



University of Dundee

An investigation of how physical literacy is enacted in primary physical education

Bartle, Gillian

DOI:
[10.1108/QRJ-08-2022-0117](https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-08-2022-0117)

Publication date:
2023

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Bartle, G. (2023). An investigation of how physical literacy is enacted in primary physical education. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 23(3), 325-337. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-08-2022-0117>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

An investigation of how physical literacy is enacted in primary physical education.

Abstract

Purpose. This research investigated how students are becoming teachers of primary school (ages 5-11) physical education, using a physical literacy approach (Whitehead, 2010). Primarily methodological, the purpose was to disrupt *how* to investigate this topic since research highlights that the philosophy underpinning PL makes the concept difficult to operationalize (Shearer *et al.*, 2018).

Approach. Physical education settings are inherently lively, and I retain this in the analytic insights from what I call my 'methodological meshwork' (Law, 2004; Ingold, 2006). Informed by phenomenology, posthumanism and sociomaterialism, data gathering included observations, interviews with artefacts, with humans, tweets, as part of the methodological meshwork. Diagrammatic presentations accompany written text, whilst also doing work *in themselves* (Decuyper and Simons, 2016). I followed the actors (Adams and Thompson, 2016), thus entered the middle of data, such as lesson plan or physical education equipment.

Findings. Onto-epistemological entanglements (Barad, 2007) are presented in an interweaving format. Thus, theory is discussed alongside the empirical and shows that a physical literacy informed approach to physical education is evident in multiple places-spaces.

Originality. Broader issues are raised about methodological assumptions that inform investigations of physical literacy and practice generally. It is hoped these may be relevant to a wider professional audience as well as those in physical and teacher education.

Keywords: physical literacy, methodological meshwork, posthuman, becoming teachers, teacher education, pre-service teachers, physical education.

Research paper with a practical focus.

Introduction

The substantive focus in this paper is methodological in so far as I rehearse some of the practical issues involved when trying to investigate the concept of physical literacy (PL) and how this may inform physical education (PE). For reasons that will become clear, I introduce PL to offer a different and distinctive approach to teaching PE. Whilst an understanding of 'physical literacy' is important, a further question becomes key; *how* might it be possible to investigate what happens within actual PE lessons? Throughout discussion, the methodological is foregrounded through some emerging empirical data. I have termed these *empirical lures*, which I then analysed alongside multiple theoretical perspectives outlined below.

The context for this research is one local authority/region in Scotland. However, the health and wellbeing of people throughout Scotland is an ongoing concern (COSLA, 2021) and physical activity features as an important element in local, national, and indeed, international policies outlining responses to health and wellbeing concerns (Jansen *et al.*, 2020; Whitehead *et al.*, 2020; Scott *et al.*, 2021). More specifically, within Scotland's national Curriculum for Excellence (CfE, Scottish Executive, 2006) PE is identified as having a key role in contributing to the response (Education Scotland, 2021; Hardley *et al.*, 2021). It is not the place here to discuss discourses of PE, but to explore how PL might underpin practices of students learning to teach PE.

Physical literacy is receiving much attention in physical education and public health research literature internationally (Edwards *et al.*, 2018; Martins *et al.*, 2021). The International Physical Literacy Association (IPLA, 2017) defines physical literacy as "the motivation, confidence, physical competence, knowledge and understanding to value and take responsibility for physical activity for life". The definition is based on the work of Whitehead (1987; 2001; 2010), who conceptualised contemporary PL based on her philosophy of PE. Here, the centrality of embodiment for human flourishing is focal as it underpins challenges to bifurcations of mind-body and theory-practice. Dualisms inherent in some discussion and practice of PE have been largely unhelpful since separating mind-body results in at least objectification of the body, and body as inferior to the mind (Bailey, 2020; Durden-Myers *et al.*, 2018, 2021). PL provides another way of conceiving PE.

Largely based on Merleau-Ponty's (1945/2012) phenomenology that human beings are in dialogue with the world, a human-centric ontology remains foregrounded in Whitehead's PL. The three philosophical

1
2
3 tenets underpinning PL are phenomenology, existentialism and monism (Whitehead, 1987,
4 2001; Durden-Myers *et al.*, 2020). I include Latour's (2005) idea to 'flatten' the social world to challenge
5 anthropocentrism, by seeking connectivity, 'associations' (Latour, 2005), 'collectives' (Bryant, 2011),
6 among things human and nonhuman. Such a perspective is not yet apparent in PL literature (Hyndman
7 and Pill, 2018). Indeed, Latour (1999) asserts that phenomenology is founded on human intentionality
8 only, whilst science deals with the rest of things in the world, thus seeming to reinforce the dualism of
9 human-nature. Following actor-network theory (ANT), flattening the ontological hierarchy and seeking
10 connections among things human-nonhuman may provide another way of making visible networks of
11 how things are enacting (or not) in PL informed PE (PL-PE).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Methodologically and theoretically, posthumanism and sociomaterialism inform this investigation. More
21 than one theoretical perspective is included to promote a pluralist approach that avoids colonisation by
22 one framing (Jackson and Mazzei, 2012). The influence of post-qualitative writings provides linguistic
23 resources with which to articulate the messiness associated with 'living in the middle' of research (St.
24 Pierre, 1997; 2019; 2021). For example, I have invented the phrase 'methodological meshwork' (Law,
25 2004; Ingold, 2006), which I introduce in Part II. In Part III, strategies for analysis are discussed, such as
26 following actors or lines, as these are woven among empirical data and theory (Adams and Thompson,
27 2016). Rather than *re-presented*, they are 'diffracted' in multiple spaces-places (Barad, 2014). This is
28 exemplified in Part IV, presented in three pillars, to illuminate empirical-philosophical findings to date.
29 Finally, discussion reflects on how and if the methodological meshwork is informing the findings. First,
30 I set the scene in one Scottish higher education institution, where an approach to PL is
31 embodied/embedded in primary education students' practisings of PE.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 **Part 1. Scene setting: Physical Education in Primary Teacher Education, and Physical Literacy**

