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**Background:** Clinical response to chemotherapy for ovarian cancer is frequently compromised by the development of drug-resistant disease. The underlying molecular mechanisms and implications for prescription of routinely prescribed chemotherapy drugs are poorly understood.

**Methods:** We created novel A2780-derived ovarian cancer cell lines resistant to paclitaxel and olaparib following continuous incremental drug selection. MTT assays were used to assess chemosensitivity to paclitaxel and olaparib in drug-sensitive and drug-resistant cells. **ABCB1** expression was assessed by qRT-PCR, copy number, western blotting and immunohistochemical analysis and **ABCB1** activity assessed by the Vybrant and P-glycoprotein-Glo assays.

**Results:** Paclitaxel-resistant cells were cross-resistant to olaparib, doxorubicin and rucaparib but not to veliparib or AZD2461. Resistance correlated with increased **ABCB1** expression and was reversible following treatment with the **ABCB1** inhibitors verapamil and elacridar. Active efflux of paclitaxel, olaparib, doxorubicin and rucaparib was confirmed in drug-resistant cells and in **ABCB1**-expressing bacterial membranes.

**Conclusions:** We describe a common **ABCB1**-mediated mechanism of paclitaxel and olaparib resistance in ovarian cancer cells. Optimal choice of PARP inhibitor may therefore limit the progression of drug-resistant disease, while routine prescription of first-line paclitaxel may significantly limit subsequent chemotherapy options in ovarian cancer patients.

Ovarian cancer, the most common and most lethal gynaecological malignancy, is commonly initially treated with combination chemotherapy, most frequently combining the platinum drug carboplatin with the taxane paclitaxel (SIGN, 2013). Although a minority of ovarian cancer patients are inherently drug resistant, development of chemotherapy-induced adaptive drug resistance is a common treatment-limiting complication in the majority of patients – understanding the molecular events that lead to the development of drug-resistant disease is therefore a clinical priority (Vaughan et al, 2011). Increased understanding of causal molecular mechanisms may facilitate biomarker development to predict and monitor the onset of drug-resistant disease in individual patients and inform the most rational prescription of alternative second-line chemotherapy, where a variety of drugs are currently being evaluated in clinical trials, including the PARP inhibitors (PARPis) olaparib, veliparib (ABT-888) and rucaparib (Sonnenblick et al, 2015). PARPis are drugs that primarily inhibit PARP1 (poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase), an enzyme with a key role in single-strand...
break DNA repair, and were rationally designed to promote selective toxicity through exploitation of synthetic lethality resulting from targeting alternative or compensatory DNA repair pathways (Bryant et al., 2005; Farmer et al., 2005). Individuals inheriting relatively rare mutations (5–10% of breast and ovarian cancer patients) in the tumour-suppressor genes BRCA1 or BRCA2, proteins which have an essential role in homologous recombination (HR)-mediated repair of DNA double-strand breaks, have increased risk of developing breast and ovarian cancer but are particularly sensitive to olaparib and similar PARPi drugs (Audeh et al., 2010; Tutt et al., 2010). Olaparib has recently been licensed for maintenance treatment of ovarian cancer patients following first-line chemotherapy (Oza et al., 2015), while increased understanding of the molecular basis of the ‘BRCA-like’ or ‘BRCAness’ phenotype may lead to future extension of current PARPi licensing (Rouleau et al., 2010). Before PARPis can be routinely prescribed, however, it is clearly important that PARPi-induced resistance mechanisms are better understood and that the potential for treatment-limiting resistance mechanisms common both to PARPis and conventional chemotherapy is evaluated.

The majority of recent studies on PARPi-induced drug resistance mechanisms have focused on adaptive changes in the DNA damage response, for example, describing restoration of HR function following the acquisition of secondary BRCA mutations (Edwards et al., 2008; Sakai et al., 2008), HSP-90 mediated stabilisation of mutant BRCA1 (Johnson et al., 2013), RAD51 upregulation (Martin et al., 2007), loss of REV7 (Xu et al., 2015) or promotion of alternative error-prone non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) DNA repair (Patel et al., 2011). Similarity in patient chemosensitivity profiles following treatment with platinum drugs and PARPis and common resistance mechanisms where in vitro selection in one drug promotes cross-resistance to the other have been described (Edwards et al., 2008; Sakai et al., 2008; Tutt et al., 2010), while BRCA1 revertant mutations have been reported in carboplatin-resistant ovarian cancer patients (Swisher et al., 2008; Norquist et al., 2011).

In contrast, increased expression of the ATP-dependent efflux pump ABCB1 (MDR1), which encodes the membrane drug transporter P-glycoprotein, is a well-described resistance mechanism for doxorubicin, paclitaxel and related taxane drugs (Shen et al., 1986; Fojo et al., 1987; Parekh et al., 1997). Increased ABCB1 copy number resulting from a chromosomal amplification event at 7q11.2-21 has been correlated with increased P-glycoprotein expression in paclitaxel-resistant cells from various cancers, including ovarian cancer (Wang et al., 2011). Similarity in patient chemosensitivity profiles following treatment with platinum drugs and PARPis and common resistance mechanisms where in vitro selection in one drug promotes cross-resistance to the other have been described (Edwards et al., 2008; Sakai et al., 2008; Tutt et al., 2010), while BRCA1 revertant mutations have been reported in carboplatin-resistant ovarian cancer patients (Swisher et al., 2008; Norquist et al., 2011).

