 In older people low vitamin D levels may produce functional problems including...
proximal muscle weakness, difficulty rising from a chair, difficulties in ascending stairs, and axial balance problems.84

The evidence for a benefit in physical performance with supplementation of vitamin D is controversial. Some studies have shown an improvement in muscle strength with intermittent dosing and others have shown small gains in lower extremity strength and less body sway with daily dosing.85 This improvement has been hypothesized as the mechanism behind a fall reduction of 23%–53% in older nursing or residential home residents given vitamin D in addition to a reduction in fractures.86–88

Conversely, other studies have found no benefits on physical function, falls risk or quality of life with vitamin D supplementation in vitamin D deficient people.99–101 The difference in findings between studies may in part be attributed to differences in the dose of vitamin D used with better outcomes seen when higher doses are used.92 It has also been suggested that there is a gender difference in outcomes with women standing to gain more from supplementation.92 Variations between study populations may also affect outcomes with the biggest improvements in muscle function and physical performance seen in institutionalized older people.

The prevalence of vitamin D insufficiency (25(OH)D levels <40 nmol/L) in older people is high between 50% and 75% especially in the northern latitudes and low levels have been found even in summer months.93–95 A European epidemiological study showed the prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in older adults aged 71–76 years was 36% in older men and 47% in older women.96 It is recommended that 25(OH)D levels <40 nmol/L requires supplementation and 25(OH)D levels of >75 nmol/L is the level for optimum bone and muscle health.97 The recommended daily intake of vitamin D is between 400 IU and 600 IU per day which may be inadequate to raise serum vitamin D levels to a desirable level >70 nmol/L.9899 Studies have shown that in order to achieve optimal levels of 75–100 nmol/L of 25(OH)D doses between 700 and 1,000 IU would be needed.96 In the United States, fortification of food such as milk and orange juice is mandatory, whereas in the UK only margarine is fortified with vitamin D. The question of whether it should be mandatory for UK food products to be fortified with vitamin D remains controversial.

Although it is plausible to associate low levels of vitamin D with a reduction in muscle strength and physical function, the evidence for supplementation has been inconsistent. Safety issues surrounding vitamin D supplementation in older people include increased risk of nephrolithiasis and hypercalcemia.100,101 Further large randomized controlled trials are required with a longer follow-up period in order to assess the safety profile of vitamin D supplementation in older people before it is recommended as a treatment for sarcopenia in clinical practice.

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors

Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors have long been used as a treatment in primary and secondary prevention in cardiovascular disease as well as secondary stroke prevention. It has now been suggested that ACE inhibitors may have a beneficial effect on skeletal muscle. ACE inhibitors may exert their beneficial effects on skeletal muscles through a number of different mechanisms (Figure 2). ACE inhibitors may improve muscle function through improvements in endothelial function, metabolic function anti-inflammatory effects, and angiogenesis thereby improving skeletal muscle blood flow. ACE inhibitors can increase mitochondrial numbers and IGF-I levels thereby helping to counter sarcopenia.102–107 People with the II genotype of the ACE gene who have low serum ACE levels show an increased response to physical endurance.108,109 Therefore, lowering serum ACE levels with ACE inhibitors may have a beneficial effect on physical function. Observational studies have shown that the long-term use of ACE inhibitors was associated with a lower decline in muscle strength and walking speed in older hypertensive people and a greater lower limb lean muscle mass when compared with users of other antihypertensive agents.110,111 Several studies have shown that ACE inhibitors improved exercise capacity in younger people with heart failure and this was also confirmed in older people with heart failure,112,113 no improvement in grip strength.114 Although this could be largely attributed to improvements in cardiac function, skeletal muscle atrophy is also associated with chronic heart failure so the evidence in muscle gains should not be discounted.

Few interventional studies using ACE inhibitors for physical function have been undertaken. One study looking at functionally impaired older people without heart failure has shown that ACE inhibitors increase 6-minute walking distance to a degree comparable to that achieved after 6 months of exercise training.115 Another found that ACE inhibitors increased exercise time in older hypertensive men.116 However, a study comparing the effects of nifedipine with ACE inhibitors in older people found no difference between treatments in muscle strength, walking distance, or functional performance.117 It is possible that frailler subjects with slower walking speeds, who have a tendency to more cardiovascular problems, benefit more. This is reflected in the fact that adults with severe peripheral vascular disease
significantly increase their walking time following treatment with ACE inhibitors.\(^\text{118}\)

Further evidence is required before recommending ACE inhibitors to counter the effects of sarcopenia. However, ACE inhibitors are associated with cardiovascular benefits and as older people frequently have underlying cardiovascular problems these agents are already commonly prescribed.