45 The context for this research is the teaching of undergraduate and postgraduate primary trainee (pre-
46 service) teachers in a higher education institution in Scotland, although there may be implications for
47 wider UK and international teacher education settings. Initial teacher education (ITE) seems not to
48 develop enough practical knowledge or confidence to teach primary PE (Jess *et al.*, 2016; Robinson *et*
49 *al.*, 2018; Huddleston, 2021). Students experience differences in degrees of feedback on lessons, and
50 opportunities to observe PE lessons (Randall *et al.*, 2016). A lack of confidence (Randall, 2016) seems to
51 prevail in qualified primary teachers, due to factors including length of time since university placement
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 (Haydn-Davies *et al.*, 2010), lack of input during university programme (Thorburn and Jess, 2008; Harris
4 *et al.*, 2012) or limited opportunities for practice during placements (Randall *et al.*, 2016). However, the
5 inclusion of PE within primary ITE programmes is recognised as important since it contributes to student
6 understanding of movement in the formative (early) years (Carse *et al.*, 2017). In Scotland, following the
7 extensive roll out of the *Basic Moves* Programme (Jess and Collins, 2003; Kirk *et al.*, 2018) around four
8 hundred primary school teachers have gained expertise in PE. Additionally, in line with the suggestion
9 that all pupils should experience at least two hours of PE per week (Scottish Executive, 2004), there is
10 arguably forward momentum, whereby multiple stakeholders are addressing concerns about
11 PE teaching in primary schools (Carse *et al.*, 2018).
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 Empirical research conducted on PL has not implemented methodologies which align with its
21 philosophical foundations (Edwards *et al.*, 2017; Shearer *et al.*, 2018; Kwan, 2019). Specifically, little
22 research has been conducted which attempts to look at the practical application of PL in schools by
23 primary teachers (Roberts *et al.*, 2019). My research seeks to extend phenomenological understandings
24 of PL so far, whilst experimenting with a 'methodological meshwork' that might align more with multiple
25 ways of performing PL. The question guiding this paper is: *how do primary students enact physical*
26 *literacy informed physical education (PL-PE)?* The following section explains my methodological
27 meshwork for gathering data in response to the question.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

37 **Part II Methodological Meshwork**

38 As stated above, this paper is primarily methodological. The ontological and epistemological are
39 travelling companions and so a series of philosophical and empirical stances which inform conventional
40 qualitative studies have been challenged. St. Pierre (2019) for instance, suggests that logical empiricism
41 and phenomenology attempt to identify epistemic objects. This is at considerable variance with the
42 approach taken here, which is concerned with identifying movement, relations, and assemblages which
43 may or may not, endure. In my largely ontological project the inclusivity of post-qualitative and
44 posthumanist inquiry (Ulmer, 2017) has been, and is, guiding how I follow what is a "complex
45 choreograph[y] of knowledge practices" (Adams and Thompson, 2016, p. 110). Sociomaterialism
46 includes recognising how multiple actors work to co-create events - or not (Nail, 2017). Elements of ANT,
47 such as the concept of 'assemblage', for example, refers to 'networks' which might be held together by
48 relations (Latour, 2005). Fundamentally, assemblages cannot be predicted, are dynamic, fluid and are
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 always, therefore, immanent (Nail, 2017; Alirezabeigi *et al.*, 2020). The flow of actors in an assemblage
4 may be from many spaces and not always visible, such as Scottish curriculum policy or university PE
5 lecture content. Therefore, assemblages perform *across* several spaces, times and places (Mannion,
6 2020), are held together by relations, which are flowing, leaking or not (Nail, 2017). In line with recent
7 developments on ANT, 'network' is replaced here, with Ingold's (2012) conceptualising of 'meshwork' to
8 allow for non-linear relational lines to be explored, and to remove the association with 'technical'
9 systems like electricity, trains, or worldwide web (Latour, 1996; 2005).

10
11
12 For Ingold (2015), experiences for human and nonhuman actors are e/affected by interactions in the
13 world. Thus, in trying to follow the flow of relations in assemblages as student-participants are
14 becoming teachers, the effects of PL-PE might be rendered visible as part of these interactions.
15 Contributors to my meshwork have included: lesson plans, field-work observations with walk-through
16 "cuts" to disrupt the gaze (Masschelein, 2010), student-participants, artefacts chosen by participants,
17 tweets, PE equipment, a hedge, documents, university materials, laptop, notebook, diagrams, rucksacks,
18 a definition (IPLA, 2017), researcher, posthumanism, sociomaterialism. In addition, following Ingold
19 (2006; 2016), this multiplicity of theory and methodology led me to call this a connected
20 'methodological meshwork' (Ingold, 2012). By creating a methodological meshwork, the practice of
21 researching with the messiness of relations – an improvisation, seeking to understand whether PL is
22 being enacted - leans almost to post-qualitative inquiry (St. Pierre, 2021). To challenge the grip of
23 positivist and post-positivist research structures by eschewing *a priori* methodological ideas (St. Pierre,
24 2019) was, however, deemed impossible. Rather, seeking maximum flexibility in research design and
25 openness to the possibility of "making methodology anew" (Taylor, 2017, p. 322), seemed more
26 feasible.

27
28
29 Within the methodological meshwork, to understand how PL is enacting as part of multiple
30 assemblages, the task is to follow the lines, the relational, to find out what things 'do' to effect/affect or
31 not, aspects of PL in the 'practice-ing' of student-participants (Gherardi, 2016). 'Practicings' incorporate
32 the sense of moving that I seek to maintain in this research, and compliment PL-PE as a space and place
33 where movement is literally fundamental to students becoming teachers. I apply 'diffractive'
34 methodology which attends to "material entanglements" for learning about phenomena in the world
35 (Barad, 2007, p. 88). Trying to include tangible and intangible things - relations and how they flow or
36 associate among actors – is difficult to show, so I take up Decuyper and Simons' (2016, p. 378) advice

1
2
3 to use figures or visualisations “as descriptive objects in their own right”. The diagrammatic is also
4 conceived by Decuyper and Simons (2016) as bringing word and image to play in an ‘active’ way of
5 *presenting* the relational. Heralding the meshwork diagrammatically is another experimental part of this
6 research.
7
8
9