We now describe the creation of a novel olaparib-resistant A2780-derived ovarian cancer cell line (A2780olapR) and highlight an ABCB1-mediated resistance mechanism common to paclitaxel, doxorubicin and rucaparib. Our findings are highly clinically relevant, as our data suggest that prescription of specific PARPi as second-line or maintenance treatment may not be appropriate in the majority of ovarian cancer patients where clinical response is compromised by paclitaxel-induced drug resistance.

### Materials and Methods

Cell lines. The ovarian cancer cell line A2780 was obtained from the European Collection of Cell Culture (ECACC, www.pickeculturecollections.org.uk/collections/ecacc.aspx). Olaparib-resistant (A2780olapR) and paclitaxel-resistant (A2780pacR) cells were created following continuous incremental drug selection. MT3 (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) cytotoxicity assays (Mossman, 1983) were used as described below to assess olaparib and paclitaxel sensitivity in A2780 cells and to identify initial drug concentrations toxic to approximately 50% of cells (1 μM olaparib and 3 nM paclitaxel, respectively). Drug concentrations were then incrementally increased to final concentrations of 20 μM (A2780olapR) to mimic typical steady-state plasma concentrations in ovarian cancer patients receiving 400 mg olaparib daily by oral administration (Dean et al., 2012) and 2 μM paclitaxel (A2780pacR), the concentration at which A2780 cells became maximally stably resistant to paclitaxel. The additional ovarian cancer cell lines A1847 (Eva et al., 1982) and PE-01 (Langdon et al., 1988) and derivative cell lines were a generous gift from Professor Roland Wolf, Division of Cancer Research, University of Dundee. All cell lines were maintained in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (± selection drugs), with additional supplementation of 1% sodium pyruvate and 1% l-glutamine for PE-01 lines, in 37°C incubators, supplemented with 5% CO₂.

siRNA-mediated ABCB1 gene knockdown. A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells (2.5 × 10⁴ cells per well) were seeded in six-well plates and incubated for 24 h before transient transfections using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) with a final concentration of 25 nM ABCB1-specific siRNA (Throckmart SMARTpool ON-TARGETplus; GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Little Chalfont, UK) or negative control (sterile water) in serum-free medium. The extent of ABCB1 knockdown was assessed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis 24, 48 and 72 h following transfection, as described below.

MTT chemosensitivity assays. MTT assays (Mossman, 1983) were used to compare the chemosensitivity of A2780, A2780olapR and A2780pacR cells and the additional cell lines described above to the chemotherapy drugs cisplatin, carboplatin, paclitaxel, doxorubicin, olaparib, AZD2461, veliparib and rucaparib and the ABCB1 inhibitors verapamil and elacridar. Each cell line was plated in a 96-well plate (5000 cells per well or 3000 cells per well for A1847 and PE-01 cell lines) and treated in triplicate with serial dilutions of each drug, at concentrations selected (where possible) to mimic typical peak plasma levels in ovarian cancer patients (range 0–200% (peak plasma); cisplatin 0–25 μM, carboplatin 0–85 μM, paclitaxel 0–32 μM, doxorubicin 0–5.5 μM, olaparib 0–50 μM, AZD2461 0–20 μM, veliparib 0–20 μM and rucaparib 0–20 μM; Konecny et al., 2000). For ABCB1 inhibitor studies, cells were initially treated with a dose range from 0 to 100 μM verapamil or elacridar to identify non-toxic inhibitor concentrations for use in future combination treatment studies, then subsequently combining 5 and 10 μM verapamil or elacridar with serial dilutions of paclitaxel or olaparib. Cells were drug treated for 72 h, media were removed and 100 μl of a 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ MTT solution (MTT in phenol red-free DMEM) was added, and the cells were incubated at 37°C for 3 h. The resulting formazan crystals were solubilised in DMSO, quantitated spectrophotometrically at 570 nm and the percentage of viable cells remaining following each drug treatment was calculated (assigning a value of 100% to vehicle-treated cells). IC₅₀ values were calculated from log dose–response curves using Prism 6 software (GraphPad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA).
RNA and DNA extraction from cell lines. Cells were grown to 80% confluency in 75 cm² flasks, harvested by trypsinisation, counted using a haemocytometer, and 1 × 10⁶ cells used for RNA extraction using RNAeasy Mini Kits (Qiagen, Manchester, UK), following the manufacturer’s protocol for mammalian cells, with additional on-column DNAse digestion (RNase Free DNase Kit, Qiagen). RNA yield and integrity were assessed from absorbance readings at 260 and 280 nm using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. Similarly, DNA was extracted from 3 × 10⁶ cells using a DNaseasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen), following the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA yield was assessed from absorbance readings at 260 nm using a Nanodrop 1000 spectrophotometer, as described above.