**Creatine**

Creatine plays an important role in protein metabolism and cellular metabolism. It has been hypothesized that creatine increases the expression of myogenic transcription factors such as myogenin and myogenic regulatory factor-4, which increases muscle mass and strength.\(^\text{119}\) Creatine supplementation increases muscle phosphocreatine levels leading to a decrease in muscle relaxation time.\(^\text{120,121}\) This may increase the ability to perform high-intensity exercise as well as enhance muscle protein synthesis, lean skeletal muscle mass, and strength during periods of high intensity training.

To dates, several studies of creatine supplementation have shown increased muscle strength and power in younger men and women but few studies have looked at the effect of creatine supplementation in older people. Some studies have reported no effect of creatine supplementation on muscle strength or function.\(^\text{122,123}\) However, others have reported increments in muscle mass and increased muscle power without adverse effects.\(^\text{122,124}\) There is controversy over whether creatine supplementation increases the benefits of resistance training alone in older people. Some studies have found no added benefit of creatine supplementation to resistance exercise training and other studies have found a small increase in lean tissue mass with no residual benefit once resistance training was stopped.\(^\text{125-127}\)

Creatine is a natural ingredient of food and the main source is from meat products with an average daily intake of 1 g/day. However, creatine supplementation may increase the risk of interstitial nephritis highlighting the need for particular caution about its use in older people.\(^\text{128}\) Creatine is not currently recommended for sarcopenia.

**Myostatin**

Myostatin is a natural inhibitor of growth factor. It was initially discovered when mutations of the myostatin gene was found to correlate with exaggerated muscle hypertrophy.\(^\text{129}\) The myostatin gene appears in skeletal muscle cells and functions as a negative regulator of muscle growth, antagonism of which increases satellite cell proliferation.\(^\text{130}\) In animal models, it appears that over expression of myostatin induces extensive muscle loss.\(^\text{131}\) Polymorphisms of the myostatin gene in humans correlated with measure of muscle mass, strength, and physical performance.\(^\text{132}\)

Agents which target the myostatin pathway may be useful in increasing muscle mass and, therefore, play a vital role in muscle wasting disorders as well as sarcopenia of old age.

---

**Figure 2** Effects of ACE inhibitors on skeletal muscle.

**Abbreviations:** ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; IL-6, interleukin-6; TNF-\(\alpha\), tumor necrosis factor-\(\alpha\).
Phase II trials have been carried out in muscular dystrophy and initial results have shown that MYO-29, a recombinant antibody to myostatin, had good safety and tolerability profile. Another potential therapeutic approach in development is a soluble activin type 2B receptor that binds to the myostatin and, therefore, reducing its availability. Initial results in mice have shown an increase in muscle weight larger than those achieved with myostatin inhibitors.

Inhibition of myostatin with follistatin (myostatin antagonist) may have potential therapeutic benefits in the treatment of sarcopenia. Although myostatin deficiency increased muscle mass in mice it impaired, the structure and function of the muscle tendons thereby making the tendons smaller, stiffer, and more brittle. Older people who are already at increased risk of contraction induced injury may find it more difficult to sustain regular exercise. Further studies are required.

**Other potential therapies**

Following suggestions of a role for both the peroxisome-proliferator-activated receptor-δ (PPAR-δ) and adenosine monophosphate (AMP)-activated protein kinase in regulating the metabolic and contractile characteristics of myofibers, studies looking at the effect of modulating these receptors have been carried out in mice. The PPAR-δ agonist GW1516 significantly increases exercise capacity when combined with exercise but not in sedentary mice. However, the activator of AMP-activated protein kinase called AICAR (5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-beta-4-ribofuranoside) increases exercise performance by 44% even in sedentary mice and may possibly be the “exercise pill” for older people. It remains to be verified if these drugs are suitable for human beings especially older people.

**Conclusion**

Sarcopenia is an ever increasing global health concern that needs to be urgently addressed. Public awareness of the importance of physical activity need to be increased and exercise programs designed for older people should be developed. Although the primary aim would be to prevent the occurrence of the sarcopenia, like many other medical problems, we are ultimately left with having to deal with the condition and its consequences. As our review suggests, all is not lost by this stage and there is still scope for improvement even in the frail older person with a combination of measures.

The lack of diagnostic criteria to identify patients with sarcopenia hinders potential management options. Resistance exercise training remains the cornerstone of management for sarcopenia. As some older people are unable or unwilling to embark on exercise training program, alternative potential treatment options to counter the process of sarcopenia are being developed. Recent evidence has shown ACE inhibitors can improve muscle exercise capacity in functionally impaired older people; however, further randomized controlled trials are required. Other future prospects including the so called “exercise pill” have suggested potential methods to improve muscle performance in later life.
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