10 11 12 13 **Part III Post-qualitative analyses**

14
15 In her critique, Barad (2003) foregrounds the anthropocentric epistemological dominance of research
16 processes of both researcher and human participants. To challenge the anthropocentric gaze, I try to
17 provide space for the nonhuman actors to ‘speak’. For instance, by attuning to ‘things’ in the research
18 assemblage the researcher ‘sees’ the world saturated in meaning, seeing things and humans
19 concurrently (Adams and Thompson, 2016). One way I do this below, is to ‘follow the actors’ (Latour,
20 2005; Adams and Thompson, 2016), such as PE equipment and lesson evaluation - evident in Plates 1
21 and 3. The effects of actors on things which are excluded or hidden, as well as included, visible, are
22 diffracted through theory and other things in the entanglements (Barad, 2007). Diffracting helps avoid
23 the linearity associated with representing effects of things on one another. As such, philosophy
24 underpinning PL, posthumanism and sociomaterialism are included not to ‘find’ a pre-existing framing of
25 a concept (in this case PL) (Latour, 2005). Rather, “a philosophical concept is a creative force ... a
26 multiplicity, a becoming with history” (St. Pierre, 2019, p. 7). In this sense I refer to the empirical and
27 theoretical as interweaving companions in the methodology and analysis in my research.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 As a methodological tool to try and embrace what might be assembling during visits to primary school
40 PE, three headings ‘interesting, important, remarkable’ (Deleuze and Guattari, 1994; Haraway, 1997)
41 were written on my notebook. Other headings were key elements of PL – cognitive, affective, physical,
42 holistic, lifelong (Whitehead, 2010; IPLA, 2017). With reference to St. Pierre’s (2019) refusal of
43 methodology, to which I alluded above, the headings are not emerging from the data and are evidence
44 of *a priori* framing of methodological approach. I tried to let data about PL-PE flow through my notes as
45 part of the meshwork. I sketched PE playground settings whilst observing lessons (Plate 1).
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 By the third visit to a school playground, rather than simply making the movements, I also noted my
53 own movements during the observed lesson. I wrote, “I took walks around, through; paused @each
54 ‘side’ of areas”. Diagram 1 depicts movements around and through the PE lesson associated with Plate
55
56
57

1
2
3 1. The circular are the various moments of pausing, sized according to time paused, during which I wrote
4 notes and observed from a different position.
5
6

7 Plate 1: Playground plan from Researcher Observation Notebook, SA.
8
9

10
11 [insert Plate 1 here]
12
13
14
15
16
17

18 Diagram 1. Researcher movement and pauses during fieldwork.
19
20

21
22 [insert Diagram 1 here]
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Observation started beside participant SA (bottom middle) and I moved anticlockwise, considering class
30 activities, spread around the playground in Plate 1. Pauses were largest when at the margins of the PE
31 lesson space, where equipment and activity would not be interrupted by me. 'Things' were creating
32 boundaries, guiding PE and my movements and gaze. The diagram contributes to the methodological
33 meshwork as it provides visible *presentation* of the trajectory of researcher, where things were placed,
34 and where pupils were active/playing in this lesson-research assemblage (Decuypere and Simon, 2016).
35 The number '1.' in the diagram is a walk-through cut. Foam javelin-throwing in the bottom left corner of
36 this scape precluded a walk around that space. Thus, safety during physical activity enters the
37 assemblage. The walk-through was something I intended to do during field visits to disrupt my own gaze
38 (Masschelein, 2010). In Plate 1 and Diagram 1, the practicings in the PE lesson-assemblage delineate
39 movement of other humans. Concurrently, the work that the diagram is doing is presenting my
40 movement and a "relational gaze" (Decuypere and Simons, 2016, p. 382) which helps with analysis of,
41 for example, the notion of boundaries. More is said on this in Part IV.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51
52 Part IV offers the reader three pillars to be followed individually, vertically, or alongside and/or
53 entangled. The presentation format shows entanglements of the theoretical-empirical-
54 reflexivity/analysis (adapted from Fox and Alldred, 2021). I focus on a few examples from empirical data,
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 to show how diffracting aspects from the meshwork with theory might be communicated in depth
4 elsewhere. 'Elsewhere' is in spaces-places where students continue becoming teachers. The pillars are
5 my attempt to make visible entanglements of assemblages in which I am one actant (Latour,
6
7 2005). One concern expressed by Fox and Alldred (2021) about the three headings is that researcher
8 situatedness is continually diffracted where she might not necessarily be an interesting or novel part
9 of a research assemblage. I am another thing entangled, thereby showing how the researcher is also
10 "*becoming with the things of their research*" (Thompson and Adams, 2020, p. 340). I attempt to address
11 this through the third pillar where reflexivity/analysis is written. Further, being interesting or novel could
12 preclude/include the absent (Adams and Thompson, 2016), something warranting further investigation.
13 After Part IV, a concluding section summarises the multiple aspects being discussed in this paper – how
14 is the methodological meshwork working and what are some early indications of how PL-PE is (is not)
15 being enacted by student-teachers in primary PE.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Part IV

Philosophy/Theory	Indicative Empirical Lure	Reflexivity/Analysis
<p>In using the term ‘posthuman’ I refer to all <i>bodies</i>, whether organic or inorganic, human or nonhuman. Thompson and Adams (2020) also note the term ‘more-than-human’ and use ‘post’ and more-than-human interchangeably. Kuby (2017, p. 877) posits that the more-than-human ontologies also fall under what she calls “an umbrella term” of posthuman. I have considered using a different term, such as ‘body(ies)’, to better serve my writing but have not found a convincing alternative yet. Importantly, for posthuman research, the researcher ‘lingers’ with things which beckon (Bennett, 2010). Things which beckon include humans; thus humans are <i>among</i> things-in-the-world – “one <i>type</i> of object among many” (Bryant, 2011, p. 249). The terms ‘object’ and ‘thing’ might be conceived as different in that objects are already fixed whereas things are constantly altering and being altered, thus in flux (Harman, 2009).</p>	<p>The empirical lures presented here are from two field visits during which I observed a student and a teacher/practitioner. Student-participant (SA) was on a university teaching placement with a primary 2 class (age 6). The lesson merged two classes, around 50 pupils and 4 staff. Reasons for merging both classes include COVID-19 to cope with staff absence and efficient use of outdoor space. Practitioner (PA) had one primary 2 class.</p> <p>The methodological meshwork for purposes of this paper, included observations, notes, photo, lesson evaluation from SA, her interview, my diagrammatic presentation of the lesson. I walked ‘through’ and around the lesson areas in part to disrupt the ‘gaze’ of other things, as well as my own. During different visits I was drawn to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a pile of ‘stuff’ (Plate 2) • lines/court markings on a playground area • a plastic golf club. 	<p>Avoiding the human centric is not easy as I try to enact being sociomaterialist. For the posthumanist aspect of this research, Thompson and Adams (2020) provide a frame of reference for how to hold posthuman research to account, asking what is its worth in everyday practices? In the practices of primary education students, teaching PL-PE is a complex assemblage. Diffracting data from multiple sources through sociomaterial and posthuman theories is challenging researcher dominance and human centrism. On reflection, it felt like the dynamic nature of things pulled me into the middle of them and during these moments Bennett’s (2010) descriptions flowed around the assemblage too.</p>
<p>Sociomaterialism including actor-network theory (ANT) (Latour, 1999, 2005; Callan, 1999) is included to draw or map aspects of the entanglements of human-nonhuman. ANT may help illuminate weaknesses with philosophy of PL (Kanger, 2017). However, ANT does not do all the work necessary for embracing also the organic, or ‘ecology’ (Ingold, 2012), whereas sociomaterialism encompasses</p>	<p>Plate 2 shows an assemblage that could happen in a school space which is suitable for physical activity using equipment such as tennis balls. If the playground had been on a slope, or COVID-19 not imposed outdoor lessons, this assemblage might not have looked like this one. Things assembled in Plate 2 are later moved away from the protection of the hedge (boundary) and set out on the tarmac surfaces of playground courts.</p>	<p>When walking through or around PE lessons, I felt ‘exposed’, and also more attentive to things. For Masschelein (2010, pp. 46-47) researcher is more present, without judgement, whilst re-orienting. As researcher in the school placement setting(s), looking around the outside playground space, there are many things present with the pupils. It is a dynamic assemblage as things are working to try to create successes for the pupils. SA presents at one physical activity station but is <i>present</i> across multiple assemblages, such as lesson plan evaluation-lecture experiences-</p>