qRT-PCR analysis. RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA (200ng RNA per 50 µl RT reaction) using the Taqman Reverse Transcription Reagents Kits (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and the expression of ABCB1 (MDR1) (Taqman probe ID Hs00184500_m1), ABCCI (MRP1) (Taqman probe ID Hs01565102_m1), PARP1 (Taqman probe ID Hs00242302_m1) and the loading control 18S ribosomal RNA (Taqman probe ID Hs99999901_s1) was assessed by qRT-PCR analysis, as previously described (Smith et al, 2012), where 20 µl individual reaction mixes (per well) contained 10 µl Taqman Universal PCR Master Mix (Life Technologies), 1 µl gene-specific Taqman probe, 1 µl CDNA and 8 µl sterile water. Each reaction was performed in triplicate and run on the Standard Real Time PCR program on a 7900 Taqman real-time PCR system (Life Technologies) using predefined thermal cycling conditions (50 °C for 2 min, 94.5 °C for 10 min and then 40 cycles of 97 °C for 30 seconds, 59 °C for 1 min). Analysis of gene expression was performed using SDS 2.3 software (Life Technologies); optimal experimental baselines and thresholds were chosen for each gene, and gene expression in each cell line was quantitated by cycle threshold (Ct) values. Relative expression values were determined by comparing the expression of each target gene with the invariant ‘loading control’ 18S ribosomal RNA, as previously described (Smith et al, 2012). All samples were analysed in triplicate and gene expression was calculated relative to 18S ribosomal RNA ± compound error ((SD target gene)² + (SD 18S ribosomal RNA)²) / n, where SD = standard deviation of the mean of triplicate replicates.

Copy number analysis. ABCB1 (MDR1) copy number was assessed in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells using a quantitative Taqman gene copy number assay (Taqman assay ID Hs04962504_cn), where ABCB1 copy number was compared with the copy number of the endogenous control gene RNase P (Taqman Copy Number Reference Assay) by the comparative Ct method, and relative quantitation values obtained using CopyCaller Software (Life Technologies). As additional controls, ABCB1 and RNase P copy numbers were assessed in peripheral blood samples (n = 2) obtained from healthy volunteers, and copy numbers of two were confirmed.

Western blotting. Cells for protein extraction were harvested by trypsinisation as described above and protein extracts for ABCB1 analysis prepared in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 0.1% SDS, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 50 mM NaF, 1% Nonidet P-40) supplemented with EDTA-free protease inhibitor (Roche, Burgess Hill, UK) and for PARP1 analysis in 2 × SDS protein sample buffer (100 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 4% SDS, 0.04% Bromophenol blue, 20% Glycerol, 200 mM DTT) followed by sonication of samples when required. Following 30 min incubation on ice with vortexing at regular intervals, lysed cells were centrifuged (14 000 r.p.m., 10 min, 4 °C) to pellet cell debris, and protein concentrations of the resulting cell supernatants were determined by the Bradford Assay (ABCBl) or DC Protein Assay (PARP1) (Bio-Rad, Hemel Hempstead, UK), relative to a standard curve prepared from serial dilutions of bovine serum albumin (0–4 mg ml⁻¹), with absorbance readings at 595 or 750 nm respectively.

ABCBl and PARP1 expression was analysed in protein extracts from each cell line by western blotting, following SDS-PAGE. Each protein sample (15 µg) was diluted in equal volumes of 2 × protein sample buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8), 4% SDS, 0.02% bromophenol blue, 0.2 M DTT, 20% glycerol), denatured and separated by 12% SDS-PAGE gels in Tris-glycine buffer (25 mM Tris pH 8.3, 250 mM glycine, 0.1% SDS). Following electrophoresis, proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes in Tris-glycine-methanol buffer (48 mM Tris pH 8.3, 39 mM glycine, 0.037% SDS, 20% methanol) and non-specific antibody binding blocked by incubation for 2 h in TBST (25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween-20) containing 5% milk powder. Membranes were then incubated overnight with a mouse monoclonal ABCBl primary antibody (SC-55510, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Heidelberg, Germany, diluted 1:1000), PARP1 (no. 9542, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, diluted 1:1000) or a mouse monoclonal β-actin antibody (sc-47778, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, diluted 1:1000), washed in PBST (PBS supplemented with 0.05% Tween-20) and incubated for 1 h with a goat anti-mouse polyclonal secondary antibody (ABCBl; 170–6516, Bio-Rad, diluted 1:1000) or HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit polyclonal secondary antibody (PARP1; 170–6515; Bio-Rad, diluted 1:3000). Immunoblots were developed using an ECL-chemiluminescence Kit (Merck Millipore, Watford, UK), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Immunohistochemical analysis. A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells for immunohistochemical analysis were grown in 10 cm² dishes, harvested by scraping, centrifuged (1000 r.p.m., 5 min, RT) and re-suspended in 30 µl Normal Pooled Plasma (CCN-10, Alpha Laboratories, Eastleigh, UK). Suspended cells were pelleted by adding 50 µl Bovine Thrombin (BTUD293, Diagen Reagents Ltd., Thame, UK), and cell pellets immersed in 10% neutral buffered formalin prior to overnight processing on a Leica Peloris II processor using an 8-h xylene standard protocol. Processed cells were then embedded into paraffin wax. Sections (4 µm) were cut onto Superfrost plus slides (Thermo Scientific) and dried for 1 h at 60 °C. Antigen retrieval and de-paraffinisation was performed using DAKO En Vision FLEX Target Retrieval solution (high pH) buffer in a DAKO PT Link (Dako, Ely, UK). Immunostaining using DAKO EnVision FLEX system on a DAKO Autostainer Link48 was carried out according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sections were incubated with 1/2000 dilution of ABCBl primary antibody (SC-55510, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 30 min. DAKO substrate working solution was used as a chromogenic agent for 2 × 5 min, and sections were counterstained in En Vision FLEX hematoxylin. Negative controls were prepared by replacing the primary antibody with DAKO antibody diluent.