materiality/matter as moving, fluid, dynamic, flow of things. As referred to above, 'meshwork' is borrowed from Ingold (2006; 2012) who uses it as comparable with Merleau-Ponty's (1968) 'fleshiness' of the world – that is, all things in flow, ever present, all stitched into the fabric of the world.

Posthumanism and sociomaterialism seem to offer the opportunity to research differently by refusing many binaries - of mind-body (even 'body I am' and 'body I have' (Sheets-Johnston, 2015), nature-culture, theory-practice. By addressing 'gaps' among things, I am seeking to move beyond changes of terminology (Mulcahy, 2012), to actualising an empirical-philosophical account of PL, which is enacting as you read Part IV.

The writings of Merleau-Ponty (1945/2012) inform Whitehead's (2010) conceptualisation of physical literacy, especially in describing human-world experiences as 'dialogues with the world'. The extent to which current PL research literature explores the relational aspect of thing-human-nonhuman is limited, hence the relevance of showing relations being enabled – for example, ball-tarmac-eye direction-hurdle-success-pupil. Physical, affective and cognitive learning domains (Bloom, 1956; Krathwohl *et al.*, 1973) are working *intra*-actively (Barad, 2003) in many human-nonhuman PL-PE assemblages. One student-participant had created a space-place

Things 'beckon' the pupils to roll the ball, avoid the cones, roll the ball under the hurdle, to a partner. The pile of things is a common feature in PE lessons and things transform from pile to invitation to play. Oftentimes, the teacher/student moves the things, other times the pupils are given guidance about where to put things. Things create the boundaries of an activity, prompting movements in the education context. For example, one pupil practicing with a hoop was noted as 'important' during one observation because she had more than one hoop around her wrists!

Plate 2. Hedge-container-tennis balls-cones-cone holder-bag-hurdles-coat-rucksack-notepad-tarmac assemblage.

[insert Plate 2 here]

electronic resource-interview reflections-golf club.

There are more examples like the golf club, wherein humans and things are interwoven in such an entangled way that there seems to be no 'gap'. Multiple perspectives are interwoven in this episode and others like it. For instance, the assemblage of SA-pupil-writing ability-PE practicing-professional learning-researcher questioning-. Further, things in the assemblage include Ingold's (2016, p. 10) 'unseen' "interstices", MacLure's (2013) 'mobius strip', translations according to ANT (Callon, 1984). These relate to the fleshy in-between of Merleau-Ponty's (1945/2012) phenomenology, and Bennett's (2010) 'liveliness' of things. In other words, things 'afford' relations-activities. Things already matter (Barad, 2003) and already have a history (Ingold, 2012). Moreover, that history is lively.

Liveliness may also disrupt, interrupt, bodies at work and play, such as balls being thrown 'off' target. Things can 'surprise' the gaze of the human (Bennett, 2010, p. 5), and like the pile of stuff in Plate 2, things are always immanent – part of what Bennett (2010, p. 4) calls a "speculative onto-story". The properties of things have their own histories and potentials as to what they can do (Ingold, 2012) and in PE that includes pupils coming to know how one might interact with tennis

for pupils to experiment with hoops (physical, cognitive), for instance, and the motivation (affective) to practice was observed.

Barad (2003) introduces the term *intra-action* which connotes ongoing ontological relations where object and subject are brought together in what she calls *phenomena*. This contradicts Cartesian dualism as well as challenging representationism. Inter-action presumes an external/outer pre-existing entity whilst Barad's *intra-action* removes the need for a signifier and signified. For example, the knower-known-knowledge triad becomes one phenomenon – knowing. Knowing is thus performative rather than a linguistic, representative concept. Concepts like physical literacy, are based on human–nonhuman phenomena, being performed or practiced by constant *intra-actions*. All bodies are materialised – all bodies matter – in Barad's understanding of practices, hence my thinking about 'bodies' rather than 'things'.

There are implications for agency as “ongoing reconfigurings of the world” (Barad, 2003, p. 818) - human and nonhuman agency, which Barad (2014) follows up in other texts not explored here. Practices of PL are not external, and pre-determined, waiting to be placed into a correct space and time; *intra-actions* are “within-phenomena” (Barad, 2003, p. 815). Thus ‘following an actor’ is not following a “singular entity”, but rather tracing the

In the interview with Student A (SA), she discussed the opportunity afforded by the golf club(s), because she had positioned herself at that activity area. She related to pupil class-based learning: “they struggled with fine motor skills, like they couldn't write very easily, so anything that was em... really tight grip things, they weren't very good at and that's why some of them struggled with wrapping their hands around golf clubs and things like that” (SA Interview).

Plate 3. Student A lesson evaluation.

[insert Plate 3 here]

Plate 3 shows the boundaries within which student teachers are summarising their lesson evaluations. Here, SA had written “physical literacy G. B. website” in the ‘Next Steps’ section

balls or hoops. How SA or PA set out things-pupils-plan-PE-PL- is an ongoing performing of knowledges-through-practicings (Gherardi, 2016). Each visit to the PE lesson, therefore, builds on the previous knowledges experienced, pupils-participant are constantly *becoming* (Bennett, 2010; Ingold, 2012).