P-glycoprotein activity assays. Assessment of drug efflux activity in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells and the influence of the ABCBl inhibitor verapamil was performed using the Vybrant Multidrug Resistance Assay Kit (Life Technologies), which estimates drug transporter activity by quantitative assessment of intracellular calcein accumulation. Cells were treated with the non- fluorescent produrg calceinAM, which crosses the cell membrane by passive diffusion and is cleaved in the cytoplasm by endogenous esterases, releasing fluorescent calcein. In ABCBl-expressing A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells, calceinAM is effluxed prior to esterase cleavage, resulting in reduced intracellular calcein fluorescence. A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells were plated in triplicate in cell culture medium in 96-well microplates (5 × 10⁴ cells per well) and incubated with 0.25 µM calceinAM ± verapamil (0–25 µM) for 15 min at 37 °C. Microplates were then centrifuged 3 times for 5 min at 200 g, the cell supernatants were removed and
the cells re-suspended in 200 µl ice-cold cell medium. Intracellular calcein fluorescence was then measured using a fluorescence microplate reader, with absorption maximum at 494 nm and emission maximum at 517 nm. Relative calceinAM efflux in paired drug-sensitive and drug-resistant cell lines and the influence of individual ABCB1 inhibitors was assessed by plotting log$_{10}$dose-response curves of calcein retention (fluorescence of inhibitor treated cells) against untreated cells.

Independent assessment of the potential of olaparib to act as either a substrate or inhibitor of P-glycoprotein was performed using the P-glycoprotein-Glo Assay System (Promega, Southampton, UK), according to the manufacturer’s guidelines. The P-glycoprotein-Glo Assay System uses recombinant P-glycoprotein membranes to assess potential drug interactions based on ATP-dependent generation of a luminescent signal following activation of firefly luciferase and therefore avoids potential confounding contributions from additional drug transporters present in cell line analyses. Baseline P-glycoprotein membrane ATPase activity was first compared with the activity of membranes treated with the selective P-glycoprotein inhibitor sodium orthovanadate (final concentration 0.1 mM) to quantify any minor non-P-glycoprotein-dependent ATPase activity in the recombinant membrane preparations. Membranes were then incubated with verapamil (final concentration 200 µM; positive control) or with various concentrations of paclitaxel (8 and 16 µM), olaparib (12.5–50 µM), veliparib (25 and 50 µM), rucaparib (25 and 50 µM), AZD2461 (25 and 50 µM), and ATPase activity was assessed by quantitative assessment of luminescence (increased in the presence of P-glycoprotein substrates and decreased in the presence of inhibitors, relative to the activity of sodium orthovanadate-treated control samples).

Whole-genome microarray mRNA analysis in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells. RNA was prepared from A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells and integrity was assessed as described above. Each RNA sample was converted to biotinylated amplified cRNA using an Illumina TotalPrep RNA Amplification Kit (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s guidelines, and cRNA quality and concentration were confirmed on an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent Technologies, Wokingham, UK). cRNA samples were hybridised in triplicate on Illumina Human HT-12 BeadChip Arrays (Illumina, Little Chesterford, UK) using standard protocols optimised by the Genetics Core, Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility, University of Edinburgh.

Bioinformatics analysis. Gene expression data were analysed using Bioconductor 2.7 (http://bioconductor.org), running on R 2.12.1. Normalised probe set expression measures were calculated using $\log_2$ transformation and quantile normalisation using the ‘Lumi’ package. To identify significant differences in gene expression in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells, moderated Student’s $t$-tests were performed using empirical Bayes statistics in the ‘Limma’ package, and the resulting $P$-values were adjusted for multiple testing using the false discovery rate (FDR) Benjamini and Hochberg method (Smyth, 2004); probe sets with adjusted $P$-value FDR $q < 0.05$ were called differentially expressed.

RESULTS

Creation of ovarian cancer cell lines resistant to paclitaxel and olaparib. To investigate common mechanisms of paclitaxel and olaparib resistance, we created A2780-derived paclitaxel-resistant (A2780pacR) and olaparib-resistant (A2780olapR) cell lines as described in Materials and Methods section, with drug concentrations selected to mimic typical treatment protocols in ovarian cancer patients. A2780pacR cells were more than 7-fold resistant to paclitaxel (7.49-fold increase in IC$_{50}$paclitaxel, $P < 0.0001$; Figure 1A and E), while A2780olapR cells were 36-fold resistant to olaparib ($P < 0.0001$; Figure 1B and E). A2780pacR cells were significantly cross-resistant to olaparib (36.95-fold increase in IC$_{50}$olaparib, $P < 0.0001$; Figure 1A and E).