Barad's ‘diffractive methodology’ (2007) serves as a way of reading information from multiple research sources whilst passing through one another, and theory, and “paying attention to patterns of difference (including the material effects of constitutive exclusions)” (Barad, 2011, p. 445). As is being attempted here, data-theories-participant reflections, are being read through one another without ‘cleaning up’ data.

Enactments of PL-PE include nonhuman-human phenomena materially configuring, creating knowledges – for Barad (2007, p. 342) knowing is “a distributed practice that includes the larger material arrangement. To the extent that humans participate in scientific or other practices of knowing, they do so as part of the larger material configuration of the world and its ongoing open-ended articulation”. The student-participant in becoming a teacher is through ongoing material assemblages.

The lesson plan (Plate 3) is a diffraction from and diffracted back to PE lectures at

connections, relations (Adams and Thompson, 2016, p. 38). Outer boundaries are created by the intra-actions performed or practiced. Boundaries are, however, not stable or fixed. For Barad (2003, p. 817) “The world *is* intra-activity”. The relevance of her idea of intra-action for my research is that it helps show how PL is enacting by iterative encounters among things animate-inanimate-human-nonhuman, hence the importance of the posthuman perspective.

One aspect of PL and PE is the affective learning domain, perhaps not as easily traceable in assemblages as, for example, effects of things performing the physical domain (Mulcahy, 2012). Affect, located in the in-between, or fleshiness of the world, moves among things and Mulcahy (2012, p. 11) suggests this is more than mere ‘intersubjective’ linkage between things. Student-participant language (Plate 3) seems to indicate that the enjoyment of pupils is important and joy during a PE lesson is associated with the affective domain. In PL-PE, enjoying and participating in movement activities is an effect of motivation, confidence and physical competence. All of these elements support enacting of PL (Whitehead, 2010).

of a lesson plan. In her interview she recalled a university resource recommended by me. Researcher is evidently entangled with the student-participant’s developing PL-PE. Other invisible things in the lesson evaluation assemblage include the IPLA (2017) definition of PL, Scottish curriculum policy, laptop. The messiness of the sociomaterial is becoming-with SA and (fleeting) appearances of PL are being made visible.

The notion of boundaries might provide future analysis, as boundaries seemed to matter at several junctures through data gathering. Playgrounds, lesson plans, pupils all have boundaries – trees, lines of courts, sections on the page, ‘space bubbles’ (extend arms out to the sides, rotate at waist, reach above the head, to show personal space).

university, online resources used in those lectures, and the ‘next steps’ of SA. The traces show a past-present-future, evidence of “spacetime-matterings” (Barad, 2014). Experiences during the PE lectures are informing a PL-PE understanding, in theory-based sessions during online learning, but also in practical PE workshop sessions. Aspects of PL are visible - such as physical competence (SA is looking for golf/hockey skill resources, Plate 3), motivation and confidence, “giving children a variety of equipment ... something they enjoy and practice” (SA, Plate 3). The traces from SA plan-practice-reflection-PL resources, bring to light the many different effects diffracting and affecting assemblages (Mulcahy, 2012). By including things as ‘mattering’ (Barad, 2014), e/affects which matter here are rendered visible - those in which PL is performing. Further, through embracing posthumanism, the intra-actions of lesson plan text with SA reflections/evaluations makes visible aspects of PL that were not observed by me during the lesson visit.

Concluding Reflections

My intention in this paper has been to put into practice the idea of methodology which has at its core, dynamism and fluidity, a move towards post-qualitative inquiry, to embrace the messiness of research. The vehicle for contextualising my methodological meshwork is physical literacy informed physical education (PL-PE) as teacher education students are becoming teachers. Through my methodological meshwork the dynamism of PL is demonstrable in many 'spacetime-matterings' (Barad, 2014) and this is in part due to decentring human, including 'things' in the meshwork. The philosophical roots of PL may limit its meaningfulness if human embodiment remains its core central tenet. This aspect of phenomenology which focuses on unique human experiences and for some research traditions, 'brackets out' context to provide a clearer human perspective, eschews the potential richness offered by including things nonhuman. Hence, I introduce posthumanism to account for all 'bodies' cocreating PL. Indeed, in line with a flattened ontology (Latour, 2005), the human body is also "a thing" (Ingold, 2012, p. 437).

The philosophies underpinning PL, posthumanism and sociomaterialism have been diffracted through empirical information in a diffractive methodology. Following the empirical lures of field visits, a lesson evaluation, diagrammatic presentation of one field visit, a golf club, things have provided arguably, a richer, three-dimensional account of practicings of PL-PE. Entering the middle of things assembling, it has become apparent that attending to detail allows more things in any assemblage to speak. Following the empirical lures whilst diffracting through theories meant further following of actors but also "tracing responses and passages" (Adams and Thompson, 2016, p. 81). Doing these analyses by diving into the meshwork means loosening it first through the detail, and then seeking the relational, the 'co-responses' among things (Ingold, 2012). Or in another way, investigating the ruptures, leaks and (dis)continuities. In whatever way these descriptions are working, there remain 'matters' to follow.

More questions are arising from this paper than are 'answered' but the point is not to foreclose. As Law (2004) warns, there may come different ways of relating to the mess that raise more questions because there is much to unravel, to follow - whether to dead-ends or lines that fizzle out (Adams and Thompson, 2016). 'Vagueness' does not mean 'methodological failure' (Law, 2004, p. 14). Primary focus here has been methodological, to follow the actors, and I will continue to undo, rupture, work with St. Pierre's (2019) post-qualitative ideas, because an ontology of immanence (Deleuze and Guattari, 1994) seems to retain the dynamic nature of PL-PE. This means retaining the sense of movement on two

1
2
3 fronts. One is the way in which the empirical is entangling with theoretical/philosophical. The other way
4 is in coming to know how PL might be enacting if student-teachers perform PL-PE as part of their
5 becoming teachers.
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Qualitative Research Journal