Figure 1. Cross-resistance of paclitaxel- and olaparib-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines. Novel ovarian cancer A2780-derived paclitaxel (A2780pacR) and olaparib (A2780olapR) resistant cell lines were created as described in Materials and Methods section. MTT chemosensitivity assays were used to compare cell viability following exposure to serial dilutions of (A and D) paclitaxel and (B and C) olaparib in A2780 and A2780pacR (A and C) and A2780olapR (B and D) cells. Chemosensitivity values obtained from triplicate replicate experiments, expressed as IC$_{50}$ values, are summarised in panel E.
IC50 (olaparib) \((P<0.0001\); Figure 1C and E), although A2780olapR cells were not cross-resistant to paclitaxel (Figure 1D and E). Similarly, paclitaxel-resistant A1847TX1 and PE-01TX5 ovarian cancer cell lines were cross-resistant to olaparib (Supplementary Figure S1), supporting our hypothesis that resistance may arise by a common mechanism. Neither A2780pacR nor A2780olapR cells were cross-resistant to either cisplatin or carboplatin (data not shown).

**Olaparib and paclitaxel resistance leads to increased expression of ABCB1, the ‘multidrug resistance’ drug efflux pump.** Increased ABCB1 expression has previously been reported in multiple paclitaxel-resistant cell lines and has been convincingly shown to influence paclitaxel chemosensitivity. We therefore used qRT-PCR analysis to confirm lack of ABCB1 mRNA expression in A2780 cells but abundant expression in both A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells (Figure 2A) and 1847TX1 and PEO1TX5 cells (Supplementary Figure S1). We then used quantitative gene copy number assays to investigate whether increased mRNA expression could be attributed to amplification of the ABCB1 gene. Consistent with more abundant ABCB1 mRNA expression, only A2780pacR cells were found to have amplified ABCB1, with two gene copies detected in whole blood samples obtained from healthy volunteers, drug-sensitive A2780 cells and A2780olapR cells but four gene copies detected in A2780pacR cells (Figure 2B). Changes in ABCB1 gene expression and copy number were reflected in ABCB1 protein expression, both assessed by western blotting (Figure 2C) and immunohistochemical analysis (Figure 2D). Although increased P-glycoprotein expression was clearly present in both A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells, the expression was higher in A2780pacR cells, consistent with increased ABCB1 copy number. As increased expression of the alternative multidrug resistance protein ABCCI (MRP1) has also been associated with paclitaxel resistance in various cancer cell lines (Allen et al, 2000), we additionally used qRT-PCR analysis to compare ABCCI levels in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells but found no differences in expression (data not shown). To extend our analysis of differential ABC transporter expression, we used whole-genome microarray analysis (Agilent HT-12 arrays) to perform an unbiased comparison of gene expression in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells, as described in Materials and Methods section. ABCB1 was the only drug transporter with significantly increased expression in resistant cells (Supplementary Table S1); ABCB1 probe sets were the first and second most abundantly induced probe sets from 12 590 probe sets significantly altered in A2780pacR cells, and the fourth and sixth most abundantly induced probe sets from 11 182 probe sets significantly altered in A2780olapR cells. It was also interesting to note that the expression of several ABC transporters (A2780pacR: ABCA1, ABCA2, ABCB7, ABCB9, ABCC4, ABCC5, ABCC6, ABCCI0 and ABCG4; A2780olapR: ABCB5, ABCB6, ABCB9, ABCC4, ABCD3, ABCE1, ABCF2 and ABCG4) was significantly decreased in resistant cells.

**Paclitaxel and olaparib resistance can be reversed by the ABCB1 inhibitors verapamil and elacridar.** To further test our hypothesis that resistance to both paclitaxel and olaparib is influenced by increased ABCB1-mediated drug efflux, we treated A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells with the ABCB1 inhibitors verapamil and elacridar. Verapamil, a calcium channel-blocker and antiarrythmic drug, is a competitive ABCB1 inhibitor (Cornwell et al, 1987; Safa, 1988), while elacridar does not directly compete with ABCB1 substrates for active site binding but regulates protein function through allosteric inhibition (Hyafil et al, 1993). Initial MTT assays were performed with both inhibitors in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells to identify non-toxic doses (5 and 10 \(\mu\)M) for use in subsequent combination treatment experiments (data not shown). Dose-dependent increases in chemosensitivity were subsequently observed following combination treatment, with a complete reversal of paclitaxel resistance in both A2780pacR (Figure 3A) and A2780olapR cells (Figure 3B) treated with paclitaxel, following combination treatment with 10 \(\mu\)M verapamil. Verapamil was a less efficient competitive inhibitor in olaparib-resistant cells, although a >80% re-sensitisation to olaparib was observed in A2780olapR cells (Figure 3C). Consistent with these findings, combination treatment with the more potent ABCB1 inhibitor elacridar resulted in complete reversal of both paclitaxel and olaparib resistance (Figure 3D–F). Further, re-sensitisation to paclitaxel and olaparib was additionally observed in A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells where ABCB1 expression was disrupted following transient siRNA knockdown (Supplementary Figure S2).