References

- Adams, C., and Thompson, T. L. (2016), *Researching a Posthuman World: Interviews with Digital Objects*. Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Alirezabeigi, S., Masschelein, J. and Decuypere, M. (2020), "The agencement of taskification: On new forms of reading and writing in BYOD schools", *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, Vol. 52 No. 14, pp. 1514-1525. DOI: [10.1080/00131857.2020.1716335](https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1716335)
- Bailey, R. (2020), "Educating with Brain, Body and World Together", *Interchange*, Vol. 51, pp. 277–291. DOI: 10.1007/s10780-020-09390-5
- Barad, K. (2003), "Posthumanist Performativity: an Understanding of How Matter Comes to Matter", *Signs: Journal of Women in Culture and Society*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 801-831.
- Barad, K. (2007), *Meeting the universe halfway: Quantum physics and the entanglement of matter and meaning*, Duke University Press, London.
- Barad, K. (2011), "Erasers and erasures: Pinch's unfortunate 'uncertainty principle'", *Social Studies of Science*, Vol. 41 No. 3, pp. 443–454.
- Barad, K. (2014), "Diffracting Diffraction: Cutting Together-Apart", *Parallax*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 168-187. DOI: 10.1080/13534645.2014.927623
- Bennett, J. (2010), *Vibrant Matter: A Political Ecology of Things*, Duke University Press, Durham and London.
- Bloom, B.S. (1956), "Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals; Handbook 1: Cognitive domain", Engelhart, M.D., Furst, E.J., Hill, W.H., and Krathwohl, D.R. (Ed.s), *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals; Handbook 1: Cognitive domain*, David McKay, New York.
- Bryant, L. R. (2011), *The Democracy of Objects*, Open Humanities Press, available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/2027/spo.9750134.0001.001> (accessed 24 August 2022)
- Callon, M. (1984), "Some elements of a sociology of translation: domestication of the scallops and the fishermen of St Brieuc Bay", *The Sociological Review*, Vol. 32 No. 1, pp. 196-233. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-954X.1984.tb00113.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-954X.1984.tb00113.x)
- Carse, N., Jess, M. and Keay, J. (2017), "Primary Physical Education in Scotland", Griggs, G. and Petrie, K. (Ed.s), *Routledge Handbook of Primary Physical Education*, Routledge, London.
- Carse, N., Jess, M. and Keay, J. (2018), "Primary physical education: shifting perspectives to move forwards", *European Physical Education Review*, Vol. 24 No. 4, pp. 487-502. DOI: [10.1177/1356336X16688598](https://doi.org/10.1177/1356336X16688598)
- Convention Of Scottish Local Authorities (COSLA), 2021. *The Positive Contribution of Physical Activity and Sport to Scotland*, Public Health Scotland, Edinburgh, available at:

1
2
3 [https://www.cosla.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0021/24942/COSLA-PA-Contribution-Briefing-](https://www.cosla.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0021/24942/COSLA-PA-Contribution-Briefing-V8.pdf)
4 [V8.pdf](https://www.cosla.gov.uk/_data/assets/pdf_file/0021/24942/COSLA-PA-Contribution-Briefing-V8.pdf) (accessed 27 September 2021)
5

6 Decuyper, M. and Simon, M. (2016), "Relational thinking in education: topology, sociomaterial studies,
7 and figures", *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 371–386. DOI:
8 10.1080/14681366.2016.1166150.
9

10 Deleuze, G. and Guattari, F. (1994), *What is Philosophy?* Trans. H. Tomlinson and G. Burchell, Verso,
11 London.
12

13
14 Durden-Myers, E. J., Whitehead, M. E. and Pot, N. (2018), "Physical Literacy and Human Flourishing",
15 *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, Vol. 37, pp. 308-311. DOI:10.1123/jtpe.2018-0132
16

17 Durden-Myers, E. J., Meloche, E. S., and Dhillon, Karamjeet, K. (2020), "The Embodied Nature of Physical
18 Literacy: Interconnectedness of Lived Experience and Meaning", *Journal of Physical Education,*
19 *Recreation & Dance*, Vol. 91 No. 3, pp. 8-16. DOI: 10.1080/07303084.2019.1705213
20

21
22 Durden-Myers, E. J., Bartle, G., Whitehead, M. E. and Dhillon, Karamjeet, K. (2021), "Physical Literacy
23 and Intentionality: Embodied Beckoning", *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation & Dance*, Vol. 92 No.
24 9, pp. 42-49. DOI: 10.1080/07303084.2021.1977744
25

26 Education Scotland (2021), "Better Movers and Thinkers Resource Package", available at:
27 <https://education.gov.scot/media/qatkpwzg/hwb9-better-mover-and-thinkers.pdf> (accessed 25 January
28 2022)
29

30
31 Edwards, L. C., Bryant, A. S., Keegan, R. J., Morgan, K., and Jones, A. M. (2017), "Definitions, Foundations
32 and Associations of Physical Literacy: A Systematic Review", *Sports Medicine*, Vol. 47, pp. 113–126. DOI:
33 [10.1007/s40279-016-0560-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0560-7)
34

35 Edwards, L. C., Bryant, A. S., Keegan, R. J., Morgan, K., Cooper, S-M. and Jones, A. M. (2018),
36 "Measuring' Physical Literacy and Related Constructs: A Systematic Review of Empirical Findings",
37 *Sports Medicine*, Vol. 48, pp. 659-682. DOI: 10.1007/s40279-017-0817-9
38

39
40 Fox, N. J. and Alldred, P. (2021), "Doing new materialist data analysis: a Spinozo-Deleuzian ethological
41 toolkit", *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. DOI: 10.1080/13645579.2021.1933070
42

43 Gherardi, S. (2016), "To start practice theorizing anew: The contribution of the concepts of agencement
44 and formativeness", *Organization*, Vol. 23 No. 5, pp. 680-698. DOI: 10.1177/1350508415605174
45

46 Haraway, D. (1997), *Modest_Witness@Second_Millennium: FemaleMan_Meets_OncoMouse: Feminism*
47 *and Technoscience*, Routledge, New York.
48

49
50 Hardley, S., Gray, S. and McQuillan, R. (2021), "A critical discourse analysis of Curriculum for Excellence
51 implementation in four Scottish secondary school case studies", *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics*
52 *of Education*, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 513-527. DOI: 10.1080/01596306.2019.1710463
53

54 Harman, G. (2009), *Prince of Networks: Bruno Latour and Metaphysics*, pre.press, Melbourne.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Harris, J., Cale, L. and Musson, H. (2012), "The predicament of primary education a consequence of
4 insufficient and ineffective CPD", *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 367-381.
5 DOI: [10.1080/17408989.2011.582489](https://doi.org/10.1080/17408989.2011.582489)
6

7 Haydn-Davies, D., Kaitell, E., Randall, V. and Spence, J. (2010), "The importance of goats in primary
8 initial teacher education: a case study in physical education", paper presented at British Educational
9 Research Association, 2010, University of Warwick.
10