---

**Figure 2.** ABCB1 (P-glycoprotein) expression is increased in A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells. ABCB1 (A) mRNA expression, (B) copy number and (C and D) P-glycoprotein expression was compared in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells by qRT-PCR analysis, Taqman-based copy number analysis, western blotting and immunohistochemical analysis, respectively, as described in Materials and Methods section.
As ABCB1 expression is approximately 10-fold higher in A2780pacR than in A2780olapR cells (panels A and B) as a consequence of a gene duplication event, it is not surprising that siRNA disruption was less effective in A2780pacR than in A2780olapR cells.

Paclitaxel and olaparib are actively effluxed from drug-resistant A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells. To investigate whether paclitaxel and olaparib are actively effluxed from drug-resistant cells, we compared the ability of A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells to efflux the model substrate CalceinAM. CalceinAM is a probe that is cleaved to fluorescent calcein by intracellular esterases; ATP-dependent CalceinAM efflux is increased in the presence of ABCB1, thus limiting esterase cleavage and fluorescent calcein production. Consistent with increased ABCB1 activity, calcein fluorescence was significantly reduced in A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells (6.18-fold P = 0.005 and 4.49-fold, P = 0.03; Figure 4A). We then assessed intracellular calcein fluorescence in the presence of increasing concentrations of verapamil. Verapamil treatment did not influence CalceinAM efflux in drug-sensitive A2780 cells, which do not express ABCB1, but caused a dose-dependent increase in CalceinAM efflux (assessed by increased intracellular calcein fluorescence) in both A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells (Figure 4B).

To further confirm that both paclitaxel and olaparib are P-glycoprotein substrates, we used luminescent P-glycoprotein-Glo assays as described in Materials and Methods section, where the influence of paclitaxel and olaparib on recombinant P-glycoprotein activity was evaluated in cell membrane fractions. Similar to verapamil (positive control), the addition of either paclitaxel or olaparib led to a dose-dependent increase in ATPase activity (indirectly assessed by relative luciferase activity), confirming a direct protein/substrate interaction (Figure 4C).

Paclitaxel- and olaparib-resistant cells are cross-resistant to doxorubicin and rucaparib but not to the alternative PARPis AZD2461 and veliparib. Liposomal doxorubicin is commonly prescribed as second-line chemotherapy to ovarian cancer patients with relapsed platinum/taxane resistant disease. The first description of ABCB1-mediated drug resistance in various cancer cell lines reported both increased ABCB1 mRNA expression and copy number in adriamycin (also known as doxorubicin)-resistant A2780 cells (Shen et al, 1986), while numerous subsequent studies have confirmed that doxorubicin is a P-glycoprotein substrate. We therefore predicted that paclitaxel- and olaparib-resistant cells may also be cross-resistant to doxorubicin – as expected, both A2780pacR (Figure 5A and I) and, to a lesser extent, A2780olapR (Figure 5B and I) cells were significantly more resistant to doxorubicin than A2780 cells. Similar paclitaxel/doxorubicin cross-resistance was observed in A1847TX1 and PEO1TX5 cells (Supplementary Figure S1).

To investigate whether ABCB1-mediated drug resistance could be avoided by prescription of an alternative PARPi, we next investigated whether A2780pacR (Figure 5C and I) or A2780olapR (Figure 5D and I) cells were cross-resistant to AZD2461, a novel PARPi with low affinity for P-glycoprotein (Jaspers et al, 2013). No cross-resistance was observed, confirming that AZD2461 is a poor P-glycoprotein substrate and suggesting that the prescription of an alternative PARPi may avoid the treatment-limiting complication of ABCB1-induced drug resistance. Similarly, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells were not cross-resistant to the PARPi veliparib (Figure 5E, F and I) but were significantly cross-resistant to rucaparib (Figure 5G, H and I). To further confirm that doxorubicin and rucaparib are P-glycoprotein substrates, we repeated the P-glycoprotein-Glo assays described above and demonstrated dose-dependent increases in ATPase activity following incubation with doxorubicin and rucaparib but not with any of the other PARPis tested (Figure 6). We additionally confirmed that altered chemosensitivity did not result from altered PARP1 expression in A2780pacR or A2780olapR cells, assessed both by qRT-PCR analysis and western blotting (Supplementary Figure S3) and in our whole-genome microarray analysis, described above, where we did not observe significant differences in PARP1 mRNA expression in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells (data not shown).
Figure 4. P-glycoprotein-mediated drug efflux is altered in paclitaxel- and olaparib-resistant cells. (A) Vybrant Multidrug Resistance Assays were used, as described in Materials and Methods section, to compare P-glycoprotein-dependent efflux of the model substrate Calcein-AM in untreated A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells and (B) in the presence of 0–25 μM verapamil. Results were confirmed (C) using the P-glycoprotein-Glo Assay System, as described in Materials and Methods section, to demonstrate dose-dependent increases in luminescence following the incubation of paclitaxel or olaparib with P-glycoprotein-containing membranes.