11
12 Huddleston, G. (2021), "How prepared are postgraduate primary pre-service teachers in delivering
13 physical education as they approach their NQT year?", available at: [https://discoverytrust.org/wp-](https://discoverytrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Huddleston-G.-2021-PPSTs-Preparation-for-PE-Original.pdf)
14 [content/uploads/2021/03/Huddleston-G.-2021-PPSTs-Preparation-for-PE-Original.pdf](https://discoverytrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/Huddleston-G.-2021-PPSTs-Preparation-for-PE-Original.pdf) (accessed 10
15 December 2021).
16

17
18 Hyndman, B and Pill, S. (2018), "What's in a concept? A Leximancer Text Mining Analysis of Physical
19 Literacy Across the International Context", *European Physical Education Review*, Vol. 24 No. 3, pp. 292-
20 313. DOI: 10.1177/1356336X17690312
21

22 Ingold, T. (2006), "Up, across and along", *Place and Location: Studies in Environmental Aesthetics and*
23 *Semiotics*, Vol. 5, pp. 21-36.
24

25
26 Ingold, T. (2012), "Towards an Ecology of Materials", *Annual Review of Anthropology*, Vol. 41, pp. 427-
27 442. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-anthro-081309-145920
28

29 Ingold, T. (2015), *The Life of Lines*, Routledge, London.
30

31
32 Ingold, T. (2016), "On human correspondence", *Journal of the Royal Anthropological Institute*, Vol. 23,
33 pp. 9-27.
34

35
36 International Physical Literacy Association (IPLA), (2017), available at: [https://www.physical-](https://www.physical-literacy.org.uk/)
37 [literacy.org.uk/](https://www.physical-literacy.org.uk/) (accessed 4 September 2021).
38

39 Jackson A. and Mazzei, L. (2012), *Thinking with Theory in Qualitative Research: Viewing Data Across*
40 *Multiple Perspectives*, Routledge, London and New York.
41

42
43 Jansen, X., Fleming, L., Kirk, A., Rollins, L., Young, D., Grealy, M., MacDonald. B., Flowers, P. and Williams,
44 L. (2020), "Changes in Physical Activity, Sitting and Sleeping across the COVID-19 National
45 Lockdown Period in Scotland", *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, Vol.
46 17 No. 24. DOI: [10.3390/ijerph17249362](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249362)
47

48
49 Jess, M. and Collins, D. (2003), "Primary Physical Education in Scotland: the Future in the Making",
50 *European Journal of Physical Education*, Vol. 8 No. 2, pp. 103-118. DOI: 10.1080/1740898030080202
51

52
53 Jess, M., Carse, N. and Keay, J. (2016), "The primary physical education curriculum process: more
54 complex that you might think!", *Education 3-13*, Vol. 44 No. 5, pp. 502-512. DOI:
55 10.1080/03004279.2016.1169482
56

57
58 Kanger, L. (2017), "Mapping 'the ANT multiple': A comparative, critical and reflexive analysis", *Journal of*
59 *Theory of Social Behaviour*, Vol. 47, pp. 435-462. DOI: [10.1111/jtsb.12141](https://doi.org/10.1111/jtsb.12141)
60

1
2
3
4 Kirk, D., Bardid, F., Lamb, C., Miller, J. and Teraoka, E. (2018), "Redesigning Physical Education in
5 Scotland", *Redesigning physical education: An equity agenda in which every child matters*, pp. 145-155,
6 available at:

7 [https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/64009/3/Kirk_etal_2018_Redesigning_physical_education_in_Scotland.](https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/64009/3/Kirk_etal_2018_Redesigning_physical_education_in_Scotland.pdf)
8 [pdf](https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/64009/3/Kirk_etal_2018_Redesigning_physical_education_in_Scotland.pdf) (accessed 30 March 2022).
9

10
11 Krathwohl, D.R., Bloom, B.S. and Masia, B.B. (1973). *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives, the*
12 *Classification of Educational Goals. Handbook II: Affective Domain*. David McKay Co., New York.
13

14 Kuby, C. R. (2017), "Why a paradigm shift of 'more than human ontologies' is needed: putting to work
15 poststructural and posthuman theories in writers' studio", *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in*
16 *Education*, Vol. 30 No. 9, pp. 877-896. DOI: 10.1080/09518398.2017.1336803
17

18
19 Kwan, M. Y. W., Graham, G., D., Bedard, C., Bremer, E., Healey, C. and Cairney, J. (2019), "Examining the
20 Effectiveness of a Pilot Physical Literacy-Based Intervention Targeting First-Year University Students: The
21 PLUS Program", *SAGE Open*, Vol. 9 No. 2. DOI: [10.1177/2158244019850248](https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019850248)
22

23 Latour, B. (1996), "On actor-network theory: a few clarifications", *Soziale Welt*, Vol. 47, pp. 369-381,
24 available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40878163> (accessed 28 June 2022).
25

26
27 Latour, B. (1999), *Pandora's Hope: Essays of the Reality of Science Studies*, Harvard University Press,
28 Cambridge.
29

30
31 Latour, B. (2005), *Reassembling the Social: An Introduction to Actor-Network-Theory*, Oxford University
32 Press, Oxford.
33

34
35 Law, J. (2004), *After Method: Mess in Social Science Research*, Taylor & Francis e-Library. ISBN 0-203-
36 68010-3.
37

38
39 MacLure, M. (2013), "Researching without representation? Language and materiality in post-qualitative
40 methodology", *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, Vol. 26 No. 6, pp. 658-667. DOI:
41 10.1080/09518398.2013.788755
42

43
44 Mannion, G. (2020), "Re-assembling environmental and sustainability education: orientations from new
45 materialism", *Environmental Education Research* Vol. 26 No. 9-10, pp. 1353-1372, DOI:
46 10.1080/13504622.2018.1536926 1-20
47

48
49 Martins, J., Onofre, M., Mota, J., Murphy, C., Repond, R-M., Vost, H., Cremosini, B., Svrđlim, A.,
50 Markovic, M., and Dudley, D. (2021), "International approaches to the definition, philosophical tenets,
51 and core elements of physical literacy: A scoping review", *Prospects*, Vol. 50, pp. 13-30. DOI:
52 [10.1007/s11125-020-09466-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11125-020-09466-1)
53

54
55 Masschelein, J. (2010), "E-ducating the gaze: the idea of a poor pedagogy", *Ethics and Education*, Vol. 5
56 No. 1, pp. 43-53, DOI: 10.1080/17449641003590621
57

58
59 Merleau-Ponty, M. (1945/2012), *Phenomenology of Perception*, trans. D. A. Landes, Routledge, Oxon.
60