Figure 5. Paclitaxel- and olaparib-resistant cells are cross-resistant to doxorubicin and rucaparib but not to AZD2461 or veliparib. MTT chemosensitivity assays were used as described in Materials and Methods section to compare cell viability in A2780, A2780pacR and A2780olapR cells following exposure to serial dilutions of (A and B) doxorubicin, (C and D) AZD2461, (E and F) veliparib and (G and H) rucaparib. Chemosensitivity values obtained from triplicate replicate experiments, expressed as IC_{50} values, are summarised in Panel I.
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dose-dependent increases in luminescence were observed following the incubation of verapamil (positive control), olaparib, veliparib, rucaparib or AZD2461 with P-glycoprotein-containing membranes.

DISCUSSION

The development of chemotherapy-induced drug resistance is a major treatment-limiting complication in the treatment of ovarian cancer (Vaughan et al, 2011). Many drug resistance mechanisms involve adaptation of drug metabolism or drug disposition pathways in resistant cancer cells, which normally function to protect healthy cells from exposure to toxic chemicals. One of the most well-characterised examples of cellular adaptation is increased activity of the membrane-bound ATP-dependent ‘multi-
drug resistance’ drug efflux pump P-glycoprotein, encoded by the ABCC1 (MDR1) gene (Kathawala et al, 2015). Increased P-glycoprotein activity, often as a result of a chemotherapy-induced gene amplification event, has been associated with the development of drug resistance in a variety of human solid tumours and haematological cancers (Thomas and Coley, 2003). ABCC1-mediated drug resistance mechanisms are of particular relevance to ovarian cancer as paclitaxel, used routinely in combination with carboplatin in first-line chemotherapy protocols, is one of the most well-characterised P-glycoprotein substrates that is efficiently effluxed from drug-resistant cells (Sikic et al, 1997).

Our data confirm many previous reports of an ABCC1-mediated adaptive resistance mechanism in paclitaxel-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines and xenograft models (e.g., (Ahmed et al, 2007; Syed et al, 2011; Boesch et al, 2015; Ingemarsdotter et al, 2015) and describes a common mechanism of resistance to PARPi, including olaparib and rucaparib. ABCC1-mediated resistance to PARPi is a novel finding in ovarian cancer and is of particular clinical relevance as olaparib and related drugs are currently being evaluated in multiple clinical trials as second-line or maintenance chemotherapy options, often in paclitaxel pretreated patients. It is therefore particularly important that our data suggest that some (e.g., rucaparib) but not all (e.g., veliparib, AZD2461) alternative PARPi are P-glycoprotein substrates and are actively effluxed from paclitaxel-resistant cells – optimal PARPi choice, particularly in paclitaxel-resistant patients, may therefore be an important determinant of clinical response. Consistent with this hypothesis, comparison of olaparib with the novel PARPi AZD2461 in a mouse mammary tumour model showed greater efficacy following administration of AZD2461, which we and others have shown is a poor P-glycoprotein substrate (Jaspers et al, 2013), while recent advances in PARPi development have prioritised drug candidates, for example, novel 7-azaindole-1-carboxamide derivatives, which are not P-glycoprotein substrates (Cincinelli et al, 2014). Although our A2780oapR cells were not cross-resistant to paclitaxel, we believe this to be an ABCC1 threshold effect and highlight the potential for olaparib and rucaparib to additionally induce P-glycoprotein-mediated resistance, particularly if prescribed for an extended period, for example, as a long-term maintenance treatment. It is also important to note that doxorubicin, frequently prescribed to ovarian cancer patients in a liposomal formulation as second-line chemotherapy for recurrent disease, is also a well-known P-glycoprotein substrate (Shen et al, 1986), which is also actively effluxed from paclitaxel-resistant cells.

The first suggestion that clinical response to olaparib may be compromised by altered drug efflux was proposed by Rottenberg et al (2008), describing increased murine Abcb1a/b expression in a drug-resistant mouse mammary tumour model, where resistance was reversible with the P-glycoprotein inhibitor tariquidar (Rottenberg et al, 2008). Subsequently, increased Abcb1b expression has been reported in a murine BRCA2-dependent breast cancer model following exposure to olaparib, doctaxel or doxorubicin (Jaspers et al, 2015), while rucaparib has recently been reported to be a substrate for both ABCC1 and ABCG2 (Durmus et al, 2015). Intriguingly, experiments in mouse embryonic fibroblasts have suggested that doxorubicin resistance is caused by increased P-glycoprotein expression and activity in PARPi null cells (Wurzer et al, 2000; Wesierska-Gadek, 2005), while Dumitriu et al (2004) demonstrated that PARPi increase P-glycoprotein activity in both mouse and human lymphocytes. If a similar mechanism is present in ovarian cancer cells, sequential paclitaxel and PARPi treatment could therefore further potentiate the development of ABCC1-mediated drug resistance.

![Figure 6. The PARP inhibitors olaparib and rucaparib are P-glycoprotein substrates, while the alternative PARP inhibitors AZD2461 and veliparib are not actively effluxed. The P-glycoprotein-Glo Assay System was used, as described in Materials and Methods section, to investigate whether dose-dependent increases in luminescence were observed following the incubation of verapamil (positive control), olaparib, veliparib, rucaparib or AZD2461 with P-glycoprotein-containing membranes.](image-url)
Our data suggest that both paclitaxel- and olaparib-induced resistance is reversible following combination treatment with a P-glycoprotein inhibitor. Early clinical trials of P-glycoprotein inhibitors have been disappointing, however, due in part to verapamil cardiotoxicity (Ozols et al., 1987), although a hyaluronic acid-based CD44-targeted MDRI siRNA was recently shown to successfully reverse paclitaxel resistance in SKOV3 cells (Yang et al., 2015) and a more recent clinical trial of taririquid in docetaxel-treated patients demonstrated P-glycoprotein inhibition in vivo (Kelly et al., 2011). A variety of novel P-glycoprotein inhibitors are currently in development, including CB-PICT (Yun et al., 2015) and the bisbenzisquinoiline CB7-1, a novel inhibitor that inhibits P-glycoprotein without influencing paclitaxel pharmacokinetics (Kelly et al., 2012).

In addition to the obvious potential to target P-glycoprotein therapeutically, assessment of ABCB1 copy number or P-glycoprotein mRNA or protein expression may also have clinical utility as drug resistance biomarkers in cancer patients. Consistent with our own data, ABCB1 copy number changes or increased P-glycoprotein expression (without associated copy number changes) have been widely reported in paclitaxel and docetaxel-resistant ovarian cancer cell lines (e.g., Wang et al., 2006) and in recurrent ovarian cancers (Zajchowski et al., 2012), suggesting that clinical assessment of changes in ABCB1 expression or activity may have greatest prognostic potential as patients progress through chemotherapy. It is also interesting to note, however, that analysis of public domain ovarian cancer data sets from The Cancer Genome Atlas identifies a subset (approximately 2%) of chemotherapy-naive ovarian cancer patients with ABCB1 gene amplifications and a similar number of patients with high basal ABCB1 mRNA expression, suggesting that these patients may be inherently resistant to paclitaxel, doxorubicin and selected PARPis. Consistent with this finding, amplification of regions of chromosome 7q21 spanning the ABCB1 gene locus have frequently been described in ovarian cancer (Tapper et al., 1997; Parthereen et al., 2004; Micci et al., 2014). High basal ABCB1 expression has also been described in subsets of inherently resistant ovarian cancers (Holzmayer et al., 1992; Arao et al., 1994), and in a series of chemono-naïve ovarian tumours where P-glycoprotein expression was inversely correlated with survival (Materna et al., 2004). Although the majority of previous studies are cell line based, it is interesting to note that ABCB1 is one of the several genes with increased expression in chemo-resistant breast cancers (Krech et al., 2012) and in paclitaxel-resistant ovarian cancers (Penson et al., 2004), while a recent whole-genome sequence-based comparison of drug-sensitive and drug-resistant ovarian cancers described a novel gene promoter fusion in which ABCB1 is fused with SLC25A40 in chemoresistant cancers, resulting in increased P-glycoprotein expression (Patch et al., 2015). Unfortunately, our ability to evaluate ABCB1 expression in ovarian cancer patients before and following PARPi-based chemotherapy is limited by the current lack of clinical material outside the clinical trial setting. To show our clinical data be confirmed in vivo, we further highlight the potential for inherited differences in ABCB1 activity to modify clinical response. Although not all published studies are consistent (e.g., Marsh et al., 2007; Johnnatt et al., 2013), inheritance of the ABCB1 G2677T/A allele has been shown to influence paclitaxel clearance (Green et al., 2006; Fransson et al., 2011), the C200ST polymorphism to influence substrate specificity (Liu et al., 2010) and various ABCB1 alleles to influence survival in both breast (Chang et al., 2009) and ovarian (Kim et al., 2009; Johnnatt et al., 2013) paclitaxel-treated patient cohorts. It is therefore particularly important to note that only one-third of ovarian cancer patients responded to second-line olaparib treatment in recent clinical trials (Audeh et al., 2010), when the majority of enrolled patients had failed to respond to previous chemotherapy and almost all patients had been pretreated with paclitaxel (median of three previous chemotherapy regimens) – it is therefore tempting to speculate that non-responders had altered ABCB1 expression, and we encourage testing of this hypothesis in clinical trial cohorts.

Our experimental models, combining novel drug-resistant cell lines with functional drug transport assays, provide a useful system for the evaluation of additional PARPis, and more detailed analysis of potential drug/drug interactions – studies with additional PARPis are ongoing in our laboratory. We were particularly interested to learn of a recent report describing synergistic effects of olaparib and cediranib (Liu et al., 2014), particularly as cediranib was previously shown to be a potent P-glycoprotein inhibitor (Tao et al., 2009), and hypothesised that clinical response may result from cediranib-induced inhibition of ABCB1-mediated olaparib efflux. Experiments in our drug-resistant cell lines did not confirm this hypothesis (data not shown); however, consistent with a recent report suggesting that there may be an immunological basis for response to combination treatment (Lee et al., 2015).

In summary, our data describe a novel mechanism of PARPi resistance in ovarian cancer and highlights the importance of evaluating ABCB1 expression in ongoing and future clinical trials. If our hypotheses are confirmed in extended clinical series, our data strongly suggest that optimal choice of PARPi may limit the progression of drug-resistant disease and further suggest that routine prescription of first-line paclitaxel may significantly limit subsequent chemotherapy options in ovarian cancer patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Ninewells Cancer Campaign and the Melville Robertson Trust for funding this study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

ABC1 influences taxane and PARP inhibitor resistance in ovarian cancer