- 1
2
3 Merleau-Ponty, M. (1968), *The visible and the Invisible*, trans. A. Lingis, Northwestern University Press,
4 Evanston.
5
6 Mulcahy, D. (2012), "Affective assemblages: body matters in the pedagogic practices of contemporary
7 school classrooms", *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 9-27. DOI:
8 10.1080/14681366.2012.649413
9
10
11 Nail, T. (2017), "What is an Assemblage?", *SubStance*, Vol. 46 No. 1, pp. 21-37,
12 <https://muse.jhu.edu/article/650026>
13
14 Randall, V. (2016), *Becoming a Primary Physical Educator: Sourcing professional knowledge and*
15 *Confidence*. Education, Health and Social Care, University of Winchester, EdD, available at:
16 https://cris.winchester.ac.uk/ws/portalfiles/portal/2613588/Thesis_FINAL_Oct2016.pdf (accessed 20
17 August 2022).
18
19
20 Randall, V., Richardson, A., Swaithes, W. and Adams, S. (2016), *Generation Next: The preparation of pre-*
21 *service teachers in primary physical education*, University of Winchester, available at:
22 https://cris.winchester.ac.uk/ws/files/355812/918918_Randall_GenerationNext_original.pdf (accessed
23 9 December 2021).
24
25
26 Roberts, W. M., Newcombe, D., J. and Davids, K. (2019), "Application of a Constraints-led Approach to
27 pedagogy in schools: embarking on a journey to nurture Physical Literacy in primary physical education",
28 *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy*, Vol. 24 No. 2, pp. 162-175. DOI:
29 10.1080/17408989.2018.1552675.
30
31
32 Robinson, D. B., Randall, L. and Barrett, J. (2018), "Physical literacy (mis)understandings: What do
33 leading physical education teachers know about physical literacy?", *Journal of Teaching in Physical*
34 *Education*, Vol. 37, pp. 288–298.
35
36
37 Scott, J. J., Hill, S., Barwood, D. and Penney, D. (2021), "Physical literacy and policy alignment in sport
38 and education in Australia", *European Physical Education Review*, Vol. 27 No. 2, pp. 328–
39 347. DOI: 10.1177/1356336X20947434.
40
41
42 Scottish Executive, (2004), "The Report of the Review Group on Physical Education", HMSO, Edinburgh.
43
44 Scottish Executive, (2006), "A Curriculum for Excellence: Building the Curriculum 3-18 (1)", Scottish
45 Executive, Edinburgh, available at: <https://education.gov.scot/media/kxugralx/btc1.pdf> (accessed 25
46 January 2022).
47
48
49 Shearer, C., Goss, H. R., Edwards, L.C., Keegan, R. J., Knowles, Z. R., Boddy, L. M., Durden-Myers, E. J.,
50 and Foweather, L. (2018), "How Is Physical Literacy Defined? A Contemporary Update", *Journal of*
51 *Teaching in Physical Education*, Vol. 37 No. 3, pp. 237-245. DOI:10.1123/jtpe.2018-0136.
52
53
54 Sheets-Johnston, M. (2015), "Embodiment on trial: a phenomenological investigation", *Continental*
55 *Philosophy Review*, Vol. 48, pp. 23-39. DOI: 10.1007/s11007-014-9315-z.
56
57
58 St. Pierre, E. A. (1997), "Methodology in the fold and the irruption of transgressive data", *International*
59 *Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, Vol. 10, pp. 175-189. DOI: [10.1080/095183997237278](https://doi.org/10.1080/095183997237278)
60

1
2
3 St. Pierre, E. A. (2019), "Post Qualitative inquiry in an Ontology of Immanence", *Qualitative Inquiry*, Vol.
4 25 No. 1, pp. 3-16.
5

6 St. Pierre, E. A. (2021), "Post Qualitative Inquiry, the Refusal of Method, and the Risk of the New",
7 *Qualitative Inquiry*, Vol. 27 No. 1, pp. 3–9. DOI: [10.1177/1077800419863005](https://doi.org/10.1177/1077800419863005)
8

9
10 Taylor, C., A. (2017), "Rethinking the empirical in higher education: postqualitative inquiry as a less
11 comfortable social science", *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, Vol. 40 No. 3, pp.
12 311-324. DOI: 10.1080/1743727X.2016.1256984
13

14 Thompson, T. L. and Adams, C. (2020), "Accountabilities of posthuman research", *Explorations in Media*
15 *Ecology*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 337-349. DOI: [10.1386/eme_00050_7](https://doi.org/10.1386/eme_00050_7)
16

17 Thorburn, M. and Jess, M. (2008), "Physical Education and Sport Education in Scotland", Klein, G. and
18 Hardman, K. (Ed.s), *Physical Education and Sport Education in the European Union, Volume 2*. Editions
19 Revue EP.S.
20

21
22 Ulmer, J. B. (2017), "Posthumanism as research methodology: inquiry in the Anthropocene",
23 *International Journal of Qualitative Studies in Education*, Vol. 30 No. 9, pp. 832-848. DOI:
24 10.1080/09518398.2017.1336806
25

26 Whitehead, M. E. (1987), "A study of the views of Sartre and Merleau-Ponty relating to embodiment,
27 and a consideration of the implications of these views to the justification and practice of physical
28 education", Unpublished PhD thesis, University of London, London.
29

30
31 Whitehead, M. E. (2001), "The Concept of Physical Literacy", *European Journal of Physical Education*,
32 Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 127-138. DOI: 10.1080/1740898010060205.
33

34 Whitehead, M. E. (2010), *Physical Literacy Throughout the Life Course*, Routledge, London.
35

36
37 Whitehead, R., Martin, L., Shearer, E. and Greci, S. (2020), *Rapid international evidence review: Impact of*
38 *the COVID-19 disease control measures on physical activity and dietary behaviours and weight*, Public
39 Health Scotland, Edinburgh.
40

Plate 2. Hedge-container-tennis balls-cones-cone holder-bag-hurdles-coat-rucksack-notepad-tarmac assemblage.



Plate 3. Student A lesson evaluation.

EVALUATING MY PRACTICE	
<p>Going well (what worked and why?)</p> <p>> giving children a variety of equipment able to find something they enjoy + practice</p>	<p>Areas for development (what didn't work and why?)</p> <p>> Not checking in children know how to use all equipment safely when adding new resource</p>
<p>Next Steps for Me</p> <p>> physical literacy G.B. website for golf/hockey skills.</p>